

What questions do the Jury need to answer?

- Premiums
 - What are your comfort levels with what we are paying at the moment?
 - How much do you think is a fair amount for motorists to pay?
 - Should there be a target premium, and at what sort of level?
- Coverage
 - Who do you want the scheme to provide coverage to?
 - In addition to people who are not at fault, do you want to provide coverage for accidents where nobody was at fault? People who were at fault?
 - Should the scheme only cover what you've directly lost, or should it cover pain and suffering?
- Extent of benefits
 - Do you want all injured motorists covered by the scheme to have the same access to benefits?
 - If not how do you cover them differently?
 - Do you want to provide different levels depending on peoples' role in the accident?
 - Should more seriously injured have broader entitlements than the less seriously injured?
 - Should the scheme support people for their life, or a specific time?
 - To what extent should the scheme deliver treatment and care early in the process?
- Delivery
 - How do you navigate the system? What support do you need?
 - How are claims determined?
 - What checks and balances do you need about how decisions are made in determining claims?
 - How important is it to have your day in court?
 - What would give you confidence that decisions were being made 'well' (reasonably, fairly etc)?
 - Is it OK to get claims settled at the end with a single payment, or is there a need for amounts to be paid along the way?
 - Treatment, rehabilitation and care
 - Loss of earnings
 - Pain and suffering

Of all of these, which priorities are most important to you?