Director, Sydney Central Urban Renewal, Department of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, SYDNEY, NSW, 2001.

http://planspolicies.planning.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?action=view_job&job_id=9243

St Leonards Crows Nest Draft Green Plan Submission

I object to the St Leonards Crows Nest Draft Green Plan for the following reasons:

- The Green Plan denies residents even the basic requirements for public open space and is well below any reasonable guideline. In NSW, the DoPE has used a guide of 2.83 hectares/1000 population, which the Green Plan does not come close to achieving.
- The figure of 21 hectares of open space and parklands said to be available to residents and workers of the Draft Plan area is misleading. The correct figure of currently available open space is 12.7 hectares of open space the remaining 8.3 hectares are outside the boundary of the Draft Plan area.
- The Draft Green Plan has not adequately assessed open space requirements given the high-density apartment environment that is St Leonards Crows Nest. More open space is essential to compensate for the lack of private open space, to support active living, to provide a more liveable neighbourhood, and to give children living in high density housing green spaces for play, and social and physical development.
- The solar protection guidelines do not adequately protect our limited public open space from overshadowing and feeling hemmed in by high rise.
- The **creation of new open space should be a priority**. To say that the recommendation for increased open space is "aspirational", "to be used as a guide", and that it is "not binding", as set out on page 46 of the Green Plan, is not satisfactory.
- New open space must be proportional to population growth and should occur as the population increases, not afterwards.
- Much of the open space identified in the plan is not of the size or quality required to meet and accommodate a range of recreation activities and needs. For example, page 14 of the Draft Green Plan identifies that "Parks aligned with drainage corridors (such as Talus Street Reserve and Newlands Park) are difficult to access due to steep landform and arterial roads, limiting recreational opportunities and placing greater pressure on parks with better accessibility". Talus Street Reserve is nearly 2 hectares and Newlands Park is about 1 hectare these are two of the largest pieces of open space in the Green Plan area.

Name: (Please Print)	
Signature:	••••
Address: (Please Print)	
	mcMohons Pt 2060
Email:	
Date:	7.2.19

Director, Sydney Central Urban Renewal, Department of Planning and Environment, GPO Box 39, SYDNEY, NSW, 2001.

http://planspolicies.planning.nsw.gov.au/index.pl?action=view_job&job_id=9243

St Leonards and Crows Nest 2036 Draft Plan - Submission

The decision to develop a coordinated plan for St Leonards Crows Nest is supported, as development in this area has long been uncoordinated as the area is controlled by three separate Local Councils. However, I would like to raise the following objections:

- The proposed building neights along the Pacific Highway must be reduced. Such heights will seriously impact residents in the area, and cannot be supported.
- The Draft Plan fails to ensure the necessary infrastructure needed for population increase (especially open space, schools, healthcare, and traffic management) is planned and provided for before further development occurs.
- The Draft Plan fails to deliver on a key Land Use objective of the Plan, namely commercial premises to support the development of an employment hub.
- The Plan fails to deliver on a key Land Use objective of the Plan, namely the **provision of a mix of housing**. There are already more than enough high-rise apartment developments in the area. The St Leonards South area provides the opportunity to plan for medium density R3 development.
- Building controls for the designated Significant Sites should be specified. These heights must be set in consultation with the community.
- The triangle site bounded by Falcon Street, Alexander Street and the Pacific Highway should be excluded as a Significant Site. This site is adjacent to Crows Nest village, and high-rise development on this site would seriously impact your stated objective of protecting the village character of Crows Nest. The inclusion of this site also contradicts another of your stated objectives to concentrate high-rise development between the St Leonards and Crows Nest stations.
- The Draft Plan has failed to address what it regards as proper planning in the St Leonards South Planning Proposal area. The St Leonards South Planning Proposal as submitted fails many of the design principles outlined in the plan, including confining high-rise development to the Pacific Highway.
- To ensure public trust in the fairness and administration of the planning function, all Planning Proposals must cease if the 2036 Plan is adopted.

Name:	
(Please Print)	
Signature:	
Address:	
	<i>N</i> . N
(Please Print) mc mahon	3 HOINT
Email Address:	
Date:7. 2.19	