

The community workshop was facilitated attended by 32 local residents and stakeholders.

The workshop was facilitated by Mr Steven Sagona, an independent facilitator. Mr Ross Thomson of Traffix Group, an external traffic consultant, was also present to respond to comments relating to traffic engineering design.

On behalf of Bayside City Council, Mr Matt Kelleher, Manager Urban Strategy, Mr Tom Vercoe, Senior Strategic Planner, Mr Matthew Budahazy, Strategic Planner and Mr Supun Weerasinghe, Senior Traffic Engineer, were in attendance.

**What happened at the workshop?**

Mr Sagona provided an overview of the process and why the workshop was occurring.

Mr Kelleher explained the purpose and process for the workshop, including this history of the *Willis Street Precinct Urban Design Framework* (2013), the decision-making process and the decision-making criteria.

In relation to decision-making process, Mr Kelleher explained that the outcome of this workshop would be one of a number of inputs into the decision making process.



In relation to the decision-making criteria, Mr Kelleher explained that Council officers would frame their recommendation to councillors having regard to amenity, safety, functionality, good urban design, efficient use of land. Detailed traffic engineering design will also occur to assess the level of suitability of the preferred option.

Mr David Osborne of the Hampton Neighbourhood Association raised the issue of the assessment criteria, with participants agreeing that they would like more information about the criteria and any weighted scoring.

Council officers agreed to provide this in a reasonable time after the meeting on Council's website.

Mr Vercoe explained the Council's options A, B and C as outlined in the circulated brochure. Mr Osborne explained Options D and E, prepared by the Hampton Neighbourhood Association.

A period of questions and answers followed in order to clarify some of the detail and implications of the various options. Once the discussion concluded, participants were invited to use a scoring system to indicate their first, second and third preferences using coloured dots. Images of the final dots are included below.

**What were the outcomes of the workshop?**

Ultimately, Option D was selected as the preferred option from the workshop, followed by Option E, followed by Option C.

Council officers agreed to provide further detail as to the decision making criteria which will form the basis of the recommendation to Council. This is expected to be available through the next week on Council's website.

Written submissions regarding the options are able to be submitted via Council's Have Your Say page online.

