Notes - CTP Citizens' Jury SRG meeting - 9 October 2017

The Stakeholder Reference Group (SRG) for the ACT Citizens' Jury on Compulsory Third Party (CTP) insurance met via teleconference on 9 October 2017. The meeting was facilitated by democracyCo. All members were present except Peter McCarthy from EY who was an apology and Richard Glenn who was an apology for the first part of the meeting. Graeme Adams from Finity also attended for the first part.

1. Approval of previous meeting notes
    - The SRG noted that the notes of the 13 September 2017 SRG meeting would be recirculated.

2. Witness program
    - DemocracyCo gave an overview of the papers circulated to the SRG about the witness program.
    - Speed dialogue: The SRG approved the five speakers represented on the speed dialogue, and the intent of the session.
    - Witness workshops: The scheme designer discussed the paper circulated to the SRG on the themes for the witness workshops and the issues that might be covered in each workshop. The SRG agreed with the themes and issues. The agreed themes are:
        - Theme 1: What are the key trade-offs?
        - Theme 2 – Who is covered?
        - Theme 3 – What benefits are people covered for?
        - Theme 4 – Fairness in claim determination.
    - The SRG agreed on five witnesses for Theme 1.
    - There was a discussion about the procedure for nominating witnesses for Themes 2, 3 & 4. The content of that discussion is now disputed. The lawyer stakeholders understood that agreement was reached that they would nominate only one witness (instead of 3) for each theme and that that witness would be one of the witnesses giving evidence in the theme. The jury would then choose the other 3 witnesses in each theme from those nominated by the other Stakeholder Representatives. However the representative of democracyCo and others present at the meeting did not understand or recall the discussion in the same way. They understood the discussion and its conclusion was that all stakeholders, including the Lawyer Representatives, would be entitled to nominate up to 3 witnesses for each theme and the jury would choose all 4 witnesses for themes 2, 3 and4.
    - DemocracyCo discussed how the injured people's stories could best be conveyed to the jury and advised a consistent process was required.

3. Role of Scheme Designer
    - The SRG noted that the scheme design expert will stay with the jury across the six sitting days to answer questions.

4. Observers Code of Conduct
    - DemocracyCo discussed the Observers Code of Conduct, noting that the SRG is welcome to observe all jury sessions unless the room is closed, or nominate someone to attend in their place.
    - The SRG noted the Observers Code of Conduct.

5. Arrangements for the first day
    - DemocracyCo gave an overview of the agenda for first jury sitting day.

6. Presentation of the jury's work to SRG
    - DemocracyCo noted that the jury will write its report on day four, to hand over to a representative(s) of the SRG.

7. Next meeting to discuss SRG work program
    - The SRG noted that this will be discussed at the next SRG meeting in early November 2017.