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Important note about your report

The sole purpose of this report and the associated services performance by Jacobs is to identify areas of high environmental and landscape value, habitat corridors and urban forest within the Yarra River corridor that are not currently protected by any planning controls. That scope of services, as described in this report, was developed with Melbourne Water.

In preparing this report, Jacobs has relied upon, and presumed accurate, information (or confirmation of the absence thereof) provided by Melbourne Water and/or from other sources. Except as otherwise stated in the report, Jacobs has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of any such information. If the information is subsequently determined to be false, inaccurate or incomplete then it is possible that our observations and conclusions and expressed in this report may change.

The pass of time, manifestation of latent conditions or impacts of future events may require further examination of the project and subsequent data analysis, and re-evaluation of the data, findings, observations and conclusions expressed in this report. Jacobs has prepared this report in accordance with the usual care and thoroughness of the consulting profession, for the sole purpose described above and by referee to applicable standards, guidelines, procedures and practices at the date of issue of this report. For the reasons outlined above, however, no other warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made as to the data, observations and findings expressed in this report, to the extent permitted by law.

This report should be read in full and no excerpts are to be taken as representative of the findings. No responsibility is accepted by Jacobs for use of any part of this report in any other context.

This report has been prepared on behalf of, and for the exclusive use of, Melbourne Water, and is subject to, and issues in accordance with, the provisions of the contract between Jacobs and Melbourne Water. Jacobs accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for, or in respect of, any use of, or reliance upon, this report by any third party.
1. Introduction

Melbourne Water is leading the development of the Yarra Strategic Plan (YSP) which will give effect to the community's long-term vision for the Yarra and provided the basis for the future planning of the river corridor and each of its reaches. This is in response to the Yarra River Protection (Willip-gin Birrarung Murron) Act 2017, which was introduced to the Victorian Parliament to protect the Yarra River for future generations. An important consideration for the development of the YSP are the current and future planning controls that relate to protection of values along the Yarra River corridor as well as mitigation of threats to those values.

1.1 Scope of this study

Jacobs was engaged by Melbourne Water to support the development of the YSP by identifying key gaps in planning controls and opportunities for future protection. The particular objectives were to:

1. Map the current planning controls to identify areas that contain values but are not currently under any form of protection;

2. Review current state, zoning, maps and future plans obtained from YSP project partners to identify areas where future planning controls are in development; and

3. Liaise with Councils adjacent to the Yarra River to gain future information regarding priorities, challenges and gaps in planning controls.

YSP project partners include Councils adjacent to the Yarra River, the Port Phillip Catchment Management Authority, Parks Victoria and the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP).

This document reports the outcomes of Objectives 2 & 3. Objective 1 was delivered as a component of a separate but related project – the development of the Yarra Strategic Plan Values and Threats Spatial Database.
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2. Approach

2.1 Review of YSP partner documents

Forty documents that outline strategies for managing various aspects (e.g. social, environmental, planning, economic) of regions along the Yarra River were provided to Jacobs for review (Table 1). They have been published by Councils and other government agencies that have an interest in or whose jurisdiction abuts the Yarra River. These entities are: Banyule City Council, City of Boroondara, City of Hobsons Bay, City of Melbourne, City of Stonnington, City of Yarra, Manningham City Council, Nillumbik Shire Council, Yarra Ranges Council, the Department of Environment, Land, Water & Planning (DELWP) and Parks Victoria.

Table 1. YSP partner documents included in review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Document name</th>
<th>Responsible agency</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Boroondara Urban Biodiversity Strategy</td>
<td>City of Boroondara</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Protecting Victoria's Environment - Biodiversity 2037</td>
<td>DELWP</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Urban Biodiversity Implementation Plan 2017-2020</td>
<td>City of Boroondara</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Urban Ecology and Biodiversity Strategy</td>
<td>City of Melbourne</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hobsons Bay Draft Biodiversity Strategy 2017-22</td>
<td>City of Hobsons Bay</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Nillumbik Biodiversity Strategy 2012</td>
<td>Nillumbik Shire Council</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sustainable Environment Strategy 2013-2017</td>
<td>City of Stonnington</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Draft Urban Forest Strategy</td>
<td>City of Stonnington</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Draft Council Plan 2017-2021</td>
<td>City of Stonnington</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yarra Valley, Yarra And Dandenong Ranges Green Wedge Management Plan</td>
<td>Yarra Ranges Council</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Plan Melbourne 2017-2050</td>
<td>DELWP</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Boroondara Open Space Strategy</td>
<td>City of Boroondara</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yarra Open Space Strategy</td>
<td>City of Yarra</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yarra Environmental Strategy 2013-17</td>
<td>City of Yarra</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Nillumbik Green Wedge Management Plan (Volume 1 and 2)</td>
<td>Nillumbik Shire Council</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Yarra Ranges National Park Management Plan</td>
<td>Parks Victoria</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Yarra Valley Parklands Management Plan</td>
<td>Parks Victoria</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Dandenong Ranges National Park</td>
<td>Parks Victoria</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Warrandyte State Park Management Plan</td>
<td>Parks Victoria</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Linking people and spaces</td>
<td>Parks Victoria</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Manningham Green Wedge Management Plan</td>
<td>Manningham Council</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Boroondara Integrated Water Management Strategy</td>
<td>City of Boroondara</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Flora &amp; Fauna Plan</td>
<td>Yarra Ranges Council</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>YRC ROSS 2013-2023 Implementation</td>
<td>Yarra Ranges Council</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Manningham City Council Open Space Strategy (Part 1 and 2)</td>
<td>Manningham Council</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Nillumbik Integrated Water Management Strategy</td>
<td>Nillumbik Shire Council</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 Council liaisons

Councils whose boundaries intersect with the Yarra River are as follows:

- City of Melbourne
- City of Stonnington
- City of Yarra
- City of Boroondara
- Manningham City Council
- Banyule City Council
- Yarra Ranges Council
- Nillumbik Shire Council

Meetings were held with representatives from six of the eight Councils, most of whom were strategic planners. Unfortunately, despite several attempts to make contact, we were unsuccessful in booking meetings with representatives from the City of Melbourne and Yarra Ranges Council. Prior to our telephone meetings, the Council staff were provided with an overview of the project and the purpose of the meetings to help guide the discussion. A simple web interface was developed to efficiently facilitate the Council discussions and map the information gathered during those discussions – the Envision Planning Controls Review app. Council staff were also provided with login details to enable them to add information.
3. **Results**

3.1 **Review of YSP partner documents**

3.1.1 **Common findings**

Most documents were written at a high level and generally did not identify specific actions, locations of interest, etc. However, a number of common values and threats were identified and these are listed below, together with actions where these were specifically mentioned:

**Value: Yarra River and its tributaries**
- Improve the environmental condition of all waterways and use them as biodiversity corridors (many connect to the Yarra River)
  - Educating community and engaging community groups
- Protect and/or improve vegetation along Yarra River
  - Community groups, Council efforts, school programs
- Reduce runoff entering the Yarra River
  - Water Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) / Integrated Water Management (IWM)

**Value: Biodiversity/open space**
- Improve connectivity between biodiversity sites, parks and nature corridors (either connect or create biodiversity paths)
  - Vegetating schools as well as private and public land to provide links for biodiversity corridors
- Acknowledged the need to acquire land to connect nature corridors in some cases
- Connect community with nature through educational programs and community engagement
  - Incentives
  - Educational
  - Improve wellbeing of public

**Value: Urban forestry**
- Maintain/improve landscape with indigenous vegetation
- Account for climate change in long-term plans

**Value: Cultural heritage**
- Identify and protect Aboriginal cultural sites
- Accommodate respect for European cultural history within revegetation activities (more so for inner urban areas)

**Threat: Protection from development**
- Tree removal as a consequence of development and potential disruption to biodiversity corridors, including waterway corridors
- Follow current planning schemes
  - Apply for amendments – enforce WSUD features, etc.
- Balance the competing demands of maintaining residential amenity and population growth through appropriate planning

**Threat: Climate change**
- Impact of extreme weather events on
  - Plants and animals
  - Flows in waterways
  - Private and community parks, gardens and streetscapes; and
  - Provision and maintenance of infrastructure to accommodate resident needs and aspirations
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3.1.2 Most useful documents

The following documents are directly related to the Yarra River and each contain high level details and analysis of values, threats and planning controls along its corridor:

- Yarra River Corridor Strategy Volumes 1 & 2 (Item 11 in Table 1, City of Yarra, 2015 #1617)
- Middle Yarra Corridor Study (Item 33 in Table 1, DELWP, 2016 #1618)
- Lower Yarra Corridor Study (Item 34 in Table 1, DELWP, 2016 #1619)

These Lower and Middle Yarra Corridor Studies, in particular, contain detailed information on the geographical context, topography, environment & biodiversity, character & amenity, cultural heritage and social aspects of the Yarra River. The content of each of these documents is categorised and summarised by several factors in Table 2 through to Table 4. A summary of the remaining documents listed in Table 1 can be found in Appendix A.

Table 2. Summary of Yarra River Corridor Strategy Volumes 1 & 2 (City of Yarra 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Key excerpts and recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Value                               | **ENVIRONMENTAL, CHARACTER & AMENITY**  
- Substantial built form setbacks from the river corridor which allow vegetation to screen built form  
- Naturalistic river edge  
- Highly vegetated  

**TOURISM & RECREATION**  
- Sculpture trail along the river frontage

**Protection from development**  
The recent changes to State policy and the Boroondara Planning Scheme (VC96), instigated by the Planning Minister (October 2012), have changed the context for Yarra River planning policy and controls. The VC96 planning scheme amendment introduced mandatory height controls within the City of Boroondara and State policy for river corridors. State and local government agencies are investigating stronger Planning Scheme provisions in the Middle Yarra (from Darebin Creek confluence to Warrandyte).  
Upgrading of the built form controls along the City of Yarra’s section of the Yarra River through the amended schedule 1 to the Design and Development Overlay (DDO1).  
Recommendations for stronger setback and height provisions were released in a report – Middle Yarra River Corridor Study – Draft Recommendations Report – July 2014.  
Stronger controls are required to protect the river banks and the vegetated buffer corridor along the river. Mandatory ground level setbacks and building heights along the river frontage are recommended to address this issue. This recommendation is in keeping with the approach taken in Amendment VC96 and Plan Melbourne (May 2014) which encourages the use of stronger controls along the Yarra River for protection against inappropriate development. It is also consistent with the DSE 2005 report (e.g. for Leafy Suburban) and the MSS which states: prescribing maximum building heights and setbacks is necessary for some sections of the Corridor.  
The key policies and controls that currently apply to the Yarra River in the City of Yarra found in the Yarra Planning Scheme are:  
- Clause 11.04-8 River Corridors (SPPF)  
- Clause 21.05-3 Built Form Character - Yarra River Corridor (MSS)  
- Clause 21.07-2 Yarra River, Merri Creek & Darebin Creek (MSS)  
- Residential, commercial and industrial zones  
- Design & Development Overlay for the majority of the river environs (DDO1) & Victoria St East precinct river environs (DDO4 – expired 30/9/11)  
- Land Subject to Inundation Overlay (LSIO)
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- Environmental Significance Overlay for the Yarra River environs (ESO1)
  The zones, DDO, LSIO and ESO trigger permits for most types of development and certain uses along the Yarra River. DDO1, DDO4 and ESO1 apply specifically to the Yarra River corridor and include objectives and guidelines. DDO1 includes discretionary building setback and height limits.
  The related creek corridors are also covered by DDO1 which applies to all three waterways. ESO2 applies to the ‘Merri Creek and Environs’ and ESO3 applies to the ‘Darebin Creek and Environs’.
  Key controls that apply on the opposite banks of the Yarra River are:
    - Stonnington LSIO, DDO31 & Significant Landscape Overlay (SLO) SLO1
    - Boroondara LSIO, DDO & ESO

  Key controls that apply to discrete areas along the City of Yarra’s Yarra River corridor are:
    - Comprehensive Development Zone at Victoria Gardens (CDZ1) & Richmond Maltings Site (CDZ3)
    - Priority Development Zone at Yarra Gardens / Shamrock Street North (PDZ1)
    - Special Use Zone at Latrobe Golf Course (SUZ1) and at Abbotsford Convent (SUZ4)
    - Urban Floodway Zone (UFZ) at View Street, Alphington
    - Development Plan Overlay at 572-576 Swan St (DPO3) and at the Amcor site (DPO11)
    - Public Acquisition Overlay (PAO2) along two main waterway sections
    - Special Building Overlay (SBO) at a small area of residential land in Alphington
    - Heritage Overlay (HO) at various sites

  The CDZ, PDZ and DPO include discretionary and mandatory building height, setbacks and river access requirements as well as design guidelines.

| Issue/areas for improvement | Recent development within the corridor has not adhered to the existing height and setback controls set out in the planning scheme. Built form has encroached closer to the river and exceeded discretionary height limits. In some cases, recent development has been located up to, and beyond, the crestrline on the river bank. The siting of built form close to the river’s edge is a significant issue. Threats to the river corridor’s character and values are greatest in these areas because development has the most impact on the river and its banks.

  Backyard subdivision along the Yarra River frontage is a pressing issue in the residential areas of nearby municipalities and has already taken place in the Leafy Suburban character type. Other types of development that are an issue in this character type are tennis courts, swimming pools, fencing and stairs along the river frontage. This development threatens to disturb vegetation, including remnant vegetation, and the river bank along the river corridor. Loss of vegetation to such development is also likely to adversely impact on water quality, bank stabilisation and the secluded feel of the area.

  Land in the Current and Ex-Industrial type is under particular pressure for redevelopment, especially around the Victoria Street Major Activity Centre (MAC). It has been experiencing the most intense development pressure from buildings, particularly in terms of excessive heights and insufficient setbacks. The key impacts of this are loss of amenity and landscape and environmental values.

  Since the expiry of interim DDO4 which applied to the Yarra River corridor in Victoria Street East Precinct, however, discretionary controls encouraging built form setbacks and restricting heights and built form guidelines have been lacking for this key section of the river.

  While visible development is expected within this city context, and the existing heritage buildings are valued from an aesthetic point of view (in some cases), it is important to protect the river and banks from being dominated by encroaching built form.

  The motorway edge in Cremorne and Burnley has effectively destroyed any possibility of creating a peaceful riverine landscape, but it is possible to envisage a substantial improvement to the built form that flanks CityLink / Monash Freeway. The current planning scheme policy and provisions provide for this but this could be improved to provide more emphasis providing views between the buildings along the built form edge.

  Further work should be undertaken to review policy and controls for the Victoria Street Activity Centre precincts, particularly the land located away from the river, the removed DDO4 and the |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies, plans, etc. for those values</th>
<th>Maintain and enhance the natural landscape character of the Yarra River Corridor, in which the topography of the river and its banks, and a naturalistic corridor of canopy trees, are the dominant features in public views of the river and its setting.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create a new, high quality and visually permeable built form edge to the motorway and river along the northern flank of CityLink in Cremorne and Richmond.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain the sense of seclusion the Yarra River Corridor provides, particularly around Collingwood Children’s Farm and upstream of Johnston Street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimise the visual intrusion of buildings and works, when viewed from major roads, paths, bridge crossings, public open space, the Main Yarra Trail and the Yarra River itself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure the siting and design of buildings is of a high architectural standard, with all elevations, external colours and finishes demonstrating a positive interface with the Yarra River and its natural landscape and environmental character.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimise visual bulk impact and allow views to the Yarra River and its vegetated corridor by providing spacing between buildings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Set back development from the river edge to protect the landscape, topography and vegetation of the riverine environment as dominant visual elements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure all buildings, particularly on visible hill slopes, skylines and ridgelines, are subordinate to existing vegetation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure public views of any buildings and works are filtered through existing vegetation and trees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avoid visually dominant, sheer and unarticulated building elevations and materials that contrast with the surrounding landscape character.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

local policy at Clause 22.11 for the Victoria Street East Precinct Policy.

DAREBIN & MERRI CREEK
This project focuses on the Yarra River, further work should be undertaken to review controls along the Darebin and Merri Creek corridors, particularly in terms of the DDO1 boundary.

REVIEW OF SITE-SPECIFIC CONTROLS
In order to avoid conflict with detailed site specific controls and unnecessary duplication, the DDO should be removed from the following areas and further work considered to revise these controls to be consistent with this strategy:

- Forensicare (subject to PUZ schedule)
- Abbotsford Convent (subject to SUZ4 and an incorporated Master Plan)
- Botanicca (subject to DPO3)
- Amcor site (subject to DPO11)

DETAILED SITE & AREA PLANS
As recommended in the DSE 2005 report, more detailed design and development planning should be undertaken to provide guidance for any substantial redevelopment in the future at the Carlton and United Breweries (CUB) site and at Victoria Crescent, Abbotsford between Gipps Street and Church Street.

This is still warranted because of the potential for redevelopment and their proximity to the river. Detailed controls at the CUB site should require public access through the site via an extension of neighbouring streets through to the river, as well as increased river setbacks, riverside public open space, and reduced heights, if and when redevelopment occurs.

THREATS
- Subdivision and more intense development adjacent to the river corridor
- Vegetation removal
- Loss of well-vegetated informal gardens which screen built form from the river corridor
- Immodest and excessive boating infrastructure
- Fencing and other infrastructure (e.g. stairs) along the river edge
- Backyard tennis courts, swimming pools and other built form that reduces space for planting and impacts on the naturalistic landform

Strategies, plans, etc. for those values

- Maintain and enhance the natural landscape character of the Yarra River Corridor, in which the topography of the river and its banks, and a naturalistic corridor of canopy trees, are the dominant features in public views of the river and its setting.
- Create a new, high quality and visually permeable built form edge to the motorway and river along the northern flank of CityLink in Cremorne and Richmond.
- Maintain the sense of seclusion the Yarra River Corridor provides, particularly around Collingwood Children’s Farm and upstream of Johnston Street.
- Minimise the visual intrusion of buildings and works, when viewed from major roads, paths, bridge crossings, public open space, the Main Yarra Trail and the Yarra River itself.
- Ensure the siting and design of buildings is of a high architectural standard, with all elevations, external colours and finishes demonstrating a positive interface with the Yarra River and its natural landscape and environmental character.
- Minimise visual bulk impact and allow views to the Yarra River and its vegetated corridor by providing spacing between buildings.
- Set back development from the river edge to protect the landscape, topography and vegetation of the riverine environment as dominant visual elements.
- Ensure all buildings, particularly on visible hill slopes, skylines and ridgelines, are subordinate to existing vegetation.
- Ensure public views of any buildings and works are filtered through existing vegetation and trees.
- Avoid visually dominant, sheer and unarticulated building elevations and materials that contrast with the surrounding landscape character.
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- Ensure built form does not overshadow public open spaces, the Yarra River and the Main Yarra Trail.
- Avoid buildings and works, including fences, jetties, boat moorings, within 30 metres from the Yarra River edge to protect existing riparian vegetation.
- Maintain access to sunlight in public spaces.
- Ensure development provides passive surveillance of public areas.
- Ensure lighting is designed to minimise light spill on public open space, the Main Yarra Trail and the Yarra River itself.

The use of a DDO and an ESO, as currently exists along the river frontage, is still considered to be appropriate for the Yarra River corridor in the City of Yarra. This reflects the findings of the DSE 2005 Report. It also considered the appropriate overlay controls for the area and resolved that the ESO and DDO should be retained.

The existing DDO and ESO should be updated and strengthened to protect and enhance the character and values of the river corridor.

Table 3. Summary of Middle Yarra Corridor Study: Recommendations Report (DELWP 2016b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Key excerpts and recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Value                       | There were many aspects of the river corridor in which submitters valued. In particular, these included:  
- The special river corridor ambiance and aesthetic  
- Its role as a refuge for indigenous plants and animals  
- The open space and recreation areas along the corridor  
- Native trees and animals. |
| Waterways make a significant contribution to Melbourne’s landscape and liveability. Melbourne’s two main rivers are the Yarra and the Maribyrnong. The Victorian Government is committed to protecting both the Yarra and Maribyrnong Rivers from inappropriate development and safeguarding public access. |
| DELWP commissioned this study of the Middle Yarra River corridor to better understand and assess the need for new or amended planning controls and design guidelines for land in proximity to the Yarra River between Burke Road and Warrandyte. |
| The objective of this study is to achieve consistent development outcomes along the Middle Yarra River to ensure that further development does not encroach on the river’s landscape, environmental, aesthetic, cultural and recreational values. Importantly, the study focuses on management of the interface between public and private land along the corridor. |
| The Middle Yarra River Corridor Study is being prepared in partnership with Banyule City Council, Manningham City Council, Shire of Nillumbik and Melbourne Water. A range of stakeholders, including local community groups, have been consulted in the development of the study to better understand the river’s values and the preferred outcomes for this landscape. |
| Protection from development | The following planning controls are recommended to be applied within the Middle Yarra Corridor:  
- Council to consider updating the Municipal Strategic Statement (MSS) to include the content and outcomes of this study as part of a future review of the Local Planning Policy Framework.  
- Apply the Design and Development Overlay (DDO) to private land adjacent to, or within close proximity to, the Yarra River, establishing mandatory building heights and setbacks from the river’s edge, as well as other detailed discretionary design requirements.  
- Apply the Significant Landscape Overlay (SLO) to land adjoining the river, to capture an area approximate to the recommended area of management, establishing consistent landscape, vegetation and other management requirements. |
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- Include a requirement within the proposed DDO or SLO for Melbourne Water to be the ‘Recommending referral authority’ for limited classes of development applications within a prescribed distance of the Yarra River.
- Consider the application of the Incorporated Plan Overlay (IPO) or the Development Plan Overlay (DPO) to public land or major development sites, requiring the completion of a master plan prior to approving new development.

CONTROL GAPS
The different ESO and SLO controls across the three council areas of the Middle Yarra (Banyule, Nillumbik, and Manningham) present a varying set of objectives, permit triggers and decision guidelines. This has the potential to lead to inconsistent outcomes within the corridor. Consistent planning controls are required across the corridor to achieve a holistic approach to managing development within the river’s landscape, as envisaged by this study.

The Middle Yarra River corridor (unlike the lower Yarra River corridor between Richmond and Fairfield), has no ‘Yarra River’ specific Design and Development Overlay controls managing the siting and design of development within close proximity to the river.

As Melbourne continues to grow, increasing development pressure will be placed on land within the Middle Yarra River corridor. This study recommends stronger built form controls be applied now, to avert the potential for inappropriate development to occur in the future.

ESO or SLO
The question of which is the most appropriate planning scheme tool - the Significant Landscape Overlay (SLO) or the Environmental Significance Overlay (ESO) - has been a point of discussion for some time. The primary purpose of applying either of these controls relates to the need to protect the appearance of the landscape and maintain its environmental integrity, both of which have vegetation management as their focus.

Planning Practice Note No.7 ‘Vegetation Protection in Urban Areas’ provides some direction on the application of both the ESO and the SLO. Practice Note No.7 suggests the application of the ESO and SLO within the following circumstances related to vegetation protection:

- Where there are environmental constraints on development, or where other important ecological values are identified such as coastal or riparian habitat, the use of an ESO may be appropriate. This overlay is usually applied if vegetation protection is part of a wider objective to protect the environmental significance of an area.
- The ESO may contain requirements for the construction of buildings and the carrying out of works as well as fence construction. It can also include requirements for subdivision and exemptions for the removal of vegetation.
- Where there is a need to identify, conserve and enhance the character of significant landscapes, the SLO may be used to protect vegetation in terms of its aesthetic or visual importance in the broader landscape and where vegetation is identified as an important contributor to the character of an area.
- The SLO may contain requirements for the construction of buildings and the carrying out of works as well as fence construction. A schedule must specify a permit requirement for the removal of vegetation.

Strategies, plans, etc. for those values
There was strong support for improved management and protection of the Middle Yarra River corridor and a number of detailed submissions outlined ways in which protection could be enhanced, including:

- Stricter controls for the protection of native vegetation and animals
- Further revegetation work
- Better management
- Increased funding for the Middle Yarra River corridor
- Implementation of built form development guidelines
- Improved planning controls.
Identifying key gaps and opportunities for future protection of areas of high environmental and landscape value

Table 4. Summary of Lower Yarra Corridor Study: Recommendations Report (DELWP 2016a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Key excerpts and recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>The Yarra River and its corridor is recognised in State policy as a significant open space, recreation, aesthetic, conservation and tourism asset for Metropolitan Melbourne. Its significance is linked to a wide range of values, which can be grouped under the following themes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Geographical Context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Topography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Environment &amp; Biodiversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Character &amp; Amenity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cultural Heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Open Space, Recreation &amp; Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Lower Yarra includes three of the river’s major tributaries: the lower reaches of the Merri Creek, which enters the Yarra near Dights Falls; Darebin Creek, which enters the Yarra near the Latrobe Golf Course; and Gardiners Creek, which enters near to the crossing the Monash Freeway over the Yarra River.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Lower Yarra River corridor falls within the South Eastern Highlands (SEH) – Highlnds-Southern Fall, Southern Volcanic Plain (SVP) Victorian Volcanic Plain (VVP) and South East Coastal Plain (SCP) – Gippsland Plain bioregions. The dominant ecological vegetation classes include Floodplain Riparian Woodland and Riparian Woodland, which run along the along the entire river corridor. As the river passes through Yarra Bend Park the surrounds of the Yarra River are dominated by Plains Grassy Woodland, Escarpment Scrubland, Escarpment Woodland, and Box Ironbark Forest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Over 240 flora and fauna species have been recorded within the Lower Yarra River corridor, including some registered under international agreements and some listed under the Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act3. This equates to approximately 1/10 of the State’s total number of identified flora species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yarra Bend Park is an important indicator of the study area’s underlying ecology and is listed on the Register of the National Estate in recognition of its outstanding ecological values. However, a large proportion of the study area contains vegetation classes classified as endangered or vulnerable. While remnant riparian plant communities are adapted to respond to natural disruptions from flooding and erosion, ongoing effort is required to balance destructive impacts from the surrounding city, including invasions of weeds, litter, urban development, and wear from recreational activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection from development</td>
<td>The following planning controls are recommended to be applied within the Lower Yarra Corridor:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Council to consider updating the Municipal Strategic Statement (MSS) to include the content and outcomes of this study as part of a future review of the Local Planning Policy Framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Apply the Design and Development Overlay (DDO) to private land adjacent to, or within close proximity to, the Yarra River, establishing mandatory building heights and setbacks from the river’s edge, as well as other detailed discretionary design requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Apply the Significant Landscape Overlay (SLO) to land adjoining the river, to capture an area approximate to the recommended area of management, establishing consistent landscape, vegetation and other management requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Include a requirement within the proposed DDO or SLO for Melbourne Water to be the ‘Recommending referral authority’ for limited classes of development applications within a prescribed distance of the Yarra River.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consider the application of the Incorporated Plan Overlay (IPO) or the Development Plan Overlay (DPO) to public land or major development sites, requiring the completion of a master plan prior to approving new development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue/areas for improvement</td>
<td>Threats to Built Form Character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Built form that is highly visible along the ridgeline/skyline and surrounding hillslopes, as viewed from the Yarra River corridor and areas of public open space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bulky, heavily massed buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bold, bright colours and reflective finishes on buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Large surface areas of white/off-white/light shades of colour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.1.3 Planning controls

Council planning controls present a varying set of objectives, permit triggers and decision guidelines. The potential for this to lead to inconsistent outcomes, together with the need for stronger, consistent controls to protect the river banks and the vegetated buffer corridor along the river from inappropriate development, was generally acknowledged. However, there is currently no consensus on which are the most appropriate planning controls to provide strength and consistency. The Middle Yarra Corridor Study (DELWP 2016b) suggests using a DDO in combination with an ESO or SLO and provides some guidance on when to use each of the latter two controls. Recent changes to the State Planning Policy Framework (SPPF) set clear objectives for preventing overshadowing, limiting building height, increasing setbacks, and riparian and canopy vegetation protection in the Yarra River corridor (DELWP 2016c). The expectation is that these standards will be applied through new DDOs and SLOs in local planning schemes with the view to support more consistent decision-making along the Yarra River corridor. Interestingly, it has previously been postulated that increased State government intervention in planning decisions may undermine community confidence in the planning system (Manningham City Council 2011). Whether this concern is broadly representative of local governments or resolved by the more recent changes to the SPPF is uncertain.

3.2 Council discussions

The main outcomes of the Council discussions are summarised in Table 5. It should be noted that it is not entirely certain whether the views expressed by the Council staff were based on individual preferences, knowledge and experience or more broadly representative of Council. In general, and consistent with the findings of the YSP partner document review, Councils identified similar values and threats to those values:

- Values: the Yarra river and its tributaries, parklands, wetlands, vegetation, biodiversity corridors, cycling and walking paths
- Threats: expanding urban development, urban densification, inappropriate urban development, stormwater, wastewater, farm dams
The priority values differed between Councils and, not surprisingly, followed the urban gradient. Inner city Councils with fewer natural waterways were more concerned with public open space, urban forests and increasing urban development, Nillumbik Shire Council’s goals were a mix of environmental and social across both the urban and rural context and the priorities of the middle suburban Councils fell somewhere in between. Vegetation, biodiversity, social values and the challenge of increasing urbanisation were consistently the strongest themes. It also was noted that the complexity of Council planning controls increased with urban density.

Despite identifying similar goals, the planning controls implemented to achieve those goals varied from Council to Council (see Table 5). In most cases, the reasons for these differences (e.g. preferences of the planning team, historical precedent at the organisational level) was not clear. There were some areas within the Yarra River corridor that were not covered by any form of planning control; where these gaps were identified, they have been noted in the accompanying Envision web interface, together with any location-specific values, threats and projects that were identified during Council discussions. The Envision Planning Controls Review app can be accessed as follows:

Link: ArcGIS Web Application

Username: IS236700_MW

Password: yspgis023
Table 5. Summary of discussions with representatives of Councils whose municipalities are located along the Yarra River

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>Banyule City Council</th>
<th>Manningham City Council</th>
<th>City of Yarra</th>
<th>City of Stonnington</th>
<th>City of Boroondara</th>
<th>Nilumbik Shire Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Projects**  | Projects or capital works to manage development Council interested in:  • Banyule Flats and parklands – rare migratory birds at Banyule flats.  • Diversion of water from the river. | Proposed Abbotsford Structure Plan - dealing with land between Victoria Street and Digits Falls Abbotsford to improve access  • Redevelopment of the Cub complex - associated opportunities to gain public access and improve amenity (near Dickenson's reserve)  • Potential new shared path bridge to allow access for people south of the river to open space to the north  • Future of the Golf Course and the potential for public access to the river and across the river N-S  • Developing a biodiversity strategy  • Finalising an urban forestry strategy | Current:  • Yarra River Project focuses on Biodiversity, in particular  • Indigenous riparian vegetation  • Construction of ephemeral wetlands (currently at Stage 1 of development)  • Pedestrian bridge  • Removal of invasive vegetation (stage 6 of completion) along the Yarra River.  • Implement a 3-metre-wide shared path bike (not sure where about this is located).  • Potential:  • Grange Rd bridge (has no bike path)  • Gardiners Creek trail | • Wetland project, near Chandler highway (completed)  • Bridge doubling project going on at the Chandler Highway, and this could impact the Yarra River.  • Waterway health addressed in IWM strategy  • Typically Council-owned projects  • Mainly installed in parks  | Focus on suspended solids | Potential road sealing and sewerage backlog projects
<p>| <strong>Planning controls</strong> | Use VPO, SBO1 and SBO 2 Overlays ESO1 DDO’s MW SBO &gt; 60 ha - SBO1 Banyule &lt; 60 ha (SBO2) | ES01 ‘Yarra River Environs’ Amendment C54  • Holistic review of ESO, VPO and SLO  • Removed most VPO  • Yarra was covered by ESO  • Retained GC48 – Yarra controls reviews and replaced by SLOP Comprehensive environmental coverage based on bio sites report – residential zones for incremental change  • RZ1  • GRZ2 (min lot size)  • RGG2 (height controls)  • DDO8  • Aesthetics  • Environmental | Design and Development Overlay (DDO1) ‘Yarra River Corridor Protection’, inclusive of Merri &amp; Darebin Creeks  • Important because is the only control that specifically manages built form  • Part of the new riverside controls that gives the mandatory height and set back controls  • Important from Yarra point of view as there is a lot of development pressure and so the DDO is the only overlay that mandates this  • SBO amendment  • ESD in Yarra planning scheme which includes requirements relating to stormwater and stormwater management Environmental Significance Overlay (ESO1) ‘Yarra River Environs’ | All planning controls along the Yarra are related to the DDO that the minister introduced  • C50 – SLO = 200 along Grange Road and Toorak.  • DDO 6 - covers Yarra link  • DDO 3A and DDO 3C – introduced by the minister for planning, controls for construction along the Yarra  • DDO – Royal botanical gardens  • Design and Development Overlay (DDO3) ‘Yarra River Skyline Area’  • Significant Landscape Overlay (SLO1) ‘Yarra River and Valley Streamside Environment Area’ | VPO is not used along the Yarra River in Boroondara.  • DDO31  • Residential development control that influences the construction of houses on the banks of the Yarra  • The primary planning control that protects the Yarra River  • Covers all small lots (one to two dwellings)  • Set back from the river and maximum height controls  • Lot coverage  • SLO  • Removal of or planning vegetation  • Rules around changing land that slopes into a series of steps | All planning controls are those imposed by the State government  • ESO2 ‘Yarra River Environs’ SLO15 is the Yarra River environs overlay recently introduced by State Government.  • ES01 is the result of biodiversity work undertaken as part of the NERCS study some 20 years ago (1997) – but related to faunal and habitat value.  • There is currently not much scope for rezoning - development is based on planning permits. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council</th>
<th>Banyule City Council</th>
<th>Manningham City Council</th>
<th>City of Yarra</th>
<th>City of Stonnington</th>
<th>City of Boroondara</th>
<th>Nillumbik Shire Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overlaps between councils</td>
<td>Analysis of overall catchment with focus on measuring the runoff entering one municipality from another. Banyule shares boundary at the Yarra River, but doesn’t share the SBO overlay (GAP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Turned down an opportunity to build a bike path bridge from Boroondara to other side of the Yarra River (location not known)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps</td>
<td>Banyule and five other councils made case that planning should be more environmentally focused. Gap in state planning system for capturing environmentally sustainable buildings/development. Councils that subscribe to this thought are Moreland, Port Phillip, Yarra, Darebin and Banyule. Some gaps in overlays from neighbouring councils (SBO overlay) Council has no knowledge of future drainage plans for MW-managed catchments within Council municipality. Main Yarra Trail – who has responsibility for it? Protection for lower flying birds.</td>
<td>Council has a clear and comprehensive framework for managing residential growth as well protecting areas of environmental and landscape significance. It is considered that there are no significant policy gaps within the current framework.</td>
<td>Connectivity gap - Alphington - Fairfield Boathouse - private land to the river bank • Golf course in Fairfield • Former Carlton United Breweries site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Nilumbik stretch of the Yarra River is impacted by upstream issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues</td>
<td>Floodplain management overlay L5 based on the 1994 flood event. • Is this still the current data? • Climate change implications? • Probable Maximum Flood not in planning scheme Some Councils lack detailed models of implications of flooding on downstream municipalities. Cost of WSUD, particularly when retrofitting into existing urban areas. Concerned about impact of North East link on the Yarra River. Uncertainty about future impact of flooding. Reviewing rural conservation zone • Amenity related • No major changes expected</td>
<td>Push on increase in population and activity centres, as well as push for improved environmental conditions of Yarra. Also concerns about climate change and getting information from MW regarding catchment modelling so that future planning schemes can be developed etc. Urban forestry Shortages in open space, particularly in the south of the municipality.</td>
<td>Some private ownership extends to the Yarra River: • Vegetation removal • Inappropriate development • Private jetties</td>
<td>Some major changes expected, L5 for 2013 (Meg event). Push on increase in population and activity centres, as well as push for improved environmental conditions of Yarra. Urban forestry Shortages in open space, particularly in the south of the municipality.</td>
<td>Boroondara Council's main focus at the moment is to control urban development across the whole municipality. Council is comfortable with the current management of the Yarra Corridor. Concerned about farm dams overflowing and transporting sediment and other contaminants to waterways, including Diamond Creek. Council is currently looking into this issue, however it is seen as challenging, particularly regarding talking to landowners about the issue and deciding what can be done about it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>David Cox had a lot of insight into the geological and environmental aspects of planning in the Banyule area. Felt that the residential planning code was ineffective. Noted scope to set targets for Yarra tributaries and corridors. Want more focus on: • IWW/SUD • Buildings and works plans The Yarra River Keepers may have more detailed information about specific locations along the Yarra where there are gaps.</td>
<td>Strong focus on protecting green wedge and open space.</td>
<td>Peter Mollison has a high level understanding of planning around the Yarra River.</td>
<td>Had a good understanding of the planning controls placed over the whole municipality, but not a high level of details for those specifically relating to the Yarra River or the environmental values within the municipality.</td>
<td>River-based recreation • Privately operated boat sheds • Bike paths on parts of the river</td>
<td>Seemed to be happy with the current planning controls in Nilumbik and didn't really have much comment on additional values or threats, except for the farm dam issue highlighted above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusions

The review of YSP partner documents and discussions with representatives of six of the eight Councils whose municipality is adjacent to the Yarra River revealed that most organisations have identified similar values and threats in relation to the Yarra River and its tributaries:

Values
- Environmental, aesthetic, cultural and recreational values
- The special river corridor ambiance and aesthetic e.g. sense of seclusion
- Its role as a refuge for indigenous plants and animals
- The open space and recreation areas along the corridor
- Native trees and animals

Threats
- Subdivision and more intense development adjacent to the river corridor, including built form that is highly visible along the ridgeline or too close to the banks of the river, large areas of hard paved surfaces
- Vegetation removal e.g. significant indigenous vegetation, loss of mature canopy trees
- Loss of well-vegetated informal gardens which screen built form from the river corridor
- Immodest and excessive boating infrastructure
- Fencing and other infrastructure (e.g. stairs) along the river edge
- Backyard tennis courts, swimming pools and other built form that reduces space for planting and impacts on the naturalistic landform
- Stormwater and wastewater discharges as well as contaminant-laden overflows from farm dams
- Climate change

Most Councils identified the same broad goals e.g. protect/enhance the Yarra River, biodiversity and vegetation, improve community connection, although the particular priorities varied between Councils and their position along the urban gradient i.e. inner suburban, middle suburban, peri-urban. However, Council planning controls present a varying set of objectives, permit triggers and decision guidelines. The potential for this to lead to inconsistent outcomes, together with the need for stronger, consistent controls to protect the river banks and the vegetated buffer corridor along the river from inappropriate development, was generally acknowledged. Despite this, there does not appear to be consensus between State and local governments on which are the most appropriate planning controls to provide strength and consistency. The Middle Yarra Corridor Study (DELWP 2016b) suggests using a DDO in combination with an ESO or SLO and provides some guidance on when to use each of the latter two controls. Recent changes to the State Planning Policy Framework (SPPF) set clear objectives for preventing overshadowing, limiting building height, increasing setbacks, and riparian and canopy vegetation protection in the Yarra River corridor (DELWP 2016c). The expectation is that these standards will be applied through new DDOs and SLOs in local planning schemes with the view to support more consistent decision-making along the Yarra River corridor.

Gaps in planning controls

A number of gaps were identified during our discussions with Council staff. These can be summarised as follows:
- A number of Councils were of the view that there was insufficient weight assigned to the environmental aspects of planning, particularly at the State level and in relation to sustainable buildings and development.
- The use of different planning controls to protect values resulted in some gaps or varying degrees of protection given to values e.g. where an area of value crossed local government boundaries
- Councils may have limited knowledge of and no ability to influence future drainage plans for MW-managed catchments that lie within their municipality.
- There were uncertainties about who is responsible for management of the Main Yarra Trail
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- Land ownership can create challenges for connecting values e.g. private ownership of Alphington - Fairfield Boathouse land to the river bank
- Section of waterways within each municipality are often impacted by land-uses upstream of the municipal boundary and this is challenging for each Council to manage

4.1 Recommendations

Based on the findings of our document review and interactions with Yarra River Councils, our recommendations for identifying and addressing threats and gaps in planning controls are as follows:

- There is a need to address inconsistencies in planning controls in order to provide consistent levels of protection for values and guidelines for decision-making, especially where values cross local government jurisdictions.

- Balancing the needs of local planning with those of the river corridor as a whole is challenging and requires careful consideration.

- Although land along the Yarra River Corridor is managed separately, it is a connected river system. Management actions implemented in one location therefore have the potential to deliver both local benefits and avoided costs to downstream waterways, such as reduced flooding, increased amenity and protection of biodiversity. It would therefore be useful to consider how resources might be pooled in instances where benefits are realised across multiple municipalities.

- Threats can be cumulative down the river corridor and this impacts the ability for Council to deal with problems that are the product of land-use(s) in a larger area than their immediate municipality. For example, it is unlikely that Councils will be able to manage the flow and water quality threats posed by urban runoff generated within their municipality as well as stormwater discharges from upstream urban areas.

- When consulting stakeholders, give some thought about who to engage within each organisation as this will influence the quality and extent of information that can be gathered. If an individual exists within an organisation that has worked for that organisation for a long time and across multiple divisions, it is possible that they will have sufficient corporate knowledge that consulting just that individual will yield deep insights. However, should no such individual exist, it would be preferable to consult with a number of staff that collectively represent all the relevant sections of that organisation.
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