


PCU51603

30 January 2014

Marian Pate
Sutherland LEP Review
NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure
PO Box 39
Sydney, 2001



Independent Review – Sutherland Amended Draft LEP 2013

Appropriateness of the 2nd exhibited version of the draft Plan and the Mayoral Minute.

First I would like to congratulate Council on developing a draft LEP which demonstrates vision and adequately balances the communities' future housing requirements whilst affording protection to our unique environment and lifestyles we enjoy in the Shire.

The Draft plan ensures that the bulk of the Shires additional future housing stock will be concentrated in higher density enclaves in and around the Urban Centres so as to take advantage of public transport, employment and commercial services. This will help revitalise the urban centres and make them a vibrant and safer haven. Times are changing and more and more people are seeking this higher density, more "convenient lifestyle". The area mentioned here comprises a very small portion of the Shire - less than 5% by area.

The remainder of the Shire, namely the lower density residential areas under the Amended Draft LEP 2013 are protected by controls very similar to the current controls in the Sutherland Shire LEP 2006. Both plans allow for small scale development within these lower density zones, and the expectation is that we will continue to see small scale lower density development (Dual Occupancy, Townhouses/Villas & Seniors Housing etc) interspersed within these areas. This will ensure greater housing choices and affordability, whilst maintaining our unique environment and amenity within the Shire.

There is a lot of scaremongering in the community that the modest increases in FSR and reduction in Landscaped area will have detrimental impacts to the Shire. The fact is that the impact will not be noticeable especially in the Lower density zones (the Bulk of the Shire) due to controls such as Building Height, tight DCP controls around Front, Side and Rear Setbacks, Solar, Overshadowing and Privacy controls & Residential Flat Design Code. These controls will ensure our environment and amenity is protected and limit the bulk and scale of any development. In most cases the Max FSR will not be attained due to these controls.

In summary, the Amended Draft LEP 2013 will:


- Ensure our unique environment and the amenity we enjoy is maintained
- Deliver more and much needed affordable housing
- Provide wider housing choices for all demographics and changing lifestyles

- Ensure the Bulk of the additional housing and in particular high density housing is concentrated in and around the urban centres and close to transport hubs

More specifically relating to the Mayoral Minute and the Draft plan, I am very supportive of the following:

- the rezoning of the area to the north of the Sutherland Centre from Medium Density to High Density (R4), namely the area bounded by Clio St, Toronto Pde, Old Princes Hwy & Glencoe St - due to its close proximity to the Sutherland Centre (400m) and public transport and it completes the ring of high density residential units surrounding Sutherland,
- Increased height & density in and around centres in general as these areas will accommodate the bulk of all new housing within the Shire and minimise impact to lower density areas which is the majority of the Shire
- The Removal of minimum lot sizes and widths for multi dwelling and high density housing. The draft LEP and DCP provide adequate controls to ensure the amenity of those neighbourhoods are maintained and building scale and envelopes do not detract from those neighbourhoods. It will assist with the redevelopment of older buildings and stimulate development in suitable zones. The result is a scale of building less bulky than an amalgamated site due to controls such as building height, landscape area, FSR and DCP controls such as minimum setbacks, privacy, overshadowing and residential design code.
- Retaining the 9m height limit for the low & medium density housing areas as it has been unchanged for over 15 years and we have adequate controls as mentioned in the point above to ensure there are no adverse impacts.
- Increases in FSR ratio both in and around the Urban Centres and the minor increases in FSR in the Lower Density Zones. Within the lower density zones, these minor increases will not have any impact to the amenity of the area or the local environment as we have adequate controls as mentioned above
- Reductions in Landscape area in the Residential and R1 zones as we have adequate controls to limit its impact.

Regards



Chloe Mouzakis
12 Ultimo St Caringbah, NSW 2229

I have not made any political donations.

30 January 2014

Marian Pate
Sutherland LEP Review
NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure
PO Box 39
Sydney, 2001

Independent Review – Sutherland Amended Draft LEP 2013

Appropriateness of the 2nd exhibited version of the draft Plan and the Mayoral Minute.

First I would like to congratulate Council on developing a draft LEP which demonstrates vision and adequately balances the communities' future housing requirements whilst affording protection to our unique environment and lifestyles we enjoy in the Shire.

The Draft plan ensures that the bulk of the Shires additional future housing stock will be concentrated in higher density enclaves in and around the Urban Centres so as to take advantage of public transport, employment and commercial services. This will help revitalise the urban centres and make them a vibrant and safer haven. Times are changing and more and more people are seeking this higher density, more "convenient lifestyle". The area mentioned here comprises a very small portion of the Shire - less than 5% by area.

The remainder of the Shire, namely the lower density residential areas under the Amended Draft LEP 2013 are protected by controls very similar to the current controls in the Sutherland Shire LEP 2006. Both plans allow for small scale development within these lower density zones, and the expectation is that we will continue to see small scale lower density development (Dual Occupancy, Townhouses/Villas & Seniors Housing etc) interspersed within these areas. This will ensure greater housing choices and affordability, whilst maintaining our unique environment and amenity within the Shire.

There is a lot of scaremongering in the community that the modest increases in FSR and reduction in Landscaped area will have detrimental impacts to the Shire. The fact is that the impact will not be noticeable especially in the Lower density zones (the Bulk of the Shire) due to controls such as Building Height, tight DCP controls around Front, Side and Rear Setbacks, Solar, Overshadowing and Privacy controls & Residential Flat Design Code. These controls will ensure our environment and amenity is protected and limit the bulk and scale of any development. In most cases the Max FSR will not be attained due to these controls.

In summary, the Amended Draft LEP 2013 will:


- Ensure our unique environment and the amenity we enjoy is maintained
- Deliver more and much needed affordable housing
- Provide wider housing choices for all demographics and changing lifestyles

- Ensure the Bulk of the additional housing and in particular high density housing is concentrated in and around the urban centres and close to transport hubs

More specifically relating to the Mayoral Minute and the Draft plan, I am very supportive of the following:

- the rezoning of the area to the north of the Sutherland Centre from Medium Density to High Density (R4), namely the area bounded by Clio St, Toronto Pde, Old Princes Hwy & Glencoe St - due to its close proximity to the Sutherland Centre (400m) and public transport and it completes the ring of high density residential units surrounding Sutherland,
- Increased height & density in and around centres in general as these areas will accommodate the bulk of all new housing within the Shire and minimise impact to lower density areas which is the majority of the Shire
- The Removal of minimum lot sizes and widths for multi dwelling and high density housing. The draft LEP and DCP provide adequate controls to ensure the amenity of those neighbourhoods are maintained and building scale and envelopes do not detract from those neighbourhoods. It will assist with the redevelopment of older buildings and stimulate development in suitable zones. The result is a scale of building less bulky than an amalgamated site due to controls such as building height, landscape area, FSR and DCP controls such as minimum setbacks, privacy, overshadowing and residential design code.
- Retaining the 9m height limit for the low & medium density housing areas as it has been unchanged for over 15 years and we have adequate controls as mentioned in the point above to ensure there are no adverse impacts.
- Increases in FSR ratio both in and around the Urban Centres and the minor increases in FSR in the Lower Density Zones. Within the lower density zones, these minor increases will not have any impact to the amenity of the area or the local environment as we have adequate controls as mentioned above
- Reductions in Landscape area in the Residential and R1 zones as we have adequate controls to limit its impact.

Regards



Mark Mouzakis
20C Edward Ave Miranda NSW, 2228

I have not made any political donations.

30 January 2014

Marian Pate
Sutherland LEP Review
NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure
PO Box 39
Sydney, 2001

Independent Review – Sutherland Amended Draft LEP 2013

Appropriateness of the 2nd exhibited version of the draft Plan and the Mayoral Minute.

First I would like to congratulate Council on developing a draft LEP which demonstrates vision and adequately balances the communities' future housing requirements whilst affording protection to our unique environment and lifestyles we enjoy in the Shire.

The Draft plan ensures that the bulk of the Shires additional future housing stock will be concentrated in higher density enclaves in and around the Urban Centres so as to take advantage of public transport, employment and commercial services. This will help revitalise the urban centres and make them a vibrant and safer haven. Times are changing and more and more people are seeking this higher density, more "convenient lifestyle". The area mentioned here comprises a very small portion of the Shire - less than 5% by area.

The remainder of the Shire, namely the lower density residential areas under the Amended Draft LEP 2013 are protected by controls very similar to the current controls in the Sutherland Shire LEP 2006. Both plans allow for small scale development within these lower density zones, and the expectation is that we will continue to see small scale lower density development (Dual Occupancy, Townhouses/Villas & Seniors Housing etc) interspersed within these areas. This will ensure greater housing choices and affordability, whilst maintaining our unique environment and amenity within the Shire.

There is a lot of scaremongering in the community that the modest increases in FSR and reduction in Landscaped area will have detrimental impacts to the Shire. The fact is that the impact will not be noticeable especially in the Lower density zones (the Bulk of the Shire) due to controls such as Building Height, tight DCP controls around Front, Side and Rear Setbacks, Solar, Overshadowing and Privacy controls & Residential Flat Design Code. These controls will ensure our environment and amenity is protected and limit the bulk and scale of any development. In most cases the Max FSR will not be attained due to these controls.

In summary, the Amended Draft LEP 2013 will:


- Ensure our unique environment and the amenity we enjoy is maintained
- Deliver more and much needed affordable housing
- Provide wider housing choices for all demographics and changing lifestyles

- Ensure the Bulk of the additional housing and in particular high density housing is concentrated in and around the urban centres and close to transport hubs

More specifically relating to the Mayoral Minute and the Draft plan, I am very supportive of the following:

- the rezoning of the area to the north of the Sutherland Centre from Medium Density to High Density (R4), namely the area bounded by Clio St, Toronto Pde, Old Princes Hwy & Glencoe St - due to its close proximity to the Sutherland Centre (400m) and public transport and it completes the ring of high density residential units surrounding Sutherland,
- Increased height & density in and around centres in general as these areas will accommodate the bulk of all new housing within the Shire and minimise impact to lower density areas which is the majority of the Shire
- The Removal of minimum lot sizes and widths for multi dwelling and high density housing. The draft LEP and DCP provide adequate controls to ensure the amenity of those neighbourhoods are maintained and building scale and envelopes do not detract from those neighbourhoods. It will assist with the redevelopment of older buildings and stimulate development in suitable zones. The result is a scale of building less bulky than an amalgamated site due to controls such as building height, landscape area, FSR and DCP controls such as minimum setbacks, privacy, overshadowing and residential design code.
- Retaining the 9m height limit for the low & medium density housing areas as it has been unchanged for over 15 years and we have adequate controls as mentioned in the point above to ensure there are no adverse impacts.
- Increases in FSR ratio both in and around the Urban Centres and the minor increases in FSR in the Lower Density Zones. Within the lower density zones, these minor increases will not have any impact to the amenity of the area or the local environment as we have adequate controls as mentioned above
- Reductions in Landscape area in the Residential and R1 zones as we have adequate controls to limit its impact.

Regards



Arthur Mouzakis
20C Edward Ave Miranda

I have not made any political donations.

30 January 2014

Marian Pate
Sutherland LEP Review
NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure
PO Box 39
Sydney, 2001

Independent Review – Sutherland Amended Draft LEP 2013

Appropriateness of the 2nd exhibited version of the draft Plan and the Mayoral Minute.

First I would like to congratulate Council on developing a draft LEP which demonstrates vision and adequately balances the communities' future housing requirements whilst affording protection to our unique environment and lifestyles we enjoy in the Shire.

The Draft plan ensures that the bulk of the Shires additional future housing stock will be concentrated in higher density enclaves in and around the Urban Centres so as to take advantage of public transport, employment and commercial services. This will help revitalise the urban centres and make them a vibrant and safer haven. Times are changing and more and more people are seeking this higher density, more "convenient lifestyle". The area mentioned here comprises a very small portion of the Shire - less than 5% by area.

The remainder of the Shire, namely the lower density residential areas under the Amended Draft LEP 2013 are protected by controls very similar to the current controls in the Sutherland Shire LEP 2006. Both plans allow for small scale development within these lower density zones, and the expectation is that we will continue to see small scale lower density development (Dual Occupancy, Townhouses/Villas & Seniors Housing etc) interspersed within these areas. This will ensure greater housing choices and affordability, whilst maintaining our unique environment and amenity within the Shire.

There is a lot of scaremongering in the community that the modest increases in FSR and reduction in Landscaped area will have detrimental impacts to the Shire. The fact is that the impact will not be noticeable especially in the Lower density zones (the Bulk of the Shire) due to controls such as Building Height, tight DCP controls around Front, Side and Rear Setbacks, Solar, Overshadowing and Privacy controls & Residential Flat Design Code. These controls will ensure our environment and amenity is protected and limit the bulk and scale of any development. In most cases the Max FSR will not be attained due to these controls.

In summary, the Amended Draft LEP 2013 will:

- Ensure our unique environment and the amenity we enjoy is maintained
- Deliver more and much needed affordable housing
- Provide wider housing choices for all demographics and changing lifestyles

- Ensure the Bulk of the additional housing and in particular high density housing is concentrated in and around the urban centres and close to transport hubs

More specifically relating to the Mayoral Minute and the Draft plan, I am very supportive of the following:

- the rezoning of the area to the north of the Sutherland Centre from Medium Density to High Density (R4), namely the area bounded by Clio St, Toronto Pde, Old Princes Hwy & Glencoe St - due to its close proximity to the Sutherland Centre (400m) and public transport and it completes the ring of high density residential units surrounding Sutherland,
- Increased height & density in and around centres in general as these areas will accommodate the bulk of all new housing within the Shire and minimise impact to lower density areas which is the majority of the Shire
- The Removal of minimum lot sizes and widths for multi dwelling and high density housing. The draft LEP and DCP provide adequate controls to ensure the amenity of those neighbourhoods are maintained and building scale and envelopes do not detract from those neighbourhoods. It will assist with the redevelopment of older buildings and stimulate development in suitable zones. The result is a scale of building less bulky than an amalgamated site due to controls such as building height, landscape area, FSR and DCP controls such as minimum setbacks, privacy, overshadowing and residential design code.
- Retaining the 9m height limit for the low & medium density housing areas as it has been unchanged for over 15 years and we have adequate controls as mentioned in the point above to ensure there are no adverse impacts.
- Increases in FSR ratio both in and around the Urban Centres and the minor increases in FSR in the Lower Density Zones. Within the lower density zones, these minor increases will not have any impact to the amenity of the area or the local environment as we have adequate controls as mentioned above
- Reductions in Landscape area in the Residential and R1 zones as we have adequate controls to limit its impact.

Regards



Adrian Balderston
8 Nanbaree Rd Ryde 2112

I have not made any political donations.

30 January 2014

Marian Pate
Sutherland LEP Review
NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure
PO Box 39
Sydney, 2001

Independent Review – Sutherland Amended Draft LEP 2013

Appropriateness of the 2nd exhibited version of the draft Plan and the Mayoral Minute.

First I would like to congratulate Council on developing a draft LEP which demonstrates vision and adequately balances the communities' future housing requirements whilst affording protection to our unique environment and lifestyles we enjoy in the Shire.

The Draft plan ensures that the bulk of the Shires additional future housing stock will be concentrated in higher density enclaves in and around the Urban Centres so as to take advantage of public transport, employment and commercial services. This will help revitalise the urban centres and make them a vibrant and safer haven. Times are changing and more and more people are seeking this higher density, more "convenient lifestyle". The area mentioned here comprises a very small portion of the Shire - less than 5% by area.

The remainder of the Shire, namely the lower density residential areas under the Amended Draft LEP 2013 are protected by controls very similar to the current controls in the Sutherland Shire LEP 2006. Both plans allow for small scale development within these lower density zones, and the expectation is that we will continue to see small scale lower density development (Dual Occupancy, Townhouses/Villas & Seniors Housing etc) interspersed within these areas. This will ensure greater housing choices and affordability, whilst maintaining our unique environment and amenity within the Shire.

There is a lot of scaremongering in the community that the modest increases in FSR and reduction in Landscaped area will have detrimental impacts to the Shire. The fact is that the impact will not be noticeable especially in the Lower density zones (the Bulk of the Shire) due to controls such as Building Height, tight DCP controls around Front, Side and Rear Setbacks, Solar, Overshadowing and Privacy controls & Residential Flat Design Code. These controls will ensure our environment and amenity is protected and limit the bulk and scale of any development. In most cases the Max FSR will not be attained due to these controls.

In summary, the Amended Draft LEP 2013 will:

- Ensure our unique environment and the amenity we enjoy is maintained
- Deliver more and much needed affordable housing
- Provide wider housing choices for all demographics and changing lifestyles

- Ensure the Bulk of the additional housing and in particular high density housing is concentrated in and around the urban centres and close to transport hubs

More specifically relating to the Mayoral Minute and the Draft plan, I am very supportive of the following:

- the rezoning of the area to the north of the Sutherland Centre from Medium Density to High Density (R4), namely the area bounded by Clio St, Toronto Pde, Old Princes Hwy & Glencoe St - due to its close proximity to the Sutherland Centre (400m) and public transport and it completes the ring of high density residential units surrounding Sutherland,
- Increased height & density in and around centres in general as these areas will accommodate the bulk of all new housing within the Shire and minimise impact to lower density areas which is the majority of the Shire
- The Removal of minimum lot sizes and widths for multi dwelling and high density housing. The draft LEP and DCP provide adequate controls to ensure the amenity of those neighbourhoods are maintained and building scale and envelopes do not detract from those neighbourhoods. It will assist with the redevelopment of older buildings and stimulate development in suitable zones. The result is a scale of building less bulky than an amalgamated site due to controls such as building height, landscape area, FSR and DCP controls such as minimum setbacks, privacy, overshadowing and residential design code.
- Retaining the 9m height limit for the low & medium density housing areas as it has been unchanged for over 15 years and we have adequate controls as mentioned in the point above to ensure there are no adverse impacts.
- Increases in FSR ratio both in and around the Urban Centres and the minor increases in FSR in the Lower Density Zones. Within the lower density zones, these minor increases will not have any impact to the amenity of the area or the local environment as we have adequate controls as mentioned above
- Reductions in Landscape area in the Residential and R1 zones as we have adequate controls to limit its impact.

Regards



Chrissy Kotsis
12 Ultimo St Caringbah, NSW 2229

I have not made any political donations.

30 January 2014

Marian Pate
Sutherland LEP Review
NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure
PO Box 39
Sydney, 2001

Independent Review – Sutherland Amended Draft LEP 2013

Appropriateness of the 2nd exhibited version of the draft Plan and the Mayoral Minute.

First I would like to congratulate Council on developing a draft LEP which demonstrates vision and adequately balances the communities' future housing requirements whilst affording protection to our unique environment and lifestyles we enjoy in the Shire.

The Draft plan ensures that the bulk of the Shires additional future housing stock will be concentrated in higher density enclaves in and around the Urban Centres so as to take advantage of public transport, employment and commercial services. This will help revitalise the urban centres and make them a vibrant and safer haven. Times are changing and more and more people are seeking this higher density, more "convenient lifestyle". The area mentioned here comprises a very small portion of the Shire - less than 5% by area.

The remainder of the Shire, namely the lower density residential areas under the Amended Draft LEP 2013 are protected by controls very similar to the current controls in the Sutherland Shire LEP 2006. Both plans allow for small scale development within these lower density zones, and the expectation is that we will continue to see small scale lower density development (Dual Occupancy, Townhouses/Villas & Seniors Housing etc) interspersed within these areas. This will ensure greater housing choices and affordability, whilst maintaining our unique environment and amenity within the Shire.

There is a lot of scaremongering in the community that the modest increases in FSR and reduction in Landscaped area will have detrimental impacts to the Shire. The fact is that the impact will not be noticeable especially in the Lower density zones (the Bulk of the Shire) due to controls such as Building Height, tight DCP controls around Front, Side and Rear Setbacks, Solar, Overshadowing and Privacy controls & Residential Flat Design Code. These controls will ensure our environment and amenity is protected and limit the bulk and scale of any development. In most cases the Max FSR will not be attained due to these controls.

In summary, the Amended Draft LEP 2013 will:


- Ensure our unique environment and the amenity we enjoy is maintained
- Deliver more and much needed affordable housing
- Provide wider housing choices for all demographics and changing lifestyles

- Ensure the Bulk of the additional housing and in particular high density housing is concentrated in and around the urban centres and close to transport hubs

More specifically relating to the Mayoral Minute and the Draft plan, I am very supportive of the following:

- the rezoning of the area to the north of the Sutherland Centre from Medium Density to High Density (R4), namely the area bounded by Clio St, Toronto Pde, Old Princes Hwy & Glencoe St - due to its close proximity to the Sutherland Centre (400m) and public transport and it completes the ring of high density residential units surrounding Sutherland,
- Increased height & density in and around centres in general as these areas will accommodate the bulk of all new housing within the Shire and minimise impact to lower density areas which is the majority of the Shire
- The Removal of minimum lot sizes and widths for multi dwelling and high density housing. The draft LEP and DCP provide adequate controls to ensure the amenity of those neighbourhoods are maintained and building scale and envelopes do not detract from those neighbourhoods. It will assist with the redevelopment of older buildings and stimulate development in suitable zones. The result is a scale of building less bulky than an amalgamated site due to controls such as building height, landscape area, FSR and DCP controls such as minimum setbacks, privacy, overshadowing and residential design code.
- Retaining the 9m height limit for the low & medium density housing areas as it has been unchanged for over 15 years and we have adequate controls as mentioned in the point above to ensure there are no adverse impacts.
- Increases in FSR ratio both in and around the Urban Centres and the minor increases in FSR in the Lower Density Zones. Within the lower density zones, these minor increases will not have any impact to the amenity of the area or the local environment as we have adequate controls as mentioned above
- Reductions in Landscape area in the Residential and R1 zones as we have adequate controls to limit its impact.

Regards



Poppy Mouzakis
20C Edward Ave Miranda NSW, 2228

I have not made any political donations.

30 January 2014

Marian Pate
Sutherland LEP Review
NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure
PO Box 39
Sydney, 2001

Independent Review – Sutherland Amended Draft LEP 2013

Appropriateness of the 2nd exhibited version of the draft Plan and the Mayoral Minute.

First I would like to congratulate Council on developing a draft LEP which demonstrates vision and adequately balances the communities' future housing requirements whilst affording protection to our unique environment and lifestyles we enjoy in the Shire.

The Draft plan ensures that the bulk of the Shires additional future housing stock will be concentrated in higher density enclaves in and around the Urban Centres so as to take advantage of public transport, employment and commercial services. This will help revitalise the urban centres and make them a vibrant and safer haven. Times are changing and more and more people are seeking this higher density, more "convenient lifestyle". The area mentioned here comprises a very small portion of the Shire - less than 5% by area.

The remainder of the Shire, namely the lower density residential areas under the Amended Draft LEP 2013 are protected by controls very similar to the current controls in the Sutherland Shire LEP 2006. Both plans allow for small scale development within these lower density zones, and the expectation is that we will continue to see small scale lower density development (Dual Occupancy, Townhouses/Villas & Seniors Housing etc) interspersed within these areas. This will ensure greater housing choices and affordability, whilst maintaining our unique environment and amenity within the Shire.

There is a lot of scaremongering in the community that the modest increases in FSR and reduction in Landscaped area will have detrimental impacts to the Shire. The fact is that the impact will not be noticeable especially in the Lower density zones (the Bulk of the Shire) due to controls such as Building Height, tight DCP controls around Front, Side and Rear Setbacks, Solar, Overshadowing and Privacy controls & Residential Flat Design Code. These controls will ensure our environment and amenity is protected and limit the bulk and scale of any development. In most cases the Max FSR will not be attained due to these controls.

In summary, the Amended Draft LEP 2013 will:


- Ensure our unique environment and the amenity we enjoy is maintained
- Deliver more and much needed affordable housing
- Provide wider housing choices for all demographics and changing lifestyles

- Ensure the Bulk of the additional housing and in particular high density housing is concentrated in and around the urban centres and close to transport hubs

More specifically relating to the Mayoral Minute and the Draft plan, I am very supportive of the following:

- the rezoning of the area to the north of the Sutherland Centre from Medium Density to High Density (R4), namely the area bounded by Clio St, Toronto Pde, Old Princes Hwy & Glencoe St - due to its close proximity to the Sutherland Centre (400m) and public transport and it completes the ring of high density residential units surrounding Sutherland,
- Increased height & density in and around centres in general as these areas will accommodate the bulk of all new housing within the Shire and minimise impact to lower density areas which is the majority of the Shire
- The Removal of minimum lot sizes and widths for multi dwelling and high density housing. The draft LEP and DCP provide adequate controls to ensure the amenity of those neighbourhoods are maintained and building scale and envelopes do not detract from those neighbourhoods. It will assist with the redevelopment of older buildings and stimulate development in suitable zones. The result is a scale of building less bulky than an amalgamated site due to controls such as building height, landscape area, FSR and DCP controls such as minimum setbacks, privacy, overshadowing and residential design code.
- Retaining the 9m height limit for the low & medium density housing areas as it has been unchanged for over 15 years and we have adequate controls as mentioned in the point above to ensure there are no adverse impacts.
- Increases in FSR ratio both in and around the Urban Centres and the minor increases in FSR in the Lower Density Zones. Within the lower density zones, these minor increases will not have any impact to the amenity of the area or the local environment as we have adequate controls as mentioned above
- Reductions in Landscape area in the Residential and R1 zones as we have adequate controls to limit its impact.

Regards



Steven Mouzakis
12 Ultimo St Caringbah, NSW 2229

I have not made any political donations.