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Pie Chart Categorising 4 ‘Errors’ Identified in Kaye Oddie’s Submission to Panel

- Administrative corrections, 2, 50%
- Pre-existing errors in Inventory (as approved, June 2016), 2, 50%
Perceived flaws in Inventory identified in Kaye Oddie’s Submission to Panel¹

98-166 Macaulay Road, North Melbourne

Council’s findings in relation to the issues raised with the entry for this property in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258 are that:

1. The building grading for the property has been converted from ‘A’ to ‘Significant’ and the streetscape grading has been converted from ‘2’ to ‘-’ in accordance with the C258 Grading Conversion Methodology.

2. This issue identified by Ms Oddie in relation to this property relates to the location of the entry for ‘98-166 Macaulay Road’ in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.

3. It appears that as a result of a clerical error, the entry for this property has been listed in the Flemington and Kensington section of corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.

4. On this basis, it is appropriate to make an administrative correction to the entry for this property by relocating the entry to the ‘North and West Melbourne’ section of the Inventory proposed under Amendment C258.

161-163 Gatehouse Street, Parkville (Walmsley House)

Council’s findings in relation to the issues raised with the absence of an entry for this property in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258 are that:

1. Walmsley House is located within an Individual Heritage Overlay HO895 and is included in the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR H1946).

2. The heritage place is sited within, and forms part of, Royal Park, and has been listed in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258 under the entry, ‘Royal Park, Flemington Road’ accordingly, with a building grading, ‘Significant’, and streetscape grading, ‘Significant’, in accordance with the C258 Grading Conversion Methodology.

3. The issue identified by Ms Oddie in relation to this property is associated with the absence of a specific entry in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258 for Walmsley House.

4. It is accepted that an administrative correction could be made to the Inventory to clarify that the extant entry, ‘Royal Park, Flemington Road’, includes Walmsley House, by creating a separate entry for this heritage place, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Building Grading</th>
<th>Significant Streetscape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gatehouse Street</td>
<td>161-163 (Walmsley House)</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Park Keeper’s Lodge, The Avenue, Parkville

Council’s findings in relation to the issues raised with the entry for this property in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258 are that:

1. The Park Keeper’s Lodge (the Lodge) is located within an Individual Heritage Overlay HO1093, which is associated with the Royal Park entry in the Victorian Heritage Register

¹ Refer to Appendix 1 – Kaye Oddie for detailed summary sheet for each property
(VHR H2337). It is noted that the Park Keeper's Lodge is not included in the extent of registration for Walmsley House (VHR H1946) under HO895.

2. It appears that as a result of a clerical error, the streetscape grading for the pre-existing entry in the Inventory (as approved, June 2016), has been converted from '2' to '-', instead of adopting the 'Significant' streetscape grading of the entry for 'Royal Park, Flemington Road'.

3. The issue identified by Ms Oddie in relation to this property is associated with the streetscape grading, '-', for the entry for the Park Keeper's Lodge in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.

4. It is further noted that Ms Oddie has raised concerns regarding the absence of the "foreman's lodge in Royal Park" at 163 Gatehouse Street, Parkville, in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258. With respect to this latter concern, it is Council's understanding that the 'foreman's lodge' referred to by Ms Oddie is in fact the 'Park Keeper's Lodge', which is listed in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under the entry, 'The Avenue, Park Keeper's Lodge'.

5. On this basis, it is appropriate to make an administrative correction to the entry for this property as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Building Grading</th>
<th>Significant Streetscape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Avenue</td>
<td>Park Keeper's Lodge</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>-Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2a Manningham Street, Parkville

Council's findings in relation to the issues raised with the entry for this property in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258 are that:

1. 2a Manningham Street, Parkville, (Southgate Lodge) is located within an Individual Heritage Overlay HO1093, which is associated with the Royal Park entry in the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR H2337).

2. It appears that as a result of a clerical error, the streetscape grading for the pre-existing entry in the Inventory (as approved, June 2016), has been converted from '-' to '--', instead of adopting the 'Significant' streetscape grading of the entry for 'Royal Park, Flemington Road'.

3. The issue identified by Ms Oddie in relation to this property is associated with the streetscape grading, '--', for the entry for the Park Keeper's Lodge in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.

4. On this basis, it is appropriate to make an administrative correction to the entry for this property as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Building Grading</th>
<th>Significant Streetscape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manningham Street</td>
<td>2A (Southgate Lodge)</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>-Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table: Kaye Oddie's Submission to Panel on 3 September 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street No.</th>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Suburb</th>
<th>Alternative address in any Inventory?</th>
<th>Kaye Oddie's Submission to Panel on 3 September 2018</th>
<th>Affected by HO?</th>
<th>Grading in Existing Inventory (June 2016?)</th>
<th>Original Exhibited Inventory Grading (Period: 29-MAR-17 to 12-MAY-17)</th>
<th>Corrected Exhibited Inventory Grading (Period: 07-DEC-17 to 29-JAN-18)</th>
<th>Inventory Grading in Attachment 4 to Agenda Item 6.4 - 20-FEB-18 FMC</th>
<th>C258 Grading Conversion Methodology</th>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88-166</td>
<td>Macaulay Road</td>
<td>North Melbourne</td>
<td>This property is not listed under any other address in the Inventory (as approved, June 2016), or in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.</td>
<td>The listing is part of the former Melbourne Gas Company complex in Macaulay Road, North Melbourne (of HO 1113, VHR H1731) and should be listed correctly in the North and West Melbourne section of the Inventory.</td>
<td>Yes - HO1113</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Building: Significant, Streetscape: -</td>
<td>The building grading for the property has been converted from 'X' to 'Significant' and the streetscape grading has been converted from '2' to '-' in accordance with the C258 Grading Conversion Methodology.</td>
<td>The issue identified by Ms Oddie in relation to this property relates to the location of the entry for '98-166 Macaulay Road' in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258. It appears that as a result of a clerical error, the entry for this property has been listed in the Flemington and Kensington section of corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258. It is accepted that an administrative correction could be made to the Inventory to clarify that the correct listing for '98-166 Macaulay Road' is located in the North and West Melbourne section of the Inventory proposed under Amendment C258.</td>
<td>that an administrative correction be made to the entry for this property by relocating the entry to the North and West Melbourne section of the Inventory proposed under Amendment C258.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191-193</td>
<td>Gatehouse Street</td>
<td>Parkville</td>
<td>This property is not listed under any other address in the Inventory (as approved, June 2016), and is included in the entry, 'Royal Park, Flemington Road', in the re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.</td>
<td>The Gatehouse is located within an Individual Heritage Overlay HO395 and is included in the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR H1946).</td>
<td>Yes - HO395, HO1093</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>The building grading for the property has been assigned and should be included in the entry, 'Royal Park, Flemington Road', with building grading; 'Significant', and streetscape grading: 'Significant'.</td>
<td>Yearley House is located within an Individual Heritage Overlay HO295 and is included in the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR H1946). The heritage place is a shed within, and forms part of, Royal Park, and has been listed in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258 under the entry, 'Royal Park, Flemington Road' accordingly, with a building grading, 'Significant', and streetscape grading, 'Significant', in accordance with the C258 Grading Conversion Methodology.</td>
<td>The issue identified by Ms Oddie in relation to this property is associated with the absence of a specific entry in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258 for Yearley House. It is noted that Yearley House is shed within, and forms part of, Royal Park, and is included in the entry, 'Royal Park, Flemington Road', in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258. It is accepted that an administrative correction could be made to the Inventory to clarify that the correct listing for 'Yearley House' is included in the North and West Melbourne section of the Inventory proposed under Amendment C258.</td>
<td>that an administrative correction be made to the Inventory proposed under Amendment C258 to clarify that Yearley House is included in the entry 'Royal Park, Flemington Road'.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Keeper's Lodge</td>
<td>The Avenue</td>
<td>Parkville</td>
<td>This property is listed in the Inventory (as approved, June 2016), with in the entry, 'Royal Parade (Prince's Park), Park Keeper's Lodge', and is listed as 'The Avenue, Park Keeper's Lodge', and included in the entry, 'Royal Park, Flemington Road', in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.</td>
<td>If Royal Park itself has a Significant Streetscape Grading, then why aren't the buildings that are part of the Park and located at its perimeters also assigned Significant Streetscape gradings? These include: Park Keeper's Lodge, The Avenue.</td>
<td>Yes - HO859, HO1093</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Building: Significant, Streetscape: -</td>
<td>The Park Keeper's Lodge (the Lodge) is located within an Individual Heritage Overlay HO1093, which is associated with the Royal Park entry in the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR H2337). It appears that as a result of a clerical error, the streetscape grading for the pre-existing entry in the Inventory, 'Park Keeper's Lodge, The Avenue', was not catered for; instead of adopting the 'Significant' streetscape grading of the entry for 'Royal Park, Flemington Road', it is noted that the Park Keeper's Lodge is not included in the extent of registration for Walmley House (VHR H1946).</td>
<td>The issue identified by Ms Oddie in relation to this property is associated with the streetscape grading, '-' for the entry for the Park Keeper's Lodge in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258. It is further noted that Ms Oddie has raised concerns regarding the absence of the 'Torenman's Lodge' in Royal Park at 163 Gatehouse Street, Parkville, in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258. It is Council's understanding that the Torenman's Lodge referred to by Ms Oddie is in fact the 'Park Keeper's Lodge', which is listed in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under the entry 'The Avenue, Park Keeper's Lodge'.</td>
<td>that an administrative correction be made to the Inventory for this property by amending the streetscape grading to 'Significant'.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Manningham Street</td>
<td>Parkville</td>
<td>This property is listed in the Inventory (as approved, June 2016), with in the entry, 'Southgate Street, Lodge in Park', and is listed as '2a Manningham Street, and included in the entry, 'Royal Park, Flemington Road', in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.</td>
<td>If Royal Park itself has a Significant Streetscape Grading, then why aren't the buildings that are part of the Park and located at its perimeters also assigned Significant Streetscape gradings? These include: 2a Manningham St, Parkville.</td>
<td>Yes - HO1093</td>
<td>8,3</td>
<td>Not listed</td>
<td>Building: Significant, Streetscape: -</td>
<td>Va Manningham Street (Southgate Lodge) is located within an Individual Heritage Overlay HO1093, which is associated with the Royal Park entry in the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR H2337). It appears that as a result of a clerical error, the streetscape grading for the pre-existing entry in the Inventory (as approved, June 2016), has been converted from '2' to '-', instead of adopting the 'Significant' streetscape grading of the entry for 'Royal Park, Flemington Road'.</td>
<td>The issue identified by Ms Oddie in relation to this property is associated with the streetscape grading, '-' for the entry for 2a Manningham Street in the corrected re-exhibited Inventory under Amendment C258.</td>
<td>that an administrative correction be made to the entry for this property by amending the streetscape grading to 'Significant'.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:**

- **Kaye Oddie's Submissions:** These submissions highlight issues identified by Kaye Oddie in relation to specific properties in Melbourne. Each entry includes details about the property's address, grading, and any issues identified by Ms. Oddie. The submissions are designed to ensure that the grading and classification of heritage properties are accurate and consistent with the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR) and other relevant heritage registers.

- **Findings:** The findings section indicates the administrative action required to address the issues identified by Ms. Oddie. This action could involve correcting the grading, relocating the entry to a different section, or adjusting the streetscape grading to ensure compliance with heritage regulations.

- **Grading Conversion Methodology:** The grading conversion methodology is used to convert between different grading systems, ensuring a consistent approach to the classification of heritage properties.

- **Legacy Issues:** The text suggests that some issues, particularly regarding the grading and classification of properties, have been a legacy issue, with the grading being changed from 'A' to 'Significant' and the streetscape grading from '2' to '-' to reflect the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR) grading standards.

- **Amendment C258:** This refers to a specific amendment to the Melbourne Planning Scheme, which aims to harmonize and update heritage legislation and protections across the city. The amendment covers the grading and classification of heritage properties, ensuring alignment with the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR) standards.