## haveyour say



on the draft Leppington precinct plan

If you cannot make an online submission, you may like to use this form to have your say.

| Please note that it is exhibition has closed here:  | Departmental policy to make all submissions available to the public after the . If you don't want your personal details to be made public, please indicate this |  |
|---|---|--|
| ☐ I do not want my o  | details made available to the public  |  |
| Submissions close or  | Friday 19 December 2014.  |  |
| Please post your com<br>The Department of P.<br>Attention: Growth, De<br>GPO Box 39, Sydney | npleted form and any additional pages to:<br>lanning and Environment<br>esign & Programs<br>r, NSW 2001   |  |
| Alternatively, you can community@planning   | email your completed form and any additional pages to:<br>n.nsw.gov.au  |  |
| Are you a:  | ☑ Landowner ☐ Adjoining landowner ☐ Community member  |  |
| Your full name/s:   | Philip Farrygia   |  |
| Your email address:   | philfarrugia@mgfarrugia.com.au  |  |
| Would you like us to stay in touch?   | Yes - please inform me of the outcome of the exhibition.  □ No thank you.   |  |
| Your postal address:  | PO BOX 344, Liverpool BC NSW 187  |  |
| Property address/es or lot/DP:  | 35 Ingleburn Road, Leppington<br>Lot 79 DP 8979   |  |
| Do you support the draft Precinct Plan?   | ☑ Yes □ No  |  |

Image courtesy of Transport for NSW

## haveyour say



on the draft Leppington precinct plan

| Please tell us if there are things that  | ☐ Staging of rezoning   | ☐ Timing of development         |
|--|---|---------------------------------|
|  | ☐ The zoning proposed for my land                                       | ☑ Environment and heritage      |
| concern you in the draft Precinct Plan.  | ☐ Potential effect on Council rates                                     | ☐ Community facilities/services |
| You can say more about these in the space below.   | ☐ Compatibility with surrounding areas                                  | ☐ Other (please specify):       |
|  | ☐ Infrastructure provision (e.g. sewer and drinking water, electricity) |                                 |
|  | ☐ Roads and traffic   |                                 |
| Please tell us why you do or don't support the draft Precinct Plan. You may wish to expand on the items you ticked above.  Please attach additional page/s if you need to. | SEE PAGE 3 ATTHORED   |                                 |
|  |   |                                 |
|  |   |                                 |

## 35 INGLEBURN ROAD, LEPPINGTON

- 1 I understand that the question is whether any part of the dwelling is suitable for preservation to demonstrate any historical, aesthetic, scientific or social significance.
- 2 In page 89 of the Non-Indigenous Heritage Study by Conybeare Morrison International (who have not seen the home closely or internally) it says that "The item fulfils Criteria (a) and (c) and is of Moderate significance..."
  - 2.1 Criterion (a) relates to "Historical significance", yet the authors say "however its modifications compromise its significance"
  - 2.2 Criterion (c) relates to "Aesthetic significance", yet the authors say it "is a relatively common design"
- 3 I believe that a close inside and outside inspection of the dwelling house would show that there is very little either significance.
- 4 There are substantial cracks in the outside walls, no inside aesthetic appearance and no harmony in the additions to the front and east side of the dwelling to the original design.
- 5 For many years the late Anna Arlov resided in the property by herself and she did not have the financial resources to improve her dwelling in any way.
- 6 The dwelling cannot be improved to make it suitable to occupy until a substantial sum is outlayed and substantial changes are made to the dwelling.
- 7 In page 105 of the study, it says 35 Ingleburn Road, located some distance... within the ILP.
- 8 However, it appears that the location of the dwelling on the property could interfere with the location of at least one of the proposed new roads which will pass across the lot.
- 9 For the above reasons, we oppose any suggestion that the demolition of any buildings in the property should be prohibited.
- 10 As proprietors Mr Mielczarek and I are perplexed as to why the dwelling could be realistically described as of significant heritage value to the community.

Philip Farrugia