

**GCC Community - BWSEA Proposal**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** <community@planning.nsw.gov.au>  
**Date:** 8/25/2013 9:04 PM  
**Subject:** BWSEA Proposal  
**Attachments:** DA.jpg; Planning BWSEA Draft Structure Plan Lot 31.doc

---

Hi

My family & I recently lodged an objection in regards to the current draft BWSEA proposal. See attached letter. The family property is located at Lot 31 Aldington Road, Kemps Creek being 1 of 14 properties proposed as a buffer zone to assist Dino, the developer of Capital Hill.

We have been residing in Aldington Road way before any development ever commenced in Capital Hill and we have had no objections what so ever to what happens in Capital Hill however I do object when Dino interferes and placing obstacles which prevents us from having the same rights. We have the same right to develop our land or on sell it for redevelopment. Content has been removed for public viewing from this submission deemed offensive, defamatory, or inappropriate material under the Department's Privacy Management Plan. Please visit [www.planning.nsw.gov.au](http://www.planning.nsw.gov.au)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Why should our properties, 14 in all be made as buffer to only benefit one man while only having Dino dictate to Planning NSW and others on what Dino requests which only has benefit for himself rather than for everyone around him and at the same time not having any concern for the well being of his adjacent neighbours on Aldington Road.

There are various examples of industrial zoning next to residential as shown Precinct Plans from the NSW Growth Centres website. So why is Capital Hill any different? I ask that you provide an answer to this question.

The man and his family has been in my home on many occasions however now with what goes on we don't hear from him.

I have a lot to lose and also my family & I are experiencing extreme financial hardship and trying to keep our properties however the current BWSEA Proposal doesn't help us at all.

I therefore ask that strong consideration be given by Planning NSW to stop the proposed buffer over the 14 properties and turn the 14 properties into employment lands or something else rather than as a buffer for the benefit of one man. I also ask that I be given the opportunity to meet with Planning NSW.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]