Director, Sydney Central Urban Renewal,
Department of Planning and Environment,
GPO Box 39,
SYDNEY, NSW, 2001.

http://planspolicies.planning.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?action=view_job&job_id=9243

St Leonards Crows Nest Draft Local Character Statement - Submission

I support the content of the Draft Local Character Statement in principle, but feel the Plan is not delivering on the majority of the Guiding Principles.

The predicted population increase in the St Leonards Crows Nest area over the 20 years to 2036 is almost 100% up to 26,400 people. This compares with a predicted population increase for the North District Plan area over the same period of 22%, and for Greater Sydney 36%. A more realistic, acceptable and sustainable population target needs to be set for St Leonards Crows Nest.

For the PLACE Guiding Principles:

 I object because the Significant sites, high-rise buildings and inadequate solar protection are hemming in Crows Nest and residential areas in Wollstonecraft and the low to medium-rise residential area of St Leonards.
 Access to sunlight and wind impacts need to be prioritised

For the LANDSCAPE Guiding Principles:

I object because more public open space needs to be created, funded, and delivered as a matter of urgency. The area is already well below reasonable open space guidelines, especially when considering many of the population live in apartments.

For the BUILT FORM Guiding Principles:

- I object because taller buildings and higher densities should be concentrated around St Leonards Core, and must stop at Oxley Street. Development of sites between St Leonards station and Oxley Street should be designed to avoid a solid wall of tall buildings and wind tunnels and protect solar access.
 We do not want high rise anywhere along the Pacific Highway south of Oxley Street so that Crows Nest Village will be protected.
- I object because the "Gradual sensitive height transitions" promised are not being provided in the Lane Cove Council area of the Plan. I object because high rise of up to 50 storeys overlooking low-rise areas is not acceptable.
 Equally so for Crows Nest.

For the LAND USE Guiding Principles:

 I object because there should be significantly less provision for high rise residential – more needs to be done to provide for the "diverse range of employment opportunities", "better mix of office spaces for different business sizes and types", and to "provide a mix of housing types.......to ensure that households at all stages of life are encouraged to live in the area".

For the MOVEMENT Guiding Principles:

• I object because a **detailed traffic management plan is needed** before further rezoning and high rise developments are approved.

1.54 12

 I object because the "Connectivity improvements to and around St Leonards and Crows Nest stations" and "opportunities to safely cross the Pacific Highway" must be prioritised to handle the expected 10,000 pedestrian movements per hour in peak hour.

As a final comment, the concept of the Draft Local Character Statement is to be applauded. But it appears a significant portion of the Draft 2036 Plan was written before this Character Statement was finalised, and many of the aspects of the Character Statement are not reflected in the Draft 2036 Plan.

Name: (CAPS)		and the State of t
Signature:		e garakterinak
Address: (CAPS)	WOLLSTONECRAFT NSW	2065
Email:		. 1931 - 1941. 1931 - 1941.
Date:		

and the second of the second o

Director, Sydney Central Urban Renewal,
Department of Planning and Environment,
GPO Box 39,
SYDNEY, NSW, 2001.

http://planspolicies.planning.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?action=view_job&job_id=9243

St Leonards Crows Nest Draft Green Plan Submission

I object to the St Leonards Crows Nest Draft Green Plan for the following reasons:

- I object because the Green Plan denies residents even the basic requirements for public open space and is well below any reasonable guideline. In NSW, the DoPE has used a guide of 2.83 hectares/1000 population, which the Green Plan does not come close to achieving.
- I object because the figure of 21 hectares of open space and parklands said to be available to residents and workers of the Draft Plan area is misleading. The correct figure of currently available open space is 12.7 hectares of open space – the remaining 8.3 hectares are outside the boundary of the Draft Plan area.
- I object because the **new open space must be proportional to population growth** and should occur as the population increases, not afterwards.
- I object because the Draft Green Plan has not adequately assessed open space requirements given the high-density apartment environment that is St Leonards Crows Nest. More open space is essential to compensate for the lack of private open space, to support active living, to provide a more liveable neighbourhood, and to give children living in high density housing green spaces for play, and social and physical development.
- I object because the solar protection guidelines do not adequately protect our limited public open space from overshadowing and feeling hemmed in by high rise.
- I object because the creation of new open space should be a priority. To say that
 the recommendation for increased open space is "aspirational", "to be used as a
 guide", and that it is "not binding", as set out on page 46 of the Green Plan, is not
 satisfactory.
- I object because the much of the open space identified in the plan is not of the size or quality required to meet and accommodate a range of recreation activities and needs.

For example, page 14 of the Draft Green Plan identifies that "Parks aligned with drainage corridors (such as Talus Street Reserve and Newlands Park) are difficult to access due to steep landform and arterial roads, limiting recreational opportunities and placing greater pressure on parks with better accessibility".

Talus Street Reserve is nearly 2 hectares and Newlands Park is about 1 hectare – these are two of the largest pieces of open space in the Green Plan area.

	_
Name:	zue gestüttente.
(CAPS)	Alternative Allert Anna Carlot
Signature	ugus an ing si Assantia. Sa an ing sagan si Santia. Asing sagan si Santia sa sa an ing sagan sa
Address: (CAPS)	
WOLLSTONECHAFT NSW 2065	
Email Address:	
	The second secon
aten in trape a service in a fact a more entre en a vertice en al vertice en al vertice en al vertice en al ve La companya de la co	Andrew State Control of the Angree State Control of the Angree State Sta
them policies a summa see the second	
ed in a transfer en troem in terminate plant, en les trapaciós, a lati Inclusiva a la transfer de la ¹ 10 a la la estate de la la date de la lacidad.	
(a) The second of the secon	man salah terteri di 1900. Sahir di Salah salah terteri

Director, Sydney Central Urban Renewal, Department of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, SYDNEY, NSW, 2001.

http://planspolicies.planning.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?action=view_job&job_id=9243

St Leonards and Crows Nest 2036 Draft Plan - Submission

The decision to develop a coordinated plan for St Leonards Crows Nest is supported, as development in this area has long been uncoordinated because the area is controlled by three separate Local Councils. However, I would like to raise the following objections:

- I object to the proposed building heights along the Pacific Highway must be reduced. Such heights will seriously impact residents in the area, and cannot be supported.
- I object because the Draft Plan fails to ensure the necessary infrastructure needed for population increase (especially open space, schools, healthcare, and traffic management) is planned and provided for before further development occurs.
- I object because the Draft Plan fails to deliver on a key Land Use objective of the Plan, namely commercial premises to support the development of an employment hub.
- I object because the Plan fails to deliver on a key Land Use objective of the Plan, namely the **provision of a mix of housing**. There are already more than enough high-rise apartment developments in the area. The St Leonards South area provides the opportunity to plan for medium density R3 development.
- I object because the building controls for the designated Significant Sites should be specified. These heights must be set in consultation with the community.
- I object to the **triangle site** (bounded by Falcon Street, Alexander Street and the Pacific Highway) being a Significant Site. The Triangle Site **should NOT BE a Significant Site**. This site is adjacent to Crows Nest village, and high-rise development on this site would seriously impact your stated objective of protecting the village character of Crows Nest. The inclusion of this site also contradicts another of your stated objectives to concentrate high-rise development between the St Leonards and Crows Nest stations.
- I object because the Draft Plan has failed to address what it regards as proper planning in the St Leonards South Planning Proposal area. The St Leonards South Planning Proposal as submitted fails many of the design principles outlined in the plan, including confining high-rise development to the Pacific Highway.

I SUPPORT A COMPLETE HALT in all Planning Proposals. I want all Planning Proposals to cease.

Name:		**********	
(CAPS)			
Signatur		*********	
			** **
Address:			
		44	
WOLLSTONECRAFT	NSW	2065	
	*****************	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2.6	
Email Address:			
	$x_{i}(x_{i}) = x_{i}(x_{i}) + x_{i}(x_{i})$		tali si
5 Polaring in 201	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{I}}$		
Date: 3 February 201			