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Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit (Stage 1 of 2)
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1 Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Insert here</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact Level</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage(s)</td>
<td>1 of 2 Stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Period</td>
<td>Monday 17 June 2019 to Sunday 30 June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Projects</td>
<td>Transport Strategy, RMS Permit Parking Guidelines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report outlines the community engagement conducted as part of the Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit project, conducted from Monday 17 June until Sunday 30 June 2019.

The reports content reflects insights from a range of participants, including:

- Current holders of a Manly Resident Parking Permit
- Local residents
- Businesses
- Schools
- Manly Business Chamber
- Manly Community Forum
- Greater Manly Residents Forum.

Note: Community and stakeholder views contained in this report do not necessarily reflect the views of Northern Beaches Council or indicate a commitment to a particular course of action.

1.1. Engagement Approach

A documented engagement methodology is outlined in the Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit Community and Stakeholder Engagement Plan 2019.

The engagement process gave consistent and accessible information across all activities. Results provide responses across a spectrum of demographics, expertise and experience of the Manly area.

Engagement was carried out both online and face to face with community members encouraged to provide comments online, in person or in writing.

1.2. Key Outcomes

1.2.1. Community Sentiment

The Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit project generated a high level of community interest.
Stakeholders contributed diverse views. Although a large portion of the feedback acknowledges the need to review the current scheme, not everyone agrees that Council should comply with the RMS guidelines.

The feedback reflects that many residents feel they should be entitled to a resident parking permit as part of their rates, and that private off-street parking space should not impact future permit eligibility.

Residents also raised concerns around lack of trust in Council and transparency during consultation. As a result, the following was made publically available on the Your Say project page:

- Comments captured on Post It notes at the information sessions
- Your Say comments redacted, checked for spelling and grammar, filtered by area
- Written comments redacted
- Project updates.

1.2.2. Key Themes

There were a number of themes that arose through the consultation. The top three themes identified were:

1. Permit black market
2. Permit eligibility
3. Enforcement.

For further detail relating to these key themes, see item 4.1 of this report.

1.2.3. Participation Snapshot

The engagement targeted residents, businesses, schools and organisations living and operating within the Manly Parking Permit Scheme areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online via Your Say</td>
<td>416 page visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>94 comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1m48s average time onsite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Question and Answer tool</td>
<td>83 visitors to the tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 questions asked and answered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attending information drop-in</td>
<td>340 participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written comments</td>
<td>68 comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2.4. **Acknowledgements**
Thank you to everyone who participated in stage 1 of the community engagement process. The feedback provided will assist us in developing a parking solution for the Manly area that adheres to the RMS guidelines.
2 Engagement Purpose and Objectives

Council received direction from NSW Roads Maritime Services (RMS) to review the existing Manly Resident Parking Permit Scheme (as it does not comply with RMS guidelines).

At its meeting of Tuesday 16 April 2019, Council resolved to review the Manly Residential Parking Permit Scheme and conduct an audit of on and off street parking.

As part of the review and audit process we engaged with local residents to understand what works and what doesn’t in their current scheme area.

Engagement purpose and objectives included:
1. Reach all Manly Resident Parking Scheme permit holders and affected stakeholders
2. Raise awareness of the proposed Manly Resident Parking Scheme Review and Audit
3. Gauge resident and business satisfaction with current precinct boundaries
4. Explain what a compliant RMS Parking Permit Scheme looks like and how it may impact residents.

Outcomes of the review and audit will be reported back Council in August 2019.

3 Engagement Methodology

Community engagement provided a number of opportunities for community members and stakeholders to participate.

This report represents what Council has heard as accurately, comprehensively and transparently as possible by using consistent quantitative and qualitative analysis techniques.

The Community Engagement Plan was devised on a two stage approach. This report relates to stage one.
Key activities summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engagement activity</th>
<th>Outcomes / Interactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online platform</td>
<td>A total of 525 visits to the online project page.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face-to-face sessions</td>
<td>Five sessions held at Manly Town Hall allowing face-to-face discussions with approx. 340 community members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Four targeted meetings with key residents and business stakeholder groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveys and forms</td>
<td>Two forms were available during this period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One online Q&amp;A form. Nine questions publically asked and answered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One online comment form. 94 comments received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68 written comments were received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print media</td>
<td>Three ads were published in the Manly Daily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic mail</td>
<td>Two direct email notifications (to current permit holders) and three inclusions in the Council Community Engagement Newsletter reaching over 22,000 community members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification letter</td>
<td>15,000 letter notifications were delivered by Australia Post to residents, businesses and property owners in all Manly parking scheme areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Online Platform

Online engagement was conducted through the Your Say Northern Beaches website. The project page provided information to support engagement and feedback. The provision of information is an important factor in building community capacity to participate in the engagement and make informed contributions.

Project Highlights

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project page visits</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo views</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document downloads</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAQ page visits</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questions via Q &amp; A Forum</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Visitors Summary

In total, there were 525 project page site visits. The below chart shows visitor activity throughout the consultation period.

The majority of people visiting the project page, accessed the information through links embedded within email notifications and direct search.

### 3.2 Forms

A feedback form was used as an engagement tool. The forms’ design provided participants with an opportunity to communicate their comments in regard to what works and what doesn’t in their current permit scheme.

Feedback received via this form will assist in the development of considerations for the new permit scheme, which will also address alignment to the RMS Parking Permit Guidelines.

### 3.3 Print Media

The Manly Parking Permit Scheme - Review and Audit project was listed in the ‘Have your say’ section of the Northern Beaches Weekly News for three weeks.

Project posters and postcards were produced and displayed at Council’s customer service centres and libraries.

### 3.4 Electronic Direct Mail

This project was included in Northern Beaches Community Engagement Newsletters. With a distribution list of over 15,000, this activity increased awareness and drove traffic to the project landing-page, essential documentation and engagement tools.
3.5 Slide Deck
A PowerPoint slide deck was made available at three of the five drop-in sessions and was published on the Your Say project page. The slideshow addressed the following information:

- Why conduct a review and audit?
- What do we know (to date)?
- What we are doing
- Proposed fees and charges
- Next steps.

3.6 Face-to-Face
Face-to-face session design offered residents, workers, students and visitors another opportunity to provide feedback on the Manly Parking Permit – Review and Audit project.

Facilitators were available at the sessions to engage the public and provide a forum for deeper conversations. Post it notes were used to capture comments relating to the specific parking areas and participants were encouraged to go online to the project page to comment or submit questions.

Community Information Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Time and Date</th>
<th>Attendance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>Town Hall</td>
<td>Mon 17 Jun, 9-11am</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>Town Hall</td>
<td>Tue 18 Jun, 3-5pm</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>Town Hall</td>
<td>Wed 19 Jun, 5-7pm</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>Town Hall</td>
<td>Thu 20 Jun, 12-2pm</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>Town Hall</td>
<td>Sat 22 Jun, 9-11am</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key Stakeholder Presentations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time and Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manly Business Chamber</td>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>16 April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly Resident Group Meeting</td>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>9 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly Community Forum</td>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>20 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Manly Resident Forum</td>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>3 June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Engagement Results

4.1 Emergent Themes

Consultation analysis indicated several emerging themes including the need to:

- Prioritise resident parking over visitor parking
- Prioritise residents with no off-street parking first
- Address parking permit 'black market'
- Tighten permit eligibility criteria
- Limit the amount of permits issued
- Address commuter parking issues
- Recognise issue over parking over driveways
- Introduce new and innovative parking technology
- Review parking design
- Look at motorcycle parking
- Introduce multi-use parking for busses, loading zones and council parking facilities
- Implement equal treatment of all resident / rate payers
- Re-zone scheme areas.

Issues of concern were raised around:

- Enforcement
- Car share
- Permit costs
- Loss of on-street parking permit
- Visitor and trade person parking permits.

4.2 Community Feedback

The community proposed the following solutions for consideration to identified issues.

Permit Black Market

A major theme identified across all activities was what the community refer to as the 'Black Market' issue.

The term 'Black Market' refers to the on-selling of residents parking permits to people who do not live within a particular parking scheme area ('non-residents' or 'non-locals').

Many participants feel that the 'Black Market' issue is one of the main contributors to parking congestion, particularly along streets closer to Manly Ferry.

To help address this issue, participants suggested Council:

- Link permits to vehicle registrations
- Penalise those found abusing the scheme
- Introduce a permit bond to encourage their return.
Permit Eligibility
Permits issued vs parking availability
Residents feel that the amount of permits being issued by Council is not consistent with the number of available on street parking spaces. In most locations, this has created ongoing challenges for residents who don’t have off street parking. To help address this issue, participants suggested Council:

- Link permits to vehicle registrations
- Reduce number of permits issued per household
- Review permit eligibility criteria including proof of tenancy
- Increase cost of additional permits.

Enforcement
Parking restrictions
Residents would like to see a review of parking restrictions to suit the different needs of the area. Feedback identified the need to review restrictions on a street by street basis, whilst also considering and prioritising resident’s needs.

There were suggestions to change parking restrictions at night, to allow for more flexibility. The most common example provided was the following:

“It would be nice to have guests over for a relaxed dinner without having to move the car every two hours.”

To help address this issue, participants suggested Council:

- Review current parking restrictions on a street-by-street basis
- Consider extending parking restrictions for evenings and during off-peak periods
- Consider flexible use of council carparks i.e. Residents permitted to park at night.

Ranger presence
Residents have noticed a visible decline in ranger presence over the last two years, particularly in streets outside of the Isthmus / CBD area. Residents have also experienced an inconsistent approach in regards to what constitutes the issuing of a parking fine. To help address this issue, participants suggested Council:

- Increase ranger presence
- Improve consistency in the issuing of fines
- Provide clear parking instructions and direction.

Signage
Participants raised signage as a key issue regarding lack of, or inconsistent enforcement. In some areas, signage has been removed, damaged or is conflicting and confusing, making it difficult for rangers to enforce.
### 4.3 Questions and Responses

Summary of questions received during the engagement period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is my current permit which is due to expire, going to be renewed?</td>
<td>If you are eligible to a parking permit you should renew your permit as you would usually. There will be no change until a new scheme adopted by Council. For more information, visit <a href="https://www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au/services/parking/parking-permits">https://www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au/services/parking/parking-permits</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How will disability permits fit within the scheme?</td>
<td>Parking concessions under the RMS Mobility Parking Scheme (MPS) are as per RMS guidelines. For more information regarding the permissible use of disability parking permits, visit <a href="http://www.rms.nsw.gov.au">www.rms.nsw.gov.au</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is Council doing about the permit ‘Black Market’ issue?</td>
<td>As part of stage 1: Review and Audit, we will be looking at how to best address this issue. The on selling of permits will be a factor addressed in the recommendations for the new permit scheme.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| How is Council auditing off-street spaces?                               | The audit has been a two staged approach:  

  **Stage One** involved visual audits and review of DA applications to document the number of available parking spaces available at each property. All car parking spaces were recorded during the audit, such as driveway space, garages and carports.  

  **Stage Two** involved measuring the length of all available kerb side parking within the current parking permit scheme areas to determine the total number of available parking. |
<p>| Who is eligible for a permit? Landlords or tenants?                      | Under the current parking scheme, the property tenant is eligible for a permit.                                                         |
| Why do some businesses have more than three permits?                    | At the time, applications were considered upon request to Council. There are no firm guidelines around how many permits businesses can have. This is something that will be addressed as part of the review and audit process. |
| Why does Council allow car share in Manly when parking is an issue?     | Car Share is supported and promoted by Council as part of our commitment to a sustainable travel approach. One single car share vehicle can replace up to 12 private vehicles that would otherwise compete for local parking spaces. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| How is Council capturing comments from this consultation?               | Drop-in sessions  
  All comments made during drop-in sessions were captured during the sessions using Post-it notes. Each Post it note was placed onto the respective maps then placed on butcher's paper (by theme) and made visible at each subsequent sessions.  
  All comments were then captured 'verbatim' and published on the Your Say project page.  
  Written comments  
  All written comments have been recorded in Council's document management system, printed and made public on the Your Say project page. (Personal details removed).  
  Online comments  
  All comments received through the Your Say online feedback form have been recorded in Council's document management system, printed and made public on the Your Say project page. (Personal details removed).  
  Live questions and answers  
  A live Q & A tool was made available on Your Say. All questions asked were published with answers also visible to the public. |
| Why should we comply with the RMS guidelines?                           | The RMS Permit parking guidelines are in place to manage parking for those with no or limited off-street parking to allow residents to park close to their homes. They guidelines are mandatory and include a number of requirements to ensure parking is managed fairly and equitably. |
| Will any future changes be effective immediately?                       | Council is reporting back to Council in August 2019. Following the outcome of this, we will then undertake further communication with community regarding implementation. |
| Why do I have to pay for my parking permit?                            | A fee is charged to help recoup some of the cost of running the scheme. |
5 Conclusions
Feedback collected through the engagement process identified a number of recurring themes as well as new ideas and perspectives. There was a general consensus regarding the need to address the current parking permit scheme, despite the challenges that may arise.

Key points for consideration:

1. Address permit 'Black Market’ issue
2. Provide a flexible approach for visitors parking
3. Prioritise resident parking
4. Address permit eligibility and enforcement
5. Any implementation should be staged.

The consultation process focused on education and awareness of the:

- Review and audit process
- RMS guidelines
- Current parking permit eligibility criteria
- What we know about the situation so far
- What other councils are doing in this space.

6 Next Steps

- Stage 2 Consultation – September 2019 (dependent on Council meeting outcome).

7 Appendices

- Post-it Note Summary – collated.
- Your Say Comments – collated, themed and redacted
- Written submissions - collated and redacted
7.1 Engagement Content
Your Say online engagement platform

Manly Parking Permit Scheme - Review and Audit

Manly residential parking review to lead to better, fairer parking conditions

Wednesday, 17 April 2019

Northern Beaches Council will work with the community to review and audit the parking permits scheme in the Manly area to move towards a more equitable and sustainable system which meets the needs of residents and visitors.

Following an extensive consultation session on 17 April, the review will include a full audit of all off-street car parking spaces in the Manly area. These areas include Lilo Road, Balgowlah, Tennyson Hill, Tennyson Hill Gardens, Queens Beach, The Venus, Bayview, Lohman Park, Longueville, and Gladesville.

Mayor Michael Regan said that the first parking permit schemes were introduced around the Manly CBD in 1995 and are now no longer in line with the current demands and expectations.

"Parking in Manly is a challenge for all, with parking infrastructure in demand. That’s because there are too many people for the spaces available and visitors accessing the area.

"This has resulted in residents not being able to find suitable parking near their residences, and impacts on local businesses, people with special needs, and businesses that are on the street frontage."

"We need to work with the community to find the best way to go about this, so we can implement a better, fairer parking system across the Manly region."

"Council will invite permit holders to attend a range of community engagement days as well as encourage residents and property owners in the affected areas to provide input into the consultation phase of the project.

"This review will also help us consider residential parking zones in other areas of high demand in accordance with RMS guidelines."

The review is expected to be conducted over the next three months and will then report back to Council.

The report will include outcomes of the community engagement and stakeholder consultations and actions required to ensure parking schemes comply in all areas.
Manly Daily Saturday 15 June 2019

Have your say
The following projects are open for comment:

- McCarr Creek, Mona Vale and Boydy Floodplain Risk Management Study and Plan
  Survey classes: Sun 26 Jun
- Northern Beaches Bush Fire Prone Land Map
  Submissions close: Sun 30 Jun
- Warringah Golf Club - proposed lease term extension
  Submissions close: Sun 16 Jun
- Warringah Recreation Centre - proposed licence term extension
  Submissions close: Sun 26 Jun
- Classification of land - 88 McPherson St, Warringah
  Submissions close: Mon 17 Jun
- Manly Parking Permit Scheme - review and audit
  Deep in sessions at our Customer Services Centre, Manly
  - Mon 17 Jun, 9 - 11am
  - Thu 20 Jun, 12 - 2pm
  - Tue 26 Jun, 9 - 11am
  - Wed 13 Jul, 9 - 11am
- Clarendon Reserve Landscape Masterplan
  Submissions close: Sun 16 Jun
- Manly Town Playpaces
  Submissions close: Sun 30 Jun
- Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 - amendments (Adequate Housing)
  Submissions close: Thu 7 Jul
- Alhambra Heights Oasis - new district playground
  Submissions close: Fri 7 Jul
- St Ieden Beach Dog Off-Leash Area - review of environmental factors
  Submissions close: Fri 13 Jul
- Licensed - 27 Howard Ave, Dee Why - Turf, Espresso and Wines
  Submissions close: Sun 16 Jul
- Ocean Beaches Plan of Management
  amendments (draft) - Avalon netball courts
  Submissions close: Sun 21 Aug
Drop-in comments 17-22 June 2019
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fairy Bower         | Consider number of visitor parking permits capped/or don’t cap  
Local residents double parking time in car park brought back  
Addison Road – No about resident parking. Summer: Reduce to ½ hr parking Thursday, Friday and Saturday. Sunday: New parking restrictions  
Can only get ‘Resident Permit’ with rates notice and rego papers proving the car is registered to the address  
People use garages for storage not parking  
Addison and Darley need a pedestrian crossing  
Rangers are equipped to check regos of vehicles – checking authenticity of residency  
Rego printed on resident permits  
Make it half hour parking. The problem is visitors in peak times  
Meter parking Darley Road – Marshall St  
Out of area people parking lower Bower St (beach end) to access beach. Nearly impossible to park on weekends particularly when events are on  
Large number of unit blocks with no off-street parking | • Capped permits  
• Extended parking allowance in carpark for residents only  
• Review current time limits on parking  
• Permits to be issued to a vehicle registered to that address  
• Pedestrian crossing on Addison + Darley  
• Introduce metered parking  
• Not enough off street  
• Parking |
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ocean Beach         | In winter – lots of parking available  
In summer – very little parking available and all cars have stickers  
Denison Street – too many permits, too many business permits  
Only issue one permit per car with rego address matching the address  
3 cars had out of date parking stickers in Alexander Street until last week – No rangers  
$47 fee for residents with no off-street parking is unfair!  
Rolf Street – Reserve allowed to park illegal parking  
No policing of cars in Pine St / No parking for residents  
Pine Street – Current parking doesn’t work. Too many illegal back packer parking  
Pine St back packers have X amount of stickers and sell them for $30 a week  
Pacific Pde – ½ hr parking at Western end - may stop pool attendees but very difficult for having tradesman or visitors come to your place  
People with garages need to use them and not park in the street  
Cameron Avenue 8-10pm seems to work  
Lauderdale would benefit from line marking |  
- Limit permits  
- Not enough parking for residents  
- Permits to be issued to a vehicle registered to that address  
- Not enough rangers  
- Review illegal parking  
- Difficult for tradesman and visitors to park  
- Line marking  
- On-selling of permits  
- Review Stella Maris permits  
- Introduce innovative technology to check registrations and validate permits  
- Review parking space design  
- Trailers taking spaces  
- Back packers parking illegally  
- Increase ranger policing |
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach (continued)</td>
<td>Woods parade – more parking on left area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 x 5 story development @ Kangaroo Street, Private developments sell their spots. Residents excited about review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collingwood St – Stella Maris needs to be reviewed for their parking. They shouldn’t have 30 permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smiths Lane – Unfair parking allocation for residents on one side of / west side of this lane. False gates and garages ensure parking for other residents. Why do we need to display parking stickers these days? Surely we have electronic devices to check on number plates these days. Coming to council to purchase permits is inconvenient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Builders on Smith / Pine St no parking permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rangers need to check more often – YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central Avenue – Our building doesn’t have spaces. Parking is not efficient here</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider more diagonal spaces on Pacific Parade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Too much trailer parking. Also cars parked permanently – not moved for months + months. Fortnightly street sweeping would help this</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If you have one off street park then you should only get one permit when you get a 2nd car. This would make people use off street parking for its intended use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pacific Parade parking permits need to be returned as promised</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach (continued)</td>
<td>Permit linked to exact car Illegal parking from back packers on pine street Trailers on Collingwood Street – Trailer and Bobcat have been there for 6 weeks Manage and restrict parking Line Marking – Edge lines – service vehicles could not go through</td>
<td>• Remove commuter parking • Limit permits • Trailers taking spaces • Increase ranger policing • On selling of permits • Tailor parking scheme to meet individual street needs • Parking in some streets work well • Improve tradesman parking • Council to ensure all private developments account for onsite parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>4 hours in little manly to allow for beach goers to stay longer Manly Waters Hospital reduced Street Parking with renovations. But Visitors/ Staff still park on the street when there’s onsite parking Little Manly precinct weekends are diabolical due to Skiff Club + Beach Do something about dumped and unused trailers Osborne road commuter issues parking weekend too. 7 days a week issue The survey numbers are incorrect as they are not in relation to actual number being used on a daily basis for street parking. Only a very small number are being unsold. Vast majority are held in reserve. If reason for changing current scheme is due to on sale then make the sale of permits illegal to prevent it Rather than have one set of RMS guidelines for Manly and northern beaches, make rules that are street specific. In some streets some rules work very well. Why should residents now be penalised?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking scheme area</td>
<td>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</td>
<td>Key themes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Little Manly (continued) | As an owner of a unit on Marshall Street, we have trouble parking to do repair and maintenance on my unit Addon Street – House with narrow road enforcement Bruce Avenue – No private development should allow private off street parking | • Allow for carers permits  
• Increase ranger policing  
• Introduce innovative technology to check registrations and validate permits  
• Reduce parking time limits in peak streets  
• Introduce scratchy permits for all visitors  
• Permits to be issued to a vehicle registered to that address  
• Review parking space design  
• Divide parking scheme area  
• Limit permits  
• Remove commuter parking  
• Introduce line marking  
• Introduce parking meters for visitors  
• On selling of permits                                                                 |
## Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Tower Hill and Extension (continued) | Oppose any reduction in issued or purchased permits, but stop sale to other for illegal use i.e. residents only. More enforcement of illegal commuter parking (> 2hrs). Lines on roads to optimise available parking  
Line marking in upper Gilbert so fire engine could get through  
Introduce parking line marking in Lauderdale Avenue  
Major parking problem at west promenade. New developers needs to provide resident parking  
Tower Hill is too extensive and too diverse. E.g.: Eustace Street vs bursting vs Clifford Ave?? Break down this zone  
Fairlight Crescent – we have a 3 unit block and no off street parking. During the summer it is impossible to park on street. We need visitor parking permits and cleaners parking  
Could we reconfigure the crescent, paths and kerbs to provide more car spaces?  
West corner of James and Fairlight. Move “no stopping” signs towards Fairlight St so that driveway is not a no stopping zone  
Parking across driveway – dangerous enforcement  
Tower Hill + Ivanhoe Park (Daintree) Can this street please be included into the permit scheme area?  
Original Tower Hill – Specify motor cycle parking with permits only  
Break tower hill into two precincts upper and lower  
Rear to kerb angle parking western side of wood parade | • Improve emergency vehicle access (Gilbert Street)  
• Council to ensure all private developments account for onsite parking  
• Increase zoned area to include Daintree  
• Motorcycle’s to require permits too  
• Increase hop skip and jump + commuter shuttle services |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tower Hill and Extension (continued)</td>
<td>Reduce parking times in Lauderdale Avenue to finish at 8pm to allow visitors to stay after dinner.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enforcement / Sale / Black Market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scooter parking in Gilbert and Rome street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Both ferry and bus commuters drive to lower parts of tower hill and park all day and leave at 5.30.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West esplanade and upper gilbert many drive down from other tower hill areas and park in upper gilbert Rowe etc. catch ferry to work.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Define space in front of #1 Lauderdale 6 x vehicle can fit comfortably but inconsiderate people park so car overlaps 2 spots Q? Would marking defined spots assist?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tower hill too big of an area. Do Upper / Lower ‘/ Ferry Commuters.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manly Policy illegally parking in no stopping zones when they pick up police commuters who leave their cars in other streets.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daintree street – Manly Police park their private cars there.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daintree St Police running shuttle service for their staff.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make this zone smaller. No parking very difficult smaller areas. How did this come about?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commonwealth Parade residents should be able to park here. Can we make resident parking only?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expand hop skip and jump bus to Manly CBD to encourage less private vehicles. EG: Bath UK.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Tower Hill and Extension (continued) | Create a free parking area well away from Manly with free council shuttle bus during peak season  
CNR The Crescent and Commonwealth – review parking design  
The Crescent – commuter parking issue. Space been removed – not checked enough | • Expand area to include fringe streets  
• Permits to be issued to a vehicle registered to that address  
• Register vehicle to address/ scheme area  
• Review parking restrictions  
• Improve ranger policing  
• Pop Car not being used, request to remove  
• Increase permit area  
• Off street spaces not big enough for vehicle  
• Traffic congestion |
| Ivanhoe Park and Extension | Arthur Street – Fringe street always packed out  
Consider fringe streets like Daintree and Edwin  
Extend scheme along Daintree St  
People who have their own garage should not be discriminated against  
Simple – Permit for each car that is registered to that address none for others  
Kangaroo St – Raglan St end very difficult for residents to find a park especially on weekend and holiday  
Particular : Kangaroo (Ivanhoe) No parking on property or on street too congested  
Arthur St Fairlight needs to be on same scheme as Birkley Rd + Francis St as we have vehicles parking for weeks at a time especially over summer holidays  
Need to consider impacts of other timed parking on resident areas. Arthur St Fairlight un restricted but surrounded by restricted |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More parking enforcement by rangers including on corners – some can park too close and create a safety hazard closeness to a driveway exit/entrance another hazard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We are on an unrestricted area and if my parents come to visit, there is nowhere for them to park, we need residents parking scheme on Arthur Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narrow driveways and garages in unit blocks in William Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>William St – Church events cause congestion business/functions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More 10 minute parking for mums dropping off at youth centre/preschools – Shorten hours for non-residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daintree St and other fringe streets suffer badly from parking shifts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baptist church provides no parking in William Street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extend parking as far as Charles Street – Would resolve parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daintree street – would like this street included as part of scheme because of location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2hr parking should extend all the way down Daintree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council has now put time parking at the Manly pool, Kenneth road, Balgowlah and put new residents parking further on Griffith St which has added pressure on Arthur St Fairlight we need Ivanhoe residents parking scheme too</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ivanhoe Park and Extension (continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking scheme area</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arthur street must be made a 2p street and included in the Ivanhoe parking area</td>
<td>• Increase ranger policing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need consistent treatment as Birkley road in Arthur St</td>
<td>• Limit permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remove pop car on corner of raglan and Parkview – very dangerous</td>
<td>• Encourage eco cars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isthmus</td>
<td>Parking is non-existent when Manly Oval is used</td>
<td>• Review traffic flow/direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little Manly – More enforcement needed weekends at Manly</td>
<td>• Visitors parking permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charge for first permit is good – needs to be high – no more than 2 permits per household – discount for eco cars</td>
<td>• Tradesman parking permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little Manly – Manly Waters Hospital one way sth – change direction of yacht club traffic. There’s parking issues here and isolation, no one wants to visit ...problem</td>
<td>• Line marking not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why is Council issuing so many stickers to business and staff? Bank tellers, Royal far west, Small business, and Café staff. If you work in other areas of Sydney, their council does not issue free parking stickers</td>
<td>• Council to ensure all private development provide onsite resident/visitor parking as part of DA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why can’t a resident/apt be issued at least 1 car sticker each?</td>
<td>• On selling of permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We all have family, tradesman, visitors who do not live in the area but visit occasionally</td>
<td>• Commuter parking spilling into other streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isthmus (continued)</td>
<td>Isthmus is a tourist area. All northern beaches residents have access to street and meter parking. Victoria Street is even more difficult, no parking</td>
<td>• Not enough on street parking for residents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bring back car registration to stickers</td>
<td>• Link vehicle registration to permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking scheme area</td>
<td>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</td>
<td>Key themes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please don’t draw 6 m parking bays on street. Promotes usage of big cars at expense of small cars</td>
<td>• Review car share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fines for people selling local resident permits online – i.e. gumtree</td>
<td>• Properties with off street parking shouldn’t be penalised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car ‘clubs’ like go get etc. are often parked for days on end and not used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rangers only need to come to Victoria Parade and see the number of business attired persons parking all day and walking to public transport or work in Manly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When is Council going to stop passing DA’s with insufficient in the CBD isthmus area? E.g.: 31 Victoria Parade luxury 3bed apartments have been recently to be on top of heritage units = no off street parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Victoria Pde, high volume of vacant spots after 10.30pm yet come morning, these get occupied by 7am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Isthmus – Free parking for beach but residents pay 2 hr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isthmus – we have no garage – car clubs are great and need to be encouraged. Get up, pop car, car next door, good. We have 1 less car</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It would be unfair to penalise car spot owners when it’s been paid for in cost of houses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manly precinct zones for recreation event allocation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark parking bays</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(continued)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Post-it notes comment by Theme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car Share</td>
<td>Limit the number of permits to car rentals e.g. go get and put car rentals in council car parks and they can pay for the spot</td>
<td>• Questions regarding actual use of pop car specifically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support more car shares to minimise vehicles on road</td>
<td>• Car share helps residents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why should Go Gets be given a resident spot?</td>
<td>• Car share takes up spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Go get car share car in out street moves for less than any other resident cars in the street. It's a myth that they reduce car needs</td>
<td>• Lack of infrastructure to support car share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q: I assume Go Get and other share car providers pay a commercial rate for their dedicated spots? $1000 or more PA is this correct?</td>
<td>• Pop Car specifically not working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pine Street Go Get takes up 2 spaces for one go get</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Share (continued)</td>
<td>Don’t remove car share</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pop car doesn’t move</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car share should only be allowed on streets that have a non-resident frontage i.e. Kenneth Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support car share</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car share have too many permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Share car between friends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Car share works</td>
<td>Car share works</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca share permits, issue with permits</td>
<td>Geographically, Manly is not set up to remove cars and promote care shares and public transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pop care vehicles are rarely used but occupy kerb space full time</td>
<td>Go get, pop car and care share are all excellent schemes for homes with no off street parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pop car only used twice, gets serviced once per week – can it be moved? How much do they pay for their permits?</td>
<td>I share a car with a friend, we live in different zones and it works, but how would this work if you listed the vehicle against a property? We should be encouraging this</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Registration</td>
<td>Rego numbers on permits is a great idea</td>
<td>• Link registration to permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Registration (continued)</td>
<td>Please attached vehicle registration to permit to stop all of these out of area people using resident parking</td>
<td>• On selling of permits and issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I had my parking permit stolen so rego no, would stop other people using it</td>
<td>• Introduce new technology to record rego</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keep the rules as they are now and fix up the problem of on selling</td>
<td>• No change needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Link permit to rego – non removable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement</td>
<td>Introduce tech to register + check rego (ranger us)</td>
<td>• Introduce new and innovative technology for rangers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Themes</td>
<td>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</td>
<td>Key points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement</td>
<td>Enforcement should be simple and quick with non scanning technology</td>
<td>• Increase ranger policing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marking of off street parking spaces to obtain more spaces – stop blockages</td>
<td>• Fine people who sell parking permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fine when people park on the verge</td>
<td>• Parking across driveways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kiss and drop outside Stella is unpolicid</td>
<td>• Decline in ranger visibility/ presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enforcement is important</td>
<td>• Council to look at what other councils are doing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enforce existing scheme stopping abuse. May be enough</td>
<td>• Change speed limit to improve safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking across driveways, how to address?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BIG fines for on selling of permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digital scheme for future</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement (continued)</td>
<td>Motor cycles don’t show their permits. Apparently nowhere safe to display their permits. If they don’t have a permit, they can’t park!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massive fines for people who on sell permits. Person selling should have 5 year suspension to reapply</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has the number of parking rangers reduced over the last 1-2 years? Their presence isn’t as noticeable, are parking restrictions being enforced?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technology to check rego of cars attached to a permit – quick electronic check or big fine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I would like to see council enforce this. That people with off street parking use it before a car registered to that property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>park off street (Leichhardt Council has had this in place for years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pine street very limited rangers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pine St car spaces need to be marked or 1 car will take 2 spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost of parking in parking stations - increase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No enforcement of 2hr time limit in Pine St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make streets 40 zone rather than 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Restrictions</td>
<td>Resident parking should be residents only and as such, should be registered to that address or they are a visitor</td>
<td>• Questions regarding the process in defining/counting spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Off street capability? How is it defined?</td>
<td>• Introduce multi-functional spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 hrs extended to 3 hrs</td>
<td>• Increase 2 hr parking to 3 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loading zones should become parking areas after a certain time, say 4.30pm – 7.30am</td>
<td>• Conflicting parking signage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How do disabled stickers works?</td>
<td>• Review 5 minute parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stuart Street should be changed from 7 hours parking to 3 hours</td>
<td>• Provide more ‘kiss and ride’ spots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 hours is too short, 3 hours should be considered</td>
<td>• Introduce parking metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce parking in Craig Ave to max 10 hrs. Only for cars with boat trailers not for cars alone.</td>
<td>• Maintain/replace parking signage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rialto Lane: no parking – Bollards conflicting signs</td>
<td>• Review overall parking timing restrictions in various areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pine St: Kindy given 2 x 5 minute spaces and not used</td>
<td>• Reduce 2 hr parking in manly CBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parking Restrictions (continued)</td>
<td>Isthmus 2 p seems too long. Introduce parking meters</td>
<td>• Provide free un-restricted parking areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Signage removed on Alexander St and people are illegally parking near the pool</td>
<td>• Review school permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pacific Pde timing per pool reinstate 2 hrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nth Sydney council has parking metres and permits best way to free up parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central Ave enforcement possible to use council carpark at manly overnight for free 7pm – 7am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 hrs and 2 hrs should come back</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could Council please consider leaving small sections of unrestricted parking in every street like Condon?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking time restrictions do not need to be until 10pm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>½ hr parking on western end of Pacific Pde makes it difficult it’s to stop pool goers but bad for residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 min parking restrictions in William St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commonwealth Pde west – 2 hrs especially. Implement hours like 7.30pm – 7am</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Cove same rates no off street parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change 2 hours to 3 hours please</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-4 hours could be better, especially for beach goers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO year 12 parking near schools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restrict permits to your zone is a good idea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop Crescent Towerhill</td>
<td>Hilltop Crescent Towerhill should have 2hr parking restrictions.</td>
<td>• Address commuter parking issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broke to night for residents</td>
<td>Worse time is at night for residents parking</td>
<td>• Introduce a commuter shuttle bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registrations should be</td>
<td>Registrations should be mapped to actual residents – and restricted to those zones</td>
<td>• Prioritise resident parking over commuter parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more kiss + ride near wharf</td>
<td>More kiss + ride near wharf and bus stops</td>
<td>• Limit permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus stops</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Introduce parking metres in residential streets to minimise commuter parking issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Look at what other councils are doing in this space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Commuter Parking (continued)

- We need a park and ride carpark built near manly
  - No commuter parking
  - Get cars rolling system off the road as a priority
  - Shuttle bus – commuter car park
  - Darley Rd issues are commuters – people taking spots
  - Remove 3rd permit
  - Provide priority to residents not commuters
  - Sick of having to park 400 m from my home because of those park and ride people
    - If the non-residents can no longer get parking permits, this will free up many car spaces
    - If you have off street parking, this should be used before resident permits are issued. If you have more cars registered to your property, than off street spaces, then there's a case for resident permit
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visitors Parking</td>
<td>Provide visitor parking&lt;br&gt;Permits stuck on windows do not allow for visitors, carers, and trades persons. Removable permits allow flexibility&lt;br&gt;Temp permit for visitors/ family&lt;br&gt;Would the parking scheme be flexible for visitors, could we book their rego in online on the day so that rangers didn’t book them - they could use new technology&lt;br&gt;Visitors / trades permits is a good idea. Need to link to the resident but resident would need many of those not just 10 per year&lt;br&gt;We need permits for visitors&lt;br&gt;Visitors permits work well for areas like city of Sydney&lt;br&gt;Consider nth Sydney coupon scheme for guest parking&lt;br&gt;Visitor permits essential for all residents to have visitors like tradies or lunch guests&lt;br&gt;Residents with 1 car space have visitors who want to visit on occasion for more than 4 hrs&lt;br&gt;For guests of residents, issue packs of 10, 20 or 30 depending on parking demand (ocean beach)</td>
<td>• Visitors parking is important – needs to be flexible&lt;br&gt;• Visitors permit needs to be removable&lt;br&gt;• Limit visitors permits per household&lt;br&gt;• Introduce innovate technology to book visitor rego’s&lt;br&gt;• Provide tradesman permits&lt;br&gt;• Support for scratchy system but 10 is not enough&lt;br&gt;• Look at what other Councils are doing in this spaced.&lt;br&gt;• One removable visitors permit for every resident.&lt;br&gt;• Urgent tradesman permit needs to be considered&lt;br&gt;• Community seeking clear definition on how to manage trades permits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Every resident home should be entitled to one visitors permit</td>
<td>• Increase Hop Skip and Jump service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduce scratchy permits for visitors and tradies</td>
<td>• Review ongoing funding for Hop Skip Jump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prioritise residents spaces over visitors spaces</td>
<td>• Promote shuttle services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temp permit for tradies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sufficient temp permits each month/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 permit needed for visitors / tradesman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aging community and access to homes needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need a mechanism for tradesman needing urgent access for weekend work when Council is closed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trade Permits – ability to allow tradies to park onsite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Where do tradesmen park when they need emergency access without being fined?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We need permits for trades people</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide day time and night time visitors parking permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How do you get a visitors permit?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitors Parking (continued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle Bus Services</td>
<td>Hop Skip and Jump is good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase Hop skip and jump service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSJ – go more locations – increase service more often</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit

#### Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pay and display machines to fund expanded hop skip and jump service</td>
<td>• Bring back and promote on demand service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make customers more aware of different options available to get around Manly area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking levy for visitors to fund hop skip and jump service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please bring back the on demand service – and promote it</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shuttle bus services to take the pressure of the parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ferry does not meet the hop skip and jump in the winter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More shuttle busses around local area but more commuter car parks in Manly Vale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly Police Parking</td>
<td>Will Council be providing Manly Police with dedicated off street parking to get them off the road?</td>
<td>• Manly Police staff park private vehicles on fringe streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manly Police need parking</td>
<td>• Manly Police need off street personal parking spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manly police have a shuttle bus that picks staff up from the side streets. They park their vehicles on the road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly Police Parking (continued)</td>
<td>Stop police using Daintree St as their carpark – for their private cars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Police spilling into Daintree spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Cycle Parking</td>
<td>Motorbikes park anywhere taking up spaces that could be used for cars</td>
<td>• Provide dedicated motorbike parking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motorbikes don’t show their permits because they don’t have anywhere to display it. They should have permits</td>
<td>• Motorbikes to have a permit registered to their rego and visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motorbikes park anywhere and take spots</td>
<td>• Cheaper permit for motorbikes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keep bikes out of car spaces – introduce line marking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marked motorcycle parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclists speeding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit – Black Market</td>
<td>Don’t want the scheme to change but want the corruption of on-selling permits to stop</td>
<td>• Prevent on selling of permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How can council stop on selling of permits?</td>
<td>• Address black market issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biggest issue is selling of permits</td>
<td>• Link vehicle registration to permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black market of permits a big problem, If you don’t have a vehicle, you shouldn’t get one</td>
<td>• Limit permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need to stop selling of permits on gumtree</td>
<td>• Fine people who are abusing the permit system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit – Black Market (continued)</td>
<td>Penalise those who sell their permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Permits should be linked to rego to stop on selling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Link rego to permit so they can’t be sold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limit number of permits per household</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cut back fraudulent use of permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fine people who abuse the system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stop on selling issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Themes</td>
<td>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</td>
<td>Key points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Business Permits                 | Business premises currently get too many permits  
Skiff club have 30 permits as a business. Go Get have 50 permits as a business  
Council have misled people by saying there are only 3 permits per business  
Businesses don’t need 3 permits. Why do they need 3?  
Fairness where is it? What’s happening with businesses (permits)  
Restrict all businesses / organisations/ schools /hospitals to 2 permits they should have their own off street parking  
Why should businesses get 3 permits, they take our spaces  
Skiff club – stop them using their permits during the week  
No business permits  
Rate Payers – How many permits does royal far west have?  
Stella Maris permits  
Business permits  
1000’s of business permits – major concern  
Skiff club permits for volunteers not customers or staff  
Do surf clubs have stickers as well as the skiff club?  
Permits for residents not businesses  
Every rate payer should be treated equally | • Businesses get too many permits  
• Review Skiff Club permit entitlement  
• Restrict business and organisation eligibility for permits  
• Review / remove Stella Maris permits  
• Rate payers to be treated equally |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private Developments and Boarding Houses</td>
<td>Existing system works well except that Council has issues with multiple permits to residents and businesses.</td>
<td>• All private developments to provide adequate off-street parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check boarding house limits.</td>
<td>• Review of how to manage heritage listed properties who are heritage listed and do not have permit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All private developments should provide parking – stop spilling onto the streets.</td>
<td>• Heritage listed properties to be considered – they don’t have parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heritage listed properties to be considered – they don’t have parking.</td>
<td>• DAS: Stop counting bedrooms only. Most now apply for study (Victoria St).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send as.pdf to strata to ensure max spaces are available</td>
<td>• Council not to approve developments without parking (e.g. Victoria St).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Parking Comments</td>
<td>Many residents have now lost parking because of the beach parking permit and private development.</td>
<td>• Beach parking stickers impacting on resident parking spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The beach parking sticker is causing problems for residents and their visitors.</td>
<td>• Review parking design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More angled parking.</td>
<td>• Encourage use of small cars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could Council consider taking out nature strips for more parking spaces.</td>
<td>• Multi-functional spaces (i.e. bus + car spots).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| General Parking Comments (continued) | Parking in Manly needs to account for smaller cars spaces or poor access  
Laneway parking – how can Council assist? Look at Laneway parking as part of this process  
William St 90 degree parking - resident parking only  
Bus stops converted into parking spaces after hours  
If a bus stops running at a certain time, then that bus stop should become a parking spot after that time  
Do not support the parking scheme at the Rocks  
Parking that was taken of residents during works and promised to return, should be returned  
Parking isn’t too bad for the most part of the year, mostly in summer  
Change parking configurations  
Define the problem first, the answer sits within it  
Use of Council car parks a’y night  
Supports Councils parking proposal  
Parking should be getting better not harder. People must come from outside  
People with off street parking (i.e. garages) should not be penalised – because they paid more for their garage when they purchased their property | • Use of Council carpark for residents at night  
• Return promised parking  
• People with off street parking shouldn’t be penalised  
• Seniors parking |
## Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve parking for seniors – security and safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not safe for seniors to park far away at night – Happy with Glebe system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council carpark was paid for by rate payers – this makes Manly unique</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit and Review and engagement Process</td>
<td>How has each area been audited? How is council capturing this information from the session? Double garages to be counted in floor space ration as 2 houses near us have converted their garage to snooker or teen retreat and park on the street Why should we comply with the RMS guidelines? Why are we doing it now? What’s the scope of the work as part of this? – Boundary size Audit of unit parking spaces - many difficulties. We pay more in strata fees for onsite space Not confident that the raw audit data tells the right story Tuesday and Thursday session – no one took notes Council are seeking feedback but council have already made their mind up on the cost (i.e. $47 for 1st Permit) Report for each session online. Staff not taking noted or recording sessions Some cars don’t fit in the garages you count and older driveways are not capable of taking a car</td>
<td>• Audit and review process • Review current permit areas • Properties with off street parking should not be penalised • Council has already made their mind up • Off street car spaces too small for vehicles • Council staff not taking notes at drop in session • Prioritise properties with no off street parking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit

Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some property owners rent their unit out but not the garage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please remember there are many residents even in town house semis who have no access to off street parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why don’t you audit the people who have 3 permits?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Would there be expectation to alter driveways to make cars fit?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How are we defining ‘too small for a carport/driveway’ as part of the review?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distinguish between garages, carports and parking spaces – audit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What about large cars that can’t fit in the garage?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not fair that people with off street get on street</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counting garages is counter productive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Boat and Trailer Parking | Survey boats and ships how many are there? | • Audit how many boats we have on road |
| Boat and Trailer Parking (continued) | Construct a multi-story trailer campervan etc, carpark of the northern beaches – these places seem to be disappearing | • Build dedicated boat and trailer parking facility |
| Boat and Trailer Parking (continued) | How will boats, caravans and trailers be managed under this scheme? | • Queries about how boats and trailers will be managed under the new scheme |
| Boat and Trailer Parking (continued) | Boat trailers shouldn’t be able to get permits | • Restrict boats and trailers from getting permits |
| Boat and Trailer Parking (continued) | No boat or trailer permits |          |
| Boat and Trailer Parking (continued) | Boat trailers |          |
| Boat and Trailer Parking (continued) | No boat trailers or campervan permits |          |
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit

Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boats – Trailers – Caravans – NO</td>
<td><strong>Boats and trailers shouldn’t be eligible for permits</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Do not allow permits for caravans, trailers, boats, trailers or motorhomes at all. These should not be allowed to park on the street</strong>&lt;br&gt;At curl park lagoon on both sides, there are caravans boat trailer etc. we don’t want manly to end up like that</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor Parking Permits</td>
<td><strong>Visitor Parking Permits (continued)</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Need visitors parking</strong>&lt;br&gt;Most households with off street parking use permits infrequently – it is an assurance for friends/visitors&lt;br&gt;I am elderly and I need friends and other visitors to check in on me and they need to be able to park on the street&lt;br&gt;Visitors have a 4 hr permit. Resident have a 24 hr permit. Apply to Council for long term visitors permit case by case&lt;br&gt;More visitor parking going forward&lt;br&gt;<strong>Need for flexible visitors parking scheme. We have carers, visitors, tradesmen, family. Flexible for rate payers / owners too</strong></td>
<td>• Provide flexible visitors parking scheme&lt;br&gt;• Carers permits to be considered&lt;br&gt;• Permission for people to park over driveways in Manly&lt;br&gt;• Prioritise residents parking over visitors&lt;br&gt;• Address the black market issue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit

#### Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visitors parking scheme for residential unit blocks – 3 x visitors passes to be shared with tenants 1 x pass for strata to give to tradies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to identify a visitors scheme for residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitors should be allowed to park over driveway if owner allows it</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If I can’t get a visitor / carer / tradesman / family permit, they will have to park past William street and I live in Lawrence St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can we introduce carers permits for help with my husband with Alzheimer’s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need a visitors spot for my sick daughter even though we have off street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carers permit and medical support is needed to help our disabled and aged community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t think scratchy stickers would work for all the types of visitors – don’t always know when they come</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have no off street parking – needs permits for trades people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirribilli issue with tradies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy to see permits given only to people who don’t have parking space on their property. I have a double garage and park my cars in them however we need FREE visitors parking permits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visitor Parking Permits (continued)
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Couldn’t we just share a pass between visitors like the cleaner, gardener, we could just share a pass around which will allow for visitor flexibility</td>
<td>• Permit costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capture people who abuse the system</td>
<td>• Over supply of permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Permit Comments</td>
<td>I like what we have and don’t want to be penalised for the frauds</td>
<td>• Unclear about the eligibility criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If you split zones you make it less efficient</td>
<td>• Limit number of permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I have a garage but it’s too small for my troopie. My tandem garage can fit 2 small cars but I have a motorbike which needs trickle charging</td>
<td>• Increase permit costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider the flow on effect of the resident parking areas that are un restricted. Areas that bound those permit areas older people will become isolated if family and friends can’t get a permit to be used occasionally</td>
<td>• Provide one free permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why have the cost of permits gone up?</td>
<td>• Council has already decided the cost of permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have costs increased?</td>
<td>• Lack of senior parking creates isolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why a fee? Cover costs</td>
<td>• Queries regarding permit eligibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st permit - $80, 2nd permit $160, 3rd Permit $320 $$$$ make people think</td>
<td>• No change to system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has council decided how much permits are going to cost already?</td>
<td>• Address black market issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Permit Comments (continued)</td>
<td>First permit should be free</td>
<td>• Increase permit area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Only hand out enough permits for the amount of car spaces we have in manly</td>
<td>• Prioritise parking permit for residents with no off street parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Off street car spaces too small for vehicles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| General Permit Comments (continued) | Allow two regos on one permit Max 2 permits per unit  
In what circumstance would you see a 3rd permit?  
What are we doing to address over supply?  
Keep the present system. Increase $ for extra permits and fix up the on-selling  
I believe that rate payers should be entitled to one permit  
Unfair to pay for first permit  
Pay for the permit is currently free  
Revisit the old parking permit scheme  
What defines eligibility for permit schemes?  
Max 2 permits per house  
Increase permit areas  
Make sure that land lords don’t get lots of permits for the same premise  
# of permits might not always be used  
Do we still get owner permits currently? (Answered yes)  
We use our second permit for occasional visitors  
Current scheme works just don’t let people abuse it  
How do we stop non-residents getting parking permits?  
Don’t change scheme too much, it’s simple and it works |  
• Don’t penalise properties with off street parking  
• Rate payers to give the permit to the tenant  
• Tenants to receive permits  
• Review permit areas |
## Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ratepayers to give the permit to any tenant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manly problems could be solved by linking the permit to the resident – enforcement effective. It must be simple with new tech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All rate payers treated equally in regards to the number of permits they get – how can council possibly manage the off street parking?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different permit schemes for different streets – area by area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue permits to rate payers. If a rate payer rents out a property, they give the permit to the tenant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max of 2 or 3 permits will affect property value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking permits should be prioritised to people who do not have parking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have a 6 bedroom house with 4 adults with cars. We have a 2 car off street car port so we need a min of 2 permits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide resident only parking permit areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do disabled parking permits work under the new parking scheme?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do disabled parking stickers work in this?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will disabled parking be impacted?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One rego number per sticker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why don’t tenants get the sticker rates notice?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### General Permit Comments (continued)
## Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit

Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review and clean up extent of area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drivers licence and current rates notice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make permit deposit refundable to overcome issue with non-residents getting it – abuse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Many spaces too narrow so should be eligible for a permit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If parking is to be restricted, permits held be based on house size i.e. 1 bedroom – 1 permit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 bedroom – 2 permits – 3 bedroom – 3 permits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Live in Dungog and catch the train eight trains a day up and 8 down. It would be better to have</td>
<td>• Reduce cars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>have less cars. Walk, bike, bus, ferry. If you were meant to have a car, you would have been</td>
<td>• Increase employment opportunity on the beaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>born with one</td>
<td>• Don’t rush the scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Could council please try to attract more employment opportunity to NB e.g. uni campus, state</td>
<td>• Council to close-loop on various projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or fed gov dept then we won’t need cars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why is Balgowlah Heights getting 3 playgrounds and amenities – no manly?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No rush to implement a new scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Like proposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Don’t change anything fast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check out Kerb garage leasing per day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How to manage Air BnB in centre of manly?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sydney water works for pipe burst update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Miscellaneous (continued)
# Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit
Community Drop-in – Post-it note summary, June 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Themes</th>
<th>Post-it note comments (verbatim)</th>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If regos’ don’t always match address it will be an issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kangaroo lane been closed. Any plans to re-open</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Area</th>
<th>What works well with this permit area?</th>
<th>What improvements could be made in this permit area?</th>
<th>Which best describes you?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balgowlah</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hello, I was unable to attend your drop-in session. As long-term residents of Manly, we are and have been tenants within rental properties. To-date, of all the properties we have leased, we have never once seen or been offered a parking permit. These are either taken by the landlord or agents of property, under current legislation they do not have to give them to us (our current landlord resides overseas so permits x2 we should have had access to for past four years must be on-sold). Anything that can be done about this? Given level of rents within area one would think you would have first rights to permits. Thank you for listening, happy to elaborate if required.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairy Bower</td>
<td>Rangers enforcing parking restrictions</td>
<td>Too many builders' vehicles parking all day. In the summer on weekends have a sign at the corner of Bower Street and College Street stating how many spaces are available at Shelly Beach (if any) to encourage cars to turn around before blocking up this narrow road for no purpose.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairy Bower</td>
<td>1. For us, residents in Cliff Street for , with only street parking possible, the scheme as it currently operates in Fairy Bower is working reasonably well and we would be unhappy to see any change which would erode what we currently have. 2. Initially the scheme was not well policed, but these days we often see the parking inspector in the area and it is now much more effective. 3. Our three parking permits work adequately</td>
<td>1. Those with off street parking should have the permitted number of permits proportionally reduced. 2. Council should assess the current scheme's actual effectiveness after adjustment to actual numbers of off street parking prior to initiating any changes. 3. The on-selling of permits to third parties should be addressed simply by requiring the surrender of the actual physical permits in order to renew every year. 4. Permits should not be linked to a car registration number as that will significantly reduce the flexibility to use the permit, say, if you have a loan car for the day while your car is being serviced, or if you are away and have a house sitter for a period of time, or for use by tradesmen or visitors.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>As we can use the third permit for an adult child living at home, for other visiting family members and for tradesmen. The current allocation of three permits for residents should not be reduced.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Note that the actual kerb capacity is more than the number of existing kerb spaces due to the turnover of spaces, intermittent or non-use of permits etc.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairy Bower</strong></td>
<td><strong>There is some overcrowding of parked cars on Bower Street particularly at weekend. But allowing spaces for car share on streets other than Bower St and encouraging car share should reduce need for parking spaces.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In general we think it necessary to place more restrictions on parking for private cars in Fairy Bower but allow enough spaces (only needs a few) for car share. One car share space can be as effective as 10 private car spaces through use by multiple drivers.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairy Bower</strong></td>
<td><strong>We can access cars in College Street usually purposely do not own a vehicle but were very early adopters of Go Get in 2009, and use Go Get both for business and personal use on a regular basis.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>It would be great to have permanent pods for Go Get cars as Good if it finally happens of course. I fear however that the service may be much depleted with less cars available. The only real improvement is in regard to being able to locate the car which is often not possible due to no allocation of pods. However we have remained loyal to Go Get even though often very annoying. We rely heavily on the Go Get service due to not owning our own vehicle.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairy Bower</strong></td>
<td><strong>THE NUMBER OF PERMITS CURRENTLY ISSUED IN FAIRY BOWER IS NOT TOO MANY. As a resident it is easy to find parking nearby for 10 months of the year. The only time when it’s hard to find parking nearby is when Manly Beach and Shelly Beach are packed with people, which is during the summer school holidays and on hot sunny days in late spring and early autumn. This means the overload is ONLY caused by extra people coming into Fairy Bower and is NOT caused by the number of permits currently on issue.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>It is unfair to give the same number of permits to every household. The scheme should give one permit per bedroom in each household. But if that is too difficult to administer, then the scheme should simply give one permit to each household and allow households to apply for one extra permit per number of bedrooms above 1, based on providing proof of number of bedrooms. The number of bedrooms could be kept on file at Council after that, so would only need to be provided once.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairy Bower</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 parking permits is not enough for big households. Our house has 4 bedrooms and 5 adults, however we can only have 3 cars belonging to occupants, which is not fair. And never a permit available for any of our visitors, which is also not fair.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resident</strong></td>
<td><strong>Business / Business owner</strong></td>
<td><strong>Resident</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fairy Bower</th>
<th>Status quo</th>
<th>Resident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ITEM NO. 13.1</strong> 27 AUGUST 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| I called into the drop in session at the council. As we live in a very busy part of Manly and bold and beautiful swimmers and others constantly park in our area - often up to 500-1000 people per day per weekend in the summer, we think we should not be penalised for living here as we already have to deal with a lot of overcrowding of our streets. Some Landlords and residents sell their excess passes. I would like to offer the following feedback - I think given the rates that we pay, residents should be able to apply for permits based on the number of bedrooms in their houses - ie one pass per bedroom. Passes should be linked to car registration numbers and trade passes issued to each house ie if you have a 3 bedroom house - you should be able to get 2 passes with rego numbers attached and say one “tradies or family pass” to make up the 3 passes issued for that address. One bedroom units in a larger block should get one pass per unit and say 2-3 passes issued to the Body Corporate for distribution to various units if tradespeople are required. It should be up to the Body Corporate for each block to ensure that they hand out the trade passes that the building has and to get them back afterwards. We used to live in a Strata block and had similar issues with limited keys to our front gate - it was not too hard to co-ordinate residents to talk to each
### Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

| Fairy Bower | 3 resident permits allowed per household works well in this area - onstreet parking works well almost all the time. It’s only difficult to find onstreet parking on hot weekend days / summer holiday days when nearby beaches are full of non-residents - this means the number of permits issued is not what makes it hard to park. | Two improvements needed please.  
1. Very unfair to deduct resident permits from houses with offstreet parking. Those houses pay more rates as their property values are higher with offstreet parking. So they should be allowed the same number of resident permits as a house without offstreet parking.  
2. Households need more resident permits than the number of cars they own, so that a partner / friends / family can stay longer than 2 hours when they visit. This is why some households need all 3 resident permits. It will be very unfair if Council makes it impossible for visitors to visit, and that is what will happen if only 2 permits are allowed. | Resident |
<p>| Fairy Bower | Every dwelling having the same access to resident permits, regardless of off street parking. Don’t change this - very important to keep things fair between dwellings. Dwellings with offstreet parking pay more for their properties and pay more rates because their property is worth more with off street parking - thus is fair to give every dwelling the same access to resident permits. | I don’t think the number of permits needs to be reduced. HOWEVER if Council wants to reduce the number of permits, then Council should set a new formula which allows 1 resident permit per bedroom in the dwelling, with max. 3 permits allowed per dwelling. Do not reduce permit numbers for having a garage, those dwellings pay higher rates so they deserve the same number of permits as dwellings without garages. A garage = property worth more = more rates paid. | Resident |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fairy Bower</th>
<th>Having the same allowance of resident permits for everyone in the permit area.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>You could attach 2 of the parking permits to registration plates, and just have 1 permit that is generic for visitors. That way you could ensure at least 2 are allocated to residents only, and it's just the 1 out of the 3 that isn't controlled. That would dramatically reduce the risk of permits being used inappropriately, but still enable residents to keep the same number of permits. Keeping the same number of permits really is absolutely necessary on the northern beaches as we are driving distance from the city / surrounding areas. It's not like the CBD council areas that are on a regular bus and train line, and within 10 minutes of virtually everything.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>Do not take away resident permits for offstreet parking – houses with offstreet parking pay more rates (higher property value gives higher rates) so are entitled to same number of resident permits as households paying less rates because no offstreet parking. Will be unfair if Council makes it impossible for visiting friends/family/partners to stay longer than 2 hours – this is what will happen for bigger houses that are only allowed 2 permits limit, or bigger houses that lose permits for offstreet parking. Fairy Bower and Little Manly have more big houses and more offstreet parking than any other area in the Manly parking permit scheme - Fairy Bower and Little Manly should not be penalised for their offstreet parking and bigger houses. Do not use the parking permit scheme to lower the number of cars owned by residents. Parking schemes should not be used for social re-engineering! If Council wants to reduce carbon emissions, Council should encourage electric vehicles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Being able to have a parking permit for myself and my flatmate, plus a visitor parking permit. And I don’t use the visitor permit all the time, but when someone wants to come over for longer than 2 hours (for example my parents or boyfriend) it means they can do so without getting booked. There are no un-metered parking areas in Fairy Bower so they really need a permit to be able to visit. I also think the parking is fine in our area. I generally always find a park, it’s really just during the busy peak summer periods (between approx 10am and 4pm on Sat and Sun) when there are an in-flux of tourists visiting, that it becomes tricky. But I only have to drive around for a short period to find something. Otherwise I don’t have any issues.
## Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Area</th>
<th>What works well with this permit area?</th>
<th>What improvements could be made in this permit area?</th>
<th>Which best describes you?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>Parking in our area is good. We are just far enough out of Manly that we never have a problem with our guests finding a park. I think workers who have gotten their hands on permits want to park closer (Raglan St).</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>It seems to work okay. Though there appear to be many residents in Ocean road that have double and triple car garages that back onto kangaroo lane or Ocean lane who still use Ocean road to park their cars in whilst never using their garages to park their cars in.</td>
<td>Residents who have no off street parking should be entitled to one permit per vehicle for vehicles registered to that address. The permit should include the registration of the vehicle on it. No &quot;visitor&quot; permits should be issued. Visitors can comply with time restrictions or park and pay in the car parks. I visit the CBD to visit friends I would not dream of driving there and expect to find on street parking. I get public transport. Same can be applied to Manly. I see people parking and riding everyday using permits they are not entitled to. I see people who work in Manly doing the same thing. Police officers even. Its a criminal offence to obtain a benefit by deception. Fine them, they will soon stop.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>Availability of some car share vehicles assists in removing some cars from the roads, although it would be preferable if they all had designated spots.</td>
<td>Too many cars parked on local streets, a number of households have up to 4 cars which jams up the narrow roads and makes driving to and from our house quite difficult and sometimes dangerous with cars parked on both sides of streets that are too narrow to accommodate this (our house is on a blind corner and cars also often park too close to the corner). A number of households don’t use their off street parking, thereby blocking the roads further. Increasing the cost of resident parking permits after the initial free one (necessary also for those of us with off-street parking to allow the occasional visitor to park). We have one car (parked in carport) and use GoGet for additional requirements, which saves parking an extra car on the road. However, it is often difficult to return the GoGet car to its correct location as it has no designated parking spot. The roads have become noticeably more blocked up with parked cars in the time we have been living in our current house.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>Works well until we are flooded by trailers boats etc in summer with inadequate inspections. Residents need permits for personal cars plus one for visitors or home help for elderly. If we elderly do not get that extra permit we are isolated as helpers will not risk the fines.</td>
<td>I know some people sell on eBay so commuters can take advantage of the parking. I think the selling on should be monitored.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>There needs to be more warden checking. For example there has been a car with no permit parked outside our property all weekend. There have been no wardens checking cars and the car has no parking fine issued. Unless there are consequences for parking without a permit then the system will always fail. Also if a property has a drive way there needs to be a limit on the number of permits issued to that property. For example a house with a driveway should only have 1 max permit for their second car. Often we see residents with driveways still park on the road.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>The system works very well where we live in Kangaroo St. Your table indicates that there are more parking spaces available in the Ivanhoe Park Precinct that permits issued, so there does not appear to be any reason to change the current system in this area. We currently use the 3 stickers provided for our own 3 cars. The stickers stay permanently in each car as we don't know which car we will park in the street or on our property and it is not practical to change them between cars as street parking is some distance away due to the location of our house. I don't mind paying extra for the stickers or having them linked to car registration. If we have a visitor we currently have to take a sticker from the car in the driveway, hence this would not work with car registration.</td>
<td>None in this area. It works fine. My concerns about any new scheme which reduces stickers are: How do you assess off street parking per house as in our case it is somewhat subjective as to what is a parking space. 2. How do you address visitor parking. 6 years ago we purchased a property in Manly knowing about parking issues, so purchased a property with off street parking. Friends in Malvern St at the same time selected a house without on-site parking, so I really don't see why we should be disadvantaged or lose flexibility through a new scheme. I appreciate the effort you are making to improve things for all.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ivanhoe Park</th>
<th>I don't believe the permit scheme should operate where we live. see comments below. The scheme is abused as there are ratepayers in our street with more than 3 permits being displayed.</th>
<th>I can see the validity of the scheme where residential roads get overloaded with commuters parking, eg ferry commuters. (Western End) is too far from the ferry wharf to have this issue. The only issue we have during working hours is the occasional proliferation of tradesmen vehicles where building works are occurring on residences. Having to provide parking permits to tradies is an unreasonable impost which we have faced on a number of occasions, made even worse when they forget to give the permits back. They should be exempt. I have also had the situation where the tradesman has parked in our carport for easy access and I have parked on the road, forgot to put the permit on the car and been fined. Ridiculous to be fined for parking your own car outside your own house! If we have to keep the permit scheme the ratepayers own cars (say limit of 2) should not have to display permits in their own street.</th>
<th>Resident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>I live in[redacted] generally has plenty of parking and my family has no issue finding a park each day/night.</td>
<td>We have 1 off street parking spot and my family has 4 cars. We currently pay and receive 3 parking permits. I would not want this to change.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>We have 3 people living in our unit without any garage, therefore purchase an extra 2 permits per year. We live at the upper end of the park and have plenty of parking.</td>
<td>As we live in a very small apartment, storage is limited we have to use the garage. So if were to only receive 2 permits you are forcing us to rent a storage facility, just so you can adhere the RMS guidelines. Im sure if you police the NON Resident permits you will find that there will be more available parking spaces.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivanhoe Park</td>
<td>We live in [redacted] which is one of the only streets in Manly Area NOT included in parking Scheme. All surrounding Streets are included in 2 hour parking scheme and thus we get overflow cars from other Streets, pool parking, sport parking, commuter parking, backpacker van parking in summer months. It is very difficult to park in this street at times especially in the evenings. most households have only one off street park. Many of us have no off street parking.</td>
<td>We recommend the 2 hour parking be removed from lower level of Birkly Rd and also upper part of Griffith street, or alternatively extended to include [redacted]</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

| Ivanhoe Park | We are out of area at the moment so are able to park on the street. We are currently out of area. We feel residents should be able to buy permits for the car that they own. We have a driveway which is unsuitable and we are concerned that council is going to force us to park in the next unzoned area due to the inability to purchase parking permits linked to registration numbers. We feel residents should be allowed to buy permits for the cars that they own. Limit of three for example. | Resident |
| Ivanhoe Park | The current scheme works well, where I live the Time limit of 8am - 6pm should remain. Have 2 cars and 2 permits (mine and my son’s work Ute) for 3 people. It is good to be able to move the permits on to tradesmen’s, relative’s or visitor’s car when necessary. Usually taking the permit off my son’s Ute whilst he is at work. Also need to be able to move a permit onto my daughter’s car when she comes to stay from Newcastle. On street parking is only ever full during really major events, like New Year’s Eve Fireworks, then only for a few hours. Permits in Ivanhoe area do not exceed parking spaces so number of permits do not need to be reduced. Many people have an extra permit that they don’t use much for tradesmen, etc. So not all permits are in use. I have lots of street front parking (about 6 spaces) as I am on a corner block so would like to continue to use it. | Council cancel permits that are being sold/rented illegally. The rest of us should not be penalised for abuse by a small proportion of people. Please allow us to still move permits between vehicles. Easier if the permit is not tied to a car registration. Please do not increase cost of permits, the existing cost is enough to deter me from getting an extra (3rd) permit. I am retired, living on my savings. Already pay over $2,000 in rates for a 2br semi on 196sq m. Please do not charge extra for “Tradesmen” permits. The last thing I want when I need expensive emergency repairs, is a bill for parking. | Resident |
| Ivanhoe Park Extension | We live on ________ Fairlight, the first street outside this parking permit area. The Ivanhoe Park extension area means that our street is already heavily used by commuters and visitors, which will only worsen if the number of permits is reduced. | Extend the permit parking scheme to include fringe streets like Daintrey Street in Fairlight. Please consider the wider implications of making changes to the existing schemes. Parking on Daintrey Street got noticeably worse when the Ivanhoe Park Extension area was introduced. | Resident |
## Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ivanhoe Park Extension</th>
<th>Implementation of a 2-hour parking scheme would prevent people using residential streets like Daintrey for all-day commuter car parking which unfairly excludes residents from parking anywhere near their homes for extended hours of the day and evening.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We are in [REDACTED]. Usually the current system works OK. We have three cars all used for different purposes, two of which are usually parked on the street. I understand that under the proposed scheme we stand to only have one on-street parking permit. I am most keen to have the three registration numbers linked to the one permit so we have the option to put whichever of our cars that we choose on the street at any given time. Another concern is that our off-street car port is small and very hard to get into as it is off [REDACTED] which is narrow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We would like no change to the current arrangement in our street. Is it possible that there could be different rules for different streets?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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## Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Area</th>
<th>What works well with this permit area?</th>
<th>What improvements could be made in this permit area?</th>
<th>Which best describes you?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>The pass is good for visitors</td>
<td>More car share options. I use GoGet and almost everywhere else they have dedicated pods where the car can be collected and dropped off. The most frustrating thing about manly is that there are no pods so the cars can be anywhere. Especially during busy times when there is no parking</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td></td>
<td>I have applied 2 years in a row for a permit parking and both times have not received the permit. Posting is not working for me.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stuart st, east of Addison Rd, Lower Marshal st, Carey st, may not need parking permits as only on lovely days it gets a bit crowded during the day, as long as big trailers, boats and caravans and motor homes don't use it as a storage area. There are so many cars in the other areas that one permit per house with off street parking and two permits with no off street parking would be my suggestion.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>Reasonable turnover of spots - would be terrible without resident permits</td>
<td>Use GoGet Services and would be great to have dedicated parking spots allocated to them</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>Like having 1 free permit. I have a space in a LUG, and use the free permit when I have visitors, as visitor parking is limited and often full. Would also be useful if I was a two car household.</td>
<td>Limit number of permits per resident (should any house really need 3 or 4?) Greater enforcement against those who sell permits. Consider increasing number of free hours parking to 3 in council car parks. 2 is often insufficient when running multiple errands. Consider allowing 3 hours permit free parking on evenings after 6pm, when residents might have visitors.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>I like that we have the option of purchasing 1, 2 or 3 parking permits. That provides flexibility to cater for the Manly residents's needs according to their situation. I would recommend keeping this flexibility of being able to purchase 1, 2 or 3 permits. I have provided suggestions below for improvements. I think if these suggestions are adopted then it would limit the number of permits a residence purchases which would go to addressing the mismatch in spaces available versus permits issued. And in turn, support the ability to keep this flexibility and so negate the need for a hard calculation on the number of permits a residence can purchase.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>Go Get cars are a boon and help keep cars off the roads and out of parking spots. Why not give them designated places? One free residential permit per residence seems fair.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>There are mostly always parking spaces when you need them.</td>
<td>Resident, Business / Business owner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>Currently it does not seem to be working/benefiting residents. Boats/trailers should be prohibited from prolonged parking. Manly Waters Hospital should not be issued permits as they have parking. Skiff Club permits issued/paid for should be reduced significantly as residents should be a priority. Parking rangers need to be highly visible particularly from 5pm - 9pm weekends due to Skiff club &amp; beach patrons parking longer than 2 hrs. Weekends are horrendous, particularly daylight savings period after 5pm, cars parked illegally, hanging off corners, bus stops, no stopping signs constantly to attend Skiff Club.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>Community Engagement Report Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit July 2019</td>
<td>I think having permits as above but having those permits linked to registration plates would work well - it would reduce the risk of unauthorised use of permits or &quot;sale or rental&quot; of permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car parks</td>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>There are a number of large boats, caravans and trucks taking up very large sections of street parking. There seem to be a number of non-residents with permits driving and parking in this area as a commuter carpark for the ferry/public transport.</td>
<td>I think having permits as above but having those permits linked to registration plates would work well - it would reduce the risk of unauthorised use of permits or &quot;sale or rental&quot; of permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>There are three adults living in a unit on Addison Road. We do have one garage, however it's quite small and with items however need to store, does not fit a vehicle. We have three permits, two of which are used permanently by the third when visitors come parking. I am very happy with this arrangement.</td>
<td>I think having permits as above but having those permits linked to registration plates would work well - it would reduce the risk of unauthorised use of permits or &quot;sale or rental&quot; of permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>Parking permits available to residents for parking for the people living in their residence work well - one free then the second and third at an increasing cost. Boat trailer permits at $500 per year also work well as there is a boat ramp here and the (payable) permits will discourage visitors parking their boat trailers (with no permit) in the vicinity for convenient access to the ramp.</td>
<td>I think having permits as above but having those permits linked to registration plates would work well - it would reduce the risk of unauthorised use of permits or &quot;sale or rental&quot; of permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Manly</td>
<td>Manly</td>
<td>My street works pretty well most of the year.</td>
<td>I think having permits as above but having those permits linked to registration plates would work well - it would reduce the risk of unauthorised use of permits or &quot;sale or rental&quot; of permits.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cars without permits parking longer than 2 hrs. Extremely unreasonable suggested fees to charge when 1st is free, 2nd only.

$120,000 the permits to enable residents to be able to park, not gauge residents.

Primary permits could be clearly linked to addresses and bills - i.e. electricity and gas in the persons name, so that only residents can have the primary permit to prevent them being sold or used by absent landlords.

However, it is very important to me to have a visitor's parking permit which could clearly show visitor - and link to the property, thus neighbours would quickly be able to identify if these permits are being abused. I am a sole parent and a car is an absolute necessity for me, my children do not live with me, but often come to stay and need a car to travel to and from their classes. I need my parents to be able to come at a notice to look after my other children when they are suddenly hospitalised. They need their car to get to and from the hospital, so it is very important to me, and my children, that we are able to get a visitor permit.

ATTACHMENT 2
Community Engagement Report Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit July 2019

ITEM NO. 13.1 - 27 AUGUST 2019
Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit – Audit and Review

Little Manly

At the time we need parking there is usually a space.

Little Manly

I am the Secretary Manager of the Manly 16ft Sailing Club. The club has been the recipient of 35 parking permits for the past 15 years or so. On the weekends during the sailing season (September to April) we are the grateful recipients of 15 parking permits. These permits enable us to run the bar code and ticketing system for the 16ft Boats which is the only system that allows us to run the club and attract new members. Without these parking permits we would not be able to run the club. We have recently had two new members who are no longer able to continue as members as they cannot find parking in Manly. Some of our members even come from as far as Woolloomooloo and they are spending a lot of money to come and support sailing at the club.

Little Manly

In the 13 years that I have been working at the Club, parking has always been an issue. I do not believe however, that it has gotten any worse during that time. It has always been difficult and parking spots have always been in short supply. One thing that has however changed is that there are always a large number of vacant parking spots in the streets around the Club on weekday evenings after 8pm. On the weekend however there is an evening of cruising around Sydney Harbour and we count over 20 vacant spots between the section of East Esplanade near the Club and the other section of 16ft Boats. This is occurring on both Saturdays and Sundays. This situation is deteriorating suggesting that it is growing worse as the time of parking use of the spots but rather concerns who are potentially making use of the permits and in particular continue to support the allocation of permits to our club thereby facilitating the ongoing support of our volunteers.

Manly

It is often hard to find a GoGet car. It would be good to have more set parking bays for GoGet to allow us to locate the car easily and for parking when we are returning it. Often in peak period is a Sunday in summer it can be very hard to find a park in the area. Commuter parking - I strongly support commuters from the northern beaches having access to this purpose and park in residential streets. There are other solutions for this that should be explored. Tourist parking - we need extra resident parking permits for this purpose and park in residential streets. There needs to be more, easier public transport options and cars parks.

Little Manly

Residents are able to access parking permits for our personal use and for our visitors use. There are parking permits for car share companies - Go Get. This has reduced the number of cars our household needs from 2 to 1 as we are generally able to access a go get whenever we need one.
### Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

| Little Manly | I have off-street parking and use it. I don't need the Residents permits for myself, but I have one which I give to my visitors so they don't have to stress about the 2 hour time limit. | Make it easier for my visitors to find an off-street park near my home. Visitors are always complaining that they drove around for "hours" looking for a park and eventually found one "miles away". People express reluctance to come visit due to the difficulty finding a park, occasionally, despite driving all the way over here, friends have given up and just gone home without actually visiting! This always occurs out of business hours if that helps. | Resident |
| Permit Area | What works well with this permit area? | What improvements could be made in this permit area? | Which best describes you? |
| Ocean Beach | Permits should be registered to each vehicle to avoid the on-sale of these permits to non-residents. Also, there needs to be some kind of permit for when we have guests...maybe a log in online that registers the car rego etc...? Not sure how that could work but no doubt there are some good schemes around that NBC could review. | Resident |
| Ocean Beach | I live in [redacted] and the scheme works fine here. | I think it's fair enough to put the cost of the third permit up but charging for the first permit and significantly increasing the second permit seems like a revenue raising exercise and won't get cars off the streets. The council merged on the basis that costs would be saved so extra revenue should be coming from cost savings not raising the cost of parking permits. Also, parking stickers should be sent to residents that can prove they have cars registered at their residential address. Makes no sense to send parking stickers to landlords if they aren't owner occupiers. | Resident |
## Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ocean Beach</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We find that the scheme works well except that there are an inadequate number of parking spaces available for people such as us who do not have a parking place in the Pacific Waves building and have to rely on street parking. More spaces or ability to use other areas. Plus greater scrutiny of applications are ensuring that the permit can only be used for specific vehicles.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>Generally the system is working well as it stands at the moment. I definitely need permits as I have family who visit and care for me and need to park on the street. My garage is too small for cars. The area where I live is often hard to find a park in Summer due to beach goers and Stella Maris school. Taking away the permits would cause dire issues. I am vehemently opposed to the taking away of permits and additional purchased permits.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>I applaud the cut back in number of permits. We often have trailers and motor homes parked on the street for months on end when we know the owners have off street parking and choose not to use it. When quite a number of residents, such as ourselves do not have off street parking, it makes it very hard to find car parks. Some potential areas for increasing off street parking spots which would be great for the council to review are: Pacific Lane as it swings around to enter onto Pittwater Road. Room for 1-2 car spaces?? Rolf Street - including island in middle of Road. Whilst I understand residents have got used to the extra space they have at the rear of their properties, at some point the council needs to establish the correct boundaries and possibly curb the street, particularly now the child care centre is opening next to Harris Farms and there will be considerably more traffic coming down this street.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>Hi, I think the permit scheme is working very well the way it is. We have 2 x cars in the family one is parked on my property the other is on the street with the free permit provided. We never have trouble finding parking on where we live unless it is on a weekend in summer understandably.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>There should be more available “permit excepted” close to _______________. There is no area to park that is less than 2 blocks away from this area (e.g corso)</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>I don’t have a car at all. I depend on goget. Entirely - use it about 2-3 times a week, otherwise I used public transport. As I leave in the Manly National building, it’s fabulous to have access in the building to goget cars. I hope allocation to build such spaces will continue to be a priority. Cheers</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>Timed parking on the beach front from Queenscliffe to South Steyne</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Do away with the “Pink” 4 hour parking tickets. Do away with the “PINK” windscreens parking tickets. Do away with the “PINK” 4 hour windscreens parking tickets. Revert to the previous number plate recognition system allowing Manly Council Area (MCA) residents access to 4 hours free parking. Residents from other areas from within Northern Beaches Council should be permitted just 2 hours. The reverse system should apply to say MCA residents parking in Dee Why. Dee Why (Pittwater Council Residents) should get 4 hours with MCA residents just 2 hours. Same all the way up the coast.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>I feel the permit system works fine normally.</td>
<td>Business / Business owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stop allowing more high density development along the beach front. It just creates more demand for parking in the area and takes away parking spots while the building is taking place. I am concerned as someone who pays rates twice (resi and office) that you are going to take away the rights of business to have a parking permit. If so we will close our business resulting in the loss of employment opportunities in Manly.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>I have an office based business in Manly and we currently employ 15 people. The premises we lease has no parking (which is pretty common for commercial leases). Of the 15 people in the office we have 10 people who drive to Manly. As it stands we only have 3 car parking passes and the other 7 people need to move their car on a regular basis. For a business it would be good if we could purchase additional parking passes at a reasonable price. We can currently purchase 2 additional to a max of 3 passes. The lack of parking makes it difficult to employ people in Manly. The people in the business add to the local economy during the day when most residents are working in the city. On a regular basis we frequent the restaurants/cafes for brunch/lunch, attend gyms, yoga, chemists...etc., and drink way too much coffee. It would be great if the new scheme could support local businesses to attract and retain staff, adding to the local economy. Note: When a lot of residents with parking permits drive to work, people who work in Manly could use these spaces which would again be free by the time people return from work to park their cars... so I think it’s an easy win for both.</td>
<td>Business / Business owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>The current permit system works well in the Ocean Beach precinct. Council should not radically change the basic system that is in place. Many residents with permits have off-street parking as well. Accordingly there are normally very few problems with full spaces despite more permits being issued than spaces. Don't make out its a problem. Residents MUST get priority for any permits. I am OK if the fees go up a little for the benefit particularly for a third permit.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>As above the long standing system works well.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Status quo</th>
<th>Find special spots for motorbikes so they don’t use valuable car spaces. Abolish special spaces for registered car sharing companies. Many families share cars but don’t have these rights so are therefor discriminated against</th>
<th>Resident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>2P. Important to have 2 permits per household.</td>
<td>More rangers look out. Some cars stay days without PP. Boarding house at 120 Pittwater Rd got the OK to go ahead with the condition &quot;NO ONE GET A PP&quot; as there are 19 people living in it. It seems that anyone can get a PP now. Also residents go to work then arrive people who bought permits and stay all day.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach</td>
<td>Turn over of parking spaces by non-permit holders</td>
<td>More policing by rangers. Camper type vans often stay for days at a time without fines whereas a neighbour was fined the day after permits expired and he hadn’t replaced.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit Area</td>
<td>What works well with this permit area?</td>
<td>What improvements could be made in this permit area?</td>
<td>Which best describes you?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>We can usually find a parking spot if we pick our time. We avoid using our car on weekends and during the various festivals. We have lived in the Manly area for over 30 years and I have raised this issue many times.</td>
<td>There is not enough parking spaces especially when those who live out of the area use resident parking when going to work. I suggest the resident parking permits should be tied to the address of the vehicle’s registration. Company registration would need a declaration that the driver lives in the area. Temporary residents would need to purchase a temporary sticker from the council that is tied to their lease (monthly / annual). Camper vans should fall into the same category as trailers and boats. Business owners and employees are not residents and as such should pay for the privilege of parking near their employment. Everyone else does.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clear signage</th>
<th>More Carshare spaces (e.g. GoGet)</th>
<th>I would like more dedicated carshare (GoGet) spaces in my area, so that the selection of choices can be increased.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>Please don't link permits to particular locations as these are seen in the community. If parking is a problem in the future, we might need to use a different car for parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>Please don't link permits to particular locations as these are seen in the community. If parking is a problem in the future, we might need to use a different car for parking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>Business/owner. You have to pay to park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>Business/owner. You have to pay to park.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>I believe that the parking permits should be based on size of premises.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>I believe that the parking permits should be based on size of premises.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>You have to pay for a weekend. I usually go away for a weekend and so I have to pay for the weekend. I would have paid for two weeks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>You have to pay for a weekend. I usually go away for a weekend and so I have to pay for the weekend. I would have paid for two weeks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>I hope to see student permits in the Isthmus in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>I hope to see student permits in the Isthmus in the future.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The parking areas are clearly identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>The parking areas are clearly identified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>After 6pm unrestricted parking. That is great to provide an option for families to come down from Manly for evening activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>After 6pm unrestricted parking. That is great to provide an option for families to come down from Manly for evening activities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>Link permit to vehicle to a residence. Repro and property linked and checked by Council staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>Link permit to vehicle to a residence. Repro and property linked and checked by Council staff.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>Limit permits to two per property. Exceptions at an increased fee and subject to set criteria (e.g. special needs vehicle). Most households in Isthmus are units, often only restricting permit availability based on existing usage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I also get complaints from delivery carriers including AU Post, Fastway and Toll that it's too hard to find parking close to my apartment block, thus they don't deliver.</td>
<td>Limit permits to two per property. Exceptions at an increased fee and subject to set criteria (e.g. special needs vehicle). Most households in Isthmus are units, often only restricting permit availability based on existing usage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Isthmus</td>
<td>We have lived in [insert address] for 23 years. Prior to this we lived on [insert address] for nearly 7 years. What currently works is that each household or unit owner gets AT LEAST ONE FREE RESIDENT PARKING PERMIT for their area. Resident parking permits help for our family to visit, especially on weekend. Resident parking permits are necessary in the tourist/CBD/isthmus area because there is a strong demand for onstreet parking from residents, redsident's family, visitors and</td>
<td>We believe that businesses such as banks and shops, rental car companies, real estate agents, doctors and other health services, should have access to cheaper parking say in the basement of Coles Carparking Station in Wentworth Street in order to make more space available for RESIDENTS in their streets. If you work in other areas of Sydney you are not automatically entitled to Resident Parking Permits. We believe that many people park in Victoria Parade after 7am and then go off to work in work attire. They return to their cars around 6pm. We also believe that the Northern Beaches Permit with the Rates Notice has increased the need for onstreet parking from people coming from all over the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parking available is not always as equitable or reasonable as it might seem given the way that vehicles have changed since many older parking areas were built (mainly units).

What works? Well it does mean that there is some turnover in people parking. However even on busy weekends I do note that most vehicles already have known resident permits. I also note behaviour that suggests people are using the area as a commuting parking area (arrive early, park, leave after ferries are back from cbd).

I suspect that there are too many permits made available, being used by people who are not actually resident. All my observations point that way. Also, as noted, most people parking already have permits, so the area is not even being used to bring in out of area people for our local businesses. When weighing the two benefits, I believe decent paid parking in high volume car parking areas is the way to go. On street should be last resort for residential areas if only visiting.

two bedders or less. Two seems enough for a family or two independent people cohabiting.

More off street paid parking for visitors. I hesitate to mention it but the oval parking plan seemed pretty smart to me. Shame it was canned.

Congestion charging to reduce overall traffic, but combined with additional park n ride facilities.

Consider innovative solutions for the “extra” vehicles like caravans, boats, RVs. If there were a reasonable, secure and nearby space for parking rarely used vehicles, spaces in high use areas could be freed up. This could be incentivised by a cheaper permit cost for second permit, rates reduction, even a contribution from local businesses (this could bring more people into the freed up parks to spend cash in Manly).
### Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Parking Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Isthmus</th>
<th>The Isthmus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trade Term</strong></td>
<td><strong>Trade Term</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each household gets at least one free parking permit. This facilitates visits of trades people. Any proposal to reduce this limit would be a severe disadvantage for businesses operating within the area. All permits issued would be the maximum allowed with additional permits issued for third, fourth and fifth permits.</td>
<td>Car clubs, GoGet, Popcar etc. should be encouraged. These schemes would be more dedicated car spaces, including one for GoGet (not just Popcar etc.). Council policy should be to maximise benefit from the sharing economy by pooling of resources to get the greatest benefit from reducing environmental impacts while enhancing social and economic values. Certificates are very common in Europe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resident Term</strong></td>
<td><strong>Resident Term</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide the community with correct details as to who gets permits. For example we hear that new rental car companies get permits and take away from residents. We have heard of an expanded development where no parking is provided in the new development or an expanded development where no parking is provided in the old development. This would be a terrible outcome for residents. Review the business needs for example commercial needs should use public transport. There are too many car parks for business usage.</td>
<td>1) First permit price should be much higher than proposed to over $100. Policy should act to discourage car driving. Non card driving ratepayers should not subsidise card drivers. Under current policy, cars can park without charge, a very valuable civic resource given free to a car owner. Council should maximise parking permit income to pay for all its road spend eg road maintenance, managing parking etc. Non card driving ratepayers shouldn’t pay for this. 2) Eco small cars should get discounted permits. SUVs, Utes etc should pay premium to standard price. 3) Permits attached to number plates is good idea, reducing secondary market. 4) No more than 2 permits per household with visitor voucher scheme. Limit permits for those with off-street parking and those in small 1 bedroom units. Our neighbours have off-street parking for 3 cars but regularly leave 2 cars parked in the street. Reducing the number of permits to those in 1 bedrooms will also help eradicate the secondary market. 5) Painting parking bays would be retrograde. 6m bays promote ownership of big cars. Our small car is just over 3m long so we could also park a Smart car, or a small electric car, within same bay.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Area</th>
<th>What works well with this permit area?</th>
<th>What improvements could be made in this permit area?</th>
<th>Which best describes you?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tower Hill</td>
<td>Allocated areas for residents.</td>
<td>Paint white lines on the bitumen to mark car spaces for parking. Often cars take up more than one space. Have areas for motorcycles. Again I see parking of 1 motorcycle taking up a whole car space. It would appear that there are many older unit blocks in Manly with no parking spaces on the title. I would suggest free parking be provided for those units that were built with no on grounds parking.</td>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Tower Hill  | Occasional ranger enforcement         | (i) Visibly register permits to the permitted vehicle to stop residents selling permits to non-residents.  
(ii) Fines for permit selling.  
(iii) Daily Ranger inspections (starting each morning at 9:00am to identify non-resident vehicles which are left by city commuters).  
(iv) After hours ranger inspections or parking cameras. | Resident |
| Tower Hill | Resident Parking Permits in an area so close to Manly Wharf and the beach. This ensures close to home parking for residents. |
| Tower Hill | We can have visitor parking permits, we are out of town and visitors come from far away. There is absolutely no on street parking available for necessary use by tradespersons or visitors to our home. |
| Tower Hill | My rights as a rate payer to be able to obtain up to three parking permits for my street to be used by the residents of my house and my guests, especially my elderly parents who would find it impossible to park away from my house. We already have one guest parking for three to six people. We have a five bedroom house due to high usage of other owners within our complex. |
| Tower Hill | More parking ranger patrolling our street to help with non residents parking for more than seven hours. |
| Tower Hill | We can have visitor parking permits, we are not in the street and the shorter street time limits. |
| Tower Hill | Specifically, George Street Manly but available in other areas. |
| Tower Hill | Over the last couple of years, spaces have been removed that once were there. We now have less spaces and more unit blocks so there is now not enough spaces for residents. On a Saturday night and Sunday night, it is impossible to park and I end up parking in Fairlight. |
| Tower Hill | We used to be able to pay for 4 permits but this year could only get 3 permits. This is very inconvenient as we have 4 cars (one each for my husband and I and one each for our daughters). We would like to have up to 4 permits please. Also, it would be good to have permits for use by visitors and tradesmen, otherwise we get booked out by the council. We would be happy to pay for these permits. |

"It's good to have time limited parking and resident parking permits in an area so close to Manly Wharf and the beach. This ensures close to home parking for residents."
| Tower Hill | 2P to the whole area | There seem to be a lot of commuters who do not live in the area but have managed to get a pass and park here to get the ferry to work. Would suggest allocating the number of passes based on size of a dwelling eg a 1 bed apartment would get less than a 4 bed house to reduce the number of spare passes. Also tying passes to number plates of cars registered in the area say 1 pass per dwelling unlimited and the balance tied to number plates. | Resident |
| Tower Hill | nothing really - I have no garage/off street parking, so parking is always bad at the critical times. there are just too many cars | 1. parking demarcation lines - some drivers are careless and occupy 2 spaces 
2. residences with off street parking should receive less permits - i.e. restricted to one permit only - they need to be forced to use their off street spaces 
3. tenants and landlords cannot BOTH get car parking permits - the landlord should be the only one allowed to obtain parking permits - max 2 - and it is the landlord's responsibility to issue them to the tenant - stop double dipping 
4. encourage more bicycle use - more bike lanes and facilities around the manly area 

I HAVE 2 PERMITS BUT NO CARS - WHEN MY GIRLFRIEND VISITS SHE HAS ONE, WHEN MY DAUGHTER VISITS SHE HAS THE OTHER - so I occupy less than 1/2 a car space on average with 2 permits (cannot afford the fines) - is there a better way to do this? I would suggest electronic registration so that I can have on average one parking permit - one day I could have 3 cars (for dinner) and the rest of the week none | Resident |
| Tower Hill | The Tower Hill parking permit area does work but, not very well and not as well as it could. | 1. Completely separate from Tower Hill Extension (new name) to prevent unlimited parking in the original Tower Hill area. 
2. Restrict motorcycle parking to designated areas not suitable for cars e.g. between driveways. 
3. Compulsory permits for residents' motorcycles as for other vehicles. 
4. Ensure that all signs, including time limits, defining Tower Hill area are in place and visible. 
5. Consider painted lines on road to define parking spaces, particularly in James Street where thoughtless and inconsistent parking is a constant problem. 
6. Reduce the number of permits available for dwellings with off-street parking. 
7. Ensure that residents with driveways can park across that driveway without breaking the law e.g. 2 Fairlight Street driveway (in James Street near junction of James/Fairlight Streets ) is in the No Stopping zone. This causes problems for residents of this building and prevents delivery vehicles from stopping briefly to | Resident |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tower Hill</th>
<th>It’s great to have the option to park in the street for as long as possible out the front of my house.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It would be nice if our local permits covered other common use areas in the Manly Council areas, such as the Steyne parking areas, Shelly Beach, North Head, etc (similar to what our old digital parking permits used to do).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At present, the only way to get these sort of benefits is to be a home owner, in which case you get a Northern Beaches parking permit. If you are a renter, you need to petition your landlord who is under no obligation to give you one of their permits and in our experience, they do not often hand them over. So what happens is that you have landlords who’s primary residence is not in Manly with more parking privileges than renters that live and drive around Manly every single day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tower Hill</th>
<th>A new pickup / drop off area for elderly and handicapped people at the corner of Eustace and Gilbert St, in Gilbert St outside 3 Eustace St.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tower Hill</th>
<th>Our area is challenging because of the proximity to bus and wharf. Many cars parking in the street use parking tickets bought online.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The use of the available space in the street is not maximised due to poor parking and motorbikes. An improvement could be to mark the parking spaces clearly and to create an area devoted to motorbikes, also clearly marked. A somewhat more radical approach could be to create angled parking on one side (probably east side) of the street. This would make entry to parking spaces faster and more efficient. Combine that with clearly marked spaces would greatly improve utilisation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tower Hill</th>
<th>Dedicated spaces for GoGet car share parking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Engagement Report Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit
### July 2019

**ITEM NO. 13.1 - 27 AUGUST 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tower Hill</td>
<td>There is said to be any street parking available and finding a parking spot inevitably takes a very long time. Instead, we have resorted to paying to park in the council car park, which is very expensive. We would prefer to give up private car ownership and rely on GoGet or some other car-sharing service, but it is not really viable. There is no parking near our house, which makes it an unsuitable option. There is a scheme now for local transport to and from the Wharf, which seems like a good idea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Hill</td>
<td>Not much</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Hill</td>
<td>Chaotic, hard to find after work hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Hill</td>
<td>Good to have the permit that gives parking in the northern beaches area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>Marked parking spaces to prevent selfish parking Encourage car non-ownership by providing adequate number of dedicated car share spaces. Lobby government for more frequent reliable public transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>resident, Business / Business owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>Car sharing schemes should be strongly promoted. I also wish the council would proceed with its previous plan to build a car park under Manly Oval.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We do not have a car; however, we use GoGet. I have to say that sometimes it is very difficult to find the car. It would be ideal if you designated spots for GoGet.
### Community Engagement Report Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit

**July 2019**

**ITEM NO. 13.1 - 27 AUGUST 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident</th>
<th>Member of the Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Our house is surrounded by blocks of apartments, many of which have no off-street parking. For example, on one side of us there are 3 apartments with 2 off-street parking spaces, our neighbour is entitled to 3 and we are only entitled to 2. Overall the size of the parking spaces are on a larger size. While we have on-street parking it is difficult for visitors and tradespeople to access parking near our property.</td>
<td>Council approved no visitor parking in the unit block, 1 Lauderdale Avenue in the 1990’s but should now say that the building now put in visitor parking. There is ample space to the building parking and the building parking can be placed in residential areas like 1 Lauderdale Avenue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review**

| Tower Hill | Lauderdale Avenue needs parking lines so that maximum number of parking places can be achieved. |
| Tower Hill | This is hard to answer as I think there is still a problem with parking despite the scheme. |
| Tower Hill | I think that works is that these permits actually exist. Without them residents would have a real difficulty parking especially in the summer time. |
| Tower Hill | Too many permits are distributed. There are households that have double car garages and driveways but they still park in the street. They have off street parking. These residents need priority for parking permits. I think two permits max for each property would also work, rather than the four or five it is now. |

**ATTACHMENT 2**

**Community Engagement Report Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit**

**July 2019**

**ITEM NO. 13.1 - 27 AUGUST 2019**
### Your Say Online Comments – Stage 1: Manly Park Permit – Audit and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tower Hill</th>
<th>Community Engagement Report Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit</th>
<th>Resident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parking is always tough but we always manage to find a space. By moving to a popular busy tourist area such Manly we knew this would be the case.</td>
<td>Ferry commuters use our area to park in, not sure how you solve this. We purchase the 2nd pass for when we have visitors. We would be happy for the 2hr free limit to be reduced to 30mins. This would force people from outside the area to use council paid parking when visiting the beaches near us. Rangers need to check cars to see if they are out of rego on the Service NSW website. I have reported several cars to the police in our area that still have 6 months left on their parking pass yet are 3 months out of rego and I presume left by a backpacker heading back overseas. Perhaps put the expiry date of the pass the same date as the renters lease ends. Our current lease ends in Feb yet I have just received 2 passes that last until June 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The two hour limit for visitor parking ensures there is a turnaround of spots so those with a permit can often get a place to park.</td>
<td>Fewer Council events Clear parking lines so people are not damaging other cars or blocking garages and driveways Small car only signs where the spots are small between driveways Improved pavements A dedicated and cheap commuter car park - an area of the Whistler St car park as there are few others nearby.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Hill</td>
<td>'Low cost of permits'</td>
<td>'Permits should be linked to vehicle registration and proof of residence. -Permits should be based on access to off street parking. -New multi-unit developments should not be eligible for access to permits. This will lower the street parking demand and reduce congestion. -Motorcycle parking should remain unrestricted or exempt from permits. -The permit scheme should not be extended by adding large zones of streets to permit area. This creates problems near the boundaries of the permit area. -Boats and trailers should be not be eligible for permits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Hill</td>
<td>The 2 hour time limit means that there is always turnover of parking places. This means residents can usually find a spot at any time of the day, notwithstanding the high demand. The entitlement to permits and allowing purchasing of additional permits allows residents to cater for visitors.</td>
<td>If the number of permits issued is an issue than there could be tighter control over permits, eg restricting the number of permits per residence to 2, or doing something to control the secondary market in permits. There should be no discrimination against residents, ie don't favour some over others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One piece of big feedback: may pass was stolen by someone actively using it to live in his van around Manly, but i am powerless to do anything - and have to pay $104 to replace.

He's completely got away with it and i cant do anything.

On Fri, 24 May 2019 at 4:15 pm, Northern Beaches Council <transport@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au> wrote:

Dear [Name],

We are looking to improve parking in the Manly area. Come along to a drop-in session to tell us about your current permit scheme area and learn how the Roads and Maritime Services Permit Parking Guidelines may affect you.

Drop-in sessions will be held at Manly Town Hall Customer Service, 1 Belgrave Street, Manly.

- Mon 17 Jun, 9 – 11am
- Tue 18 Jun, 3 – 5pm
Wed 19 Jun, 5 – 7pm
• Thu 20 Jun, 12 – 2pm
• Sat 22 Jun, 9 – 11am

Learn more about the Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit here.

We are also currently exhibiting the Draft Delivery Program 2019-2023 and Draft Fees and Charges 2019 which propose changes to parking permit fees in Manly. Please visit the project page for more information.

Regards

Transport Network Team

You are receiving this email as you are a current Manly parking permit holder.
Dear Michelle

I understand that you are conducting a Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit.

I am an [redacted] (known as the Balgowlah Permit Parking Scheme).

In 2009, due to our lack of (street) parking and various circumstances unique to these two streets, we made a successful submission to Manly Council and the Balgowlah Permit Parking Scheme was established. The process took about 18 months which involved, submissions to Council & Management, Roads and Maritime Services meetings and ultimately, Manly Traffic Committee sign-off.

If it assist you with your Review & Audit task, I have various correspondence pertaining to this Scheme and the process to which we undertook to get to the ‘finished product’.

I will come along to the 1st drop-in session at Manly Town Hall Customer Service Centre on Monday 17 June to hear all about your reviews.

But in the meantime, if I can be any assistance with any information that can make your review a little easier, please do not hesitate to contact me.

---- Original Message ----
From: transport@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au
To: [redacted]
Cc: 
Sent: Fri, 24 May 2019 16:15:11 +1000
Subject: Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit

We are looking to improve parking in the Manly area. Come along to a drop-in session to tell us about your current permit scheme area and learn how the Roads and Maritime Services Permit Parking Guidelines may affect you.
Dear Michelle

I hope you are listening.

This is more to do with consistency of attendants. As I am sure improvements to not allowing people to buy more passes than they need and sell them on gets cured. As our street is littered with people with permits who don't live in Manly. All park during the day and then leave in evening.

My biggest concern is even when this is improved the attendants managing parking need to be better.

Observations

1. No consistency. I parked in a spot and got a ticket in day then next day an attendant walks past same spot I was parked and didn't issue a ticket. I asked him why and he said signs aren't clear and he had even spoken to his manager who told him not to ticket. I then went online and asked for my ticket to be taken back but being told I still have to pay it.

2. Haven't been ticketing workers on our road for last few months.

3. They seem to swarm around areas where they know people get caught out but rarely see them on our street. Example the swarm of attendants in pairs along beach front catching people out right before the ticketing time ends. Makes me think they are on commission which would explain this behaviour.

I really do hope you get this parking problem sorted. Just make people have permits for as many cars as they own + 1 for visitors. Or do as they do in UK for visitor permits you buy scratch cards from council for say $10 a day and once used are void. And limit to a certain amount a year so can't keep selling on.

Hope this is some helpful input

Regards
Dear People,

I am interested in your review.

It appears that the RTS distinguishes between resident and visitor parking whereas your proposed fees do not appear to make this difference.

The current provision of one free zone parking sticker per household and fees for subsequent or lost stickers made this an equitable solution in a suburb which has limited off street parking and no consistent history of ensuring off-street parking for new commercial/hospitality sites.

Whilst I appreciate that there is probably a steady "black" market for out of zone commuters – I do think that residents who live close to transport hubs such as Manly Wharf should not be penalised for others opportunistic behaviours.

I recall that there was a fund established by the 'old' Manly Council for parking where developers paid a fee rather than incorporate parking within their development. If the money has not been dissipated perhaps there could be provision made for enhanced resident/local parking or shuttle service to reduce the pressure by commuters.

I trust these views will be considered in email as I am unable to make any of the drop-in sessions.

Regards,

Manly Resident

---

Dear [Name],

We are looking to improve parking in the Manly area. Come along to a drop-in session to tell us about your current permit scheme area and learn how the Roads and Maritime Services Permit Parking Guidelines may affect you.

Drop-in sessions will be held at Manly Town Hall Customer Service, 1 Belgrave Street, Manly.

- Mon 17 Jun, 9 – 11am
- Tue 18 Jun, 3 – 5pm
- Wed 19 Jun, 5 – 7pm
- Thu 20 Jun, 12 – 2pm
- Sat 22 Jun, 9 – 11am
From: Transport Mailbox
To: Transport Mailbox
Subject: Re: Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit
Date: Saturday, 25 May 2019 8:56:19 PM

Hi all
What will this mean in terms of the application I have pushed through already on parking permit? The current permit expires in 30 days so hopefully we will still be in a position to get a new one?

Changes you are discussing would take a bit of time before taking effect I can imagine.

Looking forward hearing from you.

On Fri, 24 May 2019 at 16:16, Northern Beaches Council <transport@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au> wrote:

Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review & Audit

Dear [Name],

We are looking to improve parking in the Manly area. Come along to a drop-in session to tell us about your current permit scheme area and learn how the Roads and Maritime Services Permit Parking Guidelines may affect you.

Drop-in sessions will be held at Manly Town Hall Customer Service, 1 Belgrave
I was rather surprised to learn of the changes proposed especially as we live in a rather unique spot when it comes to parking needs. My wife and I are retirees and have one car which is usually located in an allocated parking spot within our block of 28 home units at [redacted].

There is no off street parking directly outside our unit block but there are some parking meters on the opposite side of North Steyne which currently are included in the Ocean Beach parking permit scope.

Our uses of the permit are principally to allow us to park on the meters directly opposite (or in nearby streets) when

1. we return home briefly after being out and don’t wish to go through the tedious and very careful process of driving in and out of the tight access ramp of our building basement,
2. Need to load/ unload something from our car that would be difficult to do in our car park,
3. Need to park out overnight to allow guests or visitors to park in our spot due to the lack of parking anywhere close,
4. Access is difficult or delayed due to tradesmen, deliveries or removalists blocking our driveway,

Our second permit is for:

a) Visitors
b) Tradesmen
c) Removalists
d) Cleaners
e) Family members staying with us for an extended period, who cannot park in our building due to limited and often full visitor spaces, their vehicles being too big to fit our spaces or their reticence to drive down our steep access drive.

We cannot see why the cost of the first two permits should be increased so much as indicated in your documentation as we are retirees and only occasional users as listed in 1-4 and a-e above. If as Seniors we cannot afford the second permit our users b-d above will increase their fees to cover the cost of parking.

May I request that due to our location and as Seniors the current fees are maintained.
A community member has just submitted 'Online Submission - Draft Delivery Program 2019-2023' for the draft Delivery Plan with the responses below.

ATTENTION: Louise Hardy

CONTAINER: PJ01188

On which document would you like to make a submission?

Fees and Charges - 2019/20

Draft Fees and Charges 2019/2020

Manly parking scheme permits: While I understand the need to review the Manly parking permit scheme and having a cost associated with permits is a deterrent for people buying in excess and selling them off, this increase seems too much and hurts the people I assume the permit scheme is supposed to support? I live in a unit in Fairlight with no off street parking and now my partner and I will be up for $168 to park on the street rather than $42 like previous years. This is a huge jump and there's not enough information about why this decision has been made. It has also not been made clear enough in the email I received that this huge increase was being proposed and I find it a bit dodgy that this wasn't spelled out in your email. Many would not have clicked through to look at the fees and charges document. Isn't there a way you are able to make this fairer? Eg. if a house has on-street parking then their first permit comes at a cost or they aren't able to obtain one? People who have no on-street parking should be able to have one free permit- these are old buildings in the area that were built with no parking and as these were allowed to be built, there should be mechanisms in place to support these residents.

First name

Surname

Email

Suburb

FAIRLIGHT, NSW

Postcode

2094

While you are here ...
Did you or do you plan to attend an information session?
No

Why not?
No Answer

Do you feel that Council has given you enough information to make an informed submission on the documents?
No

What else would you like to know about the documents that we haven't already presented?
The reason behind increasing permit prices in Manly on the spreadsheet is to bring the price in-line with other permit schemes. Which permit schemes? Are they on the Northern Beaches or elsewhere? There should be more information so we know this is a fair decision.

How would you like that information presented?
Online

Would you like to receive email updates on this project?
Yes

Would you like to join Council’s community engagement email list?
No
Hello,

I hope this email finds you well!

I'm hoping that you can help me, not sure if you can but it's worth an ask!

I live on [redacted], which is notorious for parking (oh there is sooooo much I could say about that!). Anyway, this morning I got into a row with a woman over a parking spot. From what she said to me, through never having seen her other than parking in business attire, and someone else this morning saying they had had parking problems with her too, I don't believe she is genuinely entitled to a Resident parking permit. Is there any way to check? Her Resident Parking Permit card number was [redacted] and her car registration plate (which should be attached to her place of residence, but I don't know if you can access that info) is [redacted].

I also wanted to ask what the situation is with motorcycles parking in resident parking zones – it is ridiculous and dangerous where we are. From what I can see on the Council website, they should have a resident permit if they are going to park in a resident parking area for longer than the non-resident time? But this doesn't seem to be enforced at all. Are you able to clarify this please?

My fingers are crossed.
With kind regards,

[Redacted]
Hi,

I would like to voice my opinion with regard to the suggested pricing changes to the council parking permits. I think it is very unfair to start charging for the first permit as many people in the Manly/Fairlight area have bought units that don’t provide parking so have no choice but to park on the street. In fact they have quite often bought these units as they are far cheaper without parking. To then charge them for parking outside their home is extremely unfair. No where else on the Northern Beaches do people have to pay to park outside their homes. I can understand charging for extra permits because of the lack of parking in the area but I can only see this change to the first permit as revenue raising and not putting your constituents first and foremost. It is a basic need to be able to park your car outside your home. It’s a very poor decision and I hope doesn’t proceed.

Regards

[Signature]
My thoughts on the Manly Resident Parking Scheme:

- One free sticker to every residence (given to the resident not the owner)/ business/club/school/hospital/etc.
- One sticker that can be purchased by every resident/business/club/school/hospital/etc.
- Every sticker to clearly show the registration of a vehicle and a resident must be able to prove that the vehicle is owned by the resident.
- One Coupon Book (20) where a specific day can be nominated that can be purchased by residents/business/etc. each year.
- Additional Coupon Books to be purchased by a resident who has Council approval for building work.
- Scheme trialled for 12 months.
- One Council Ranger to follow up on any miss-use and be responsible for recording owners who miss-use the Scheme.
  Eg Ranger to patrol streets am and pm with the authority to confiscate or cancel permits being miss-used by transport users.
  Eg Ranger to follow up on reports of miss-use or sale of stickers.
- No re-issue of lost stickers unless a Police Report of a burglary can be provided.

I am opposed to sections of any street being blocked off by Builders as it is subject to abuse. I am opposed to residents being treated differently whether they have off street parking or not.

Businesses/clubs/hospitals/schools should not receive additional stickers. This does not happen in the CBD and should not happen in Manly.

It is of concern that Phil Devon, Manager Transport Network NBC, would present a proposed NBC Scheme as occurred at a recent Manly Community Forum Meeting without first consulting residents.

If the State Government Guidelines do not look after the interests of NBC residents, then NBC should be dealing with the State Government and in particular, James Griffin, not causing angst for residents. I have written to James Griffin on the matter.

NBC should be acting in the interests of Manly Eastern Hill residents and ensuring that current benefits are maintained.
Hello

I live in Tower Hill area and wanted to provide my perspective on the parking review.

Parking in Manly is an expensive add on. Our residence was much more expensive because it has parking.

None the less we require permits for visitor parking so that, if our visitors can find street parking, they are able stay longer than two hours.

Currently we pay and have two permits. This especially allows our older relatives and our children with their children some certainty and confidence in accessing our house.

I hope that residents continue to get preferential access to permits. This only seems fair, particularly as Council puts so much pressure on parking availability through its constant events in the area.

There are distinct patterns in our area. When the weather is unpleasant, early weekend early mornings, and in winter there is nearly always parking available. But these do seem to be people who drive into the area to park for work/their commute to the city. I’m not sure how you could control this, as linking permits to number plates does not work for valid guests.

For years the problem of inadequate parking facilities has been raised. Being a major commuter centre as well as tourist area it seems ridiculous that we don’t have a good sized park/commuter parking facility. It seemed such a good idea to have a parking station under the oval and to decrease the traffic flows through Manly centre. I hope this is looked at again. A good parking station with low costs for commuters and residents may alleviate some of the problems.

I also think Developers should be required to provide more parking. They seem to be able to build without setbacks, remove all trees on sites while increasing the population stress in the area.

Another concern is that with global warming people will be driving more, not less, as temperatures are already way too hot in summer and shaded streets are rare.

These are a few of my concerns.

Yours sincerely

Manly

Sent from my iPad
Dear Councillors and NBC Management,

Thank you for the email sent on 24 May 2019 from the Transport Network Team regarding the Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit.

We live on the corner of...

We are very fortunate in that, apart from the January school holidays and a few weekends in summer, there are always parking spaces available around our home.

We have read the RMS Guidelines and feel they give a practical approach to the allocation of parking permits. We think they are broad enough and flexible enough to cover resident parking in Manly to the satisfaction of the residents.

We make the following comments regarding the guidelines:

Page 10 -

“Councils or declared organisations must obtain RMS approval for permit parking schemes on classified roads. In any other case, RMS will provide advice on matters relating to traffic management, traffic efficiency and road safety, including proposals involving parking schemes on roads and road related areas.”

Classified roads in Manly include: Manly Road, Sydney Road, Belgrave Street, Pittwater Road, Condamine Street, West Esplanade, Commonwealth Parade, The Crescent, Lauderdale Avenue, Rosedale Avenue and Hill Street. All roads on the Eastern Hill are unclassified.

Page 6 -

“However, if they (Councils) do propose to establish a permit parking scheme, it must comply with the Regulation and this mandatory guideline.”

Page 12 - Eligibility – dot point 7 -

“Parking authorities have discretion over the total number of permits issued in their area of operations and how they will distribute these permits across the relevant classes of permit parking schemes.”

The above paragraph gives Council flexibility.

Page 13 - Resident parking permits – dot point 3

“The number of permits issued for an area should not exceed the number of available on-street parking spaces in the area.”

The use of the words “should not” rather than “must not” gives Council flexibility to exceed the number of on-street spaces if necessary.

Page 13 – dot points 4 and 5

The next two points, which limit the number of permits to be issued, presumably refer to areas where there is a mixture of restricted and unrestricted on-street parking, as the next dot point (6) refers to areas where there is no unrestricted parking (such as Eastern Hill).

Page 14 – dot point 6 -

“Where the number of requests for permits exceeds the number of available on-street parking spaces, only residents who do not have access to unrestricted parking along their kerbside are eligible to apply for a resident parking permit. Applications should be prioritised as follows:

. No off-street parking space.
. One off-street car space.
. Two or more off-street car spaces.”

This allows for a much more generous allocation of parking permits than dot points 4 and 5. It also allows for shared households and extended families with multiple cars to get permits.

Conclusion

In accordance with the guidelines, having the Registration No of a car displayed on the parking permit should effectively help eliminate the selling of parking permits. It is assumed that Council will check registration numbers against a property’s address when owners apply for a permit and also view proof of company car use.

The guidelines provide Council with the flexibility to issue more permits than on-street parking.
spaces, if needed. In areas such as Eastern Hill that have no unrestricted on-street parking, Council would be able to issue multiple permits to a single household if the household can show that there are additional vehicles registered at that address. With regard to Visitor Permits, to prevent residents selling their visitor parking permits, the address of the residence could be printed on the permit, Rangers would then be alerted if a car is consistently parked away from the vicinity of that residence. With regard to tenanted properties, any parking permits issued to the owner of that property should be handed back to Council before a tenant is issued a parking permit for that property. Likewise, when the tenant leaves, his permit must be handed back to Council. Perhaps a refundable deposit could be put on tenant’s permits to encourage their return to Council.

In relation to our personal circumstances, we have a double garage and own one car which is always parked in the garage. We currently have two parking permits which we use five or six times a year for visitors. We are aware of other neighbours in similar circumstances. We would be more than happy to surrender our two parking permits if we were able to get visitor permits instead.

We feel confident that the flexibility the guidelines provide will allow Council to achieve a parking permit policy that is fair and reasonable and meets the needs of the residents of Manly.

Regards
I’m a ratepayer living in Ocean Precinct, so I pay directly for my free 24 hour parking permit, and I want it to stay that way. There is nothing to review and audit ok. I pay council to collect garbage and get a free parking permit to use outside my house. As ratepayers, we get precious little else from council for what we pay in rates every year. I don’t care what you do at council car parking stations just maintain our free parking permit outside our homes! Simple!

Sent from my iPhone
From: [Redacted]
To: Transport Mailbox
Subject: Parking audit
Date: Thursday, 6 June 2019 2:03:59 PM

Dear Sir/Madam,

I will not be able to attend one of your drop-ins but would like to voice a suggestion.

Caveat: This may already be within your audit's scope.

In addition to auditing the number of cars versus current number of available parking spots.

Can you please assess the ability to increase the number of available parking spots by marking out lines on the street of where people should park.

Rather than having spots limited because:

- Someone has parked one car across two spots
- Four cars can easily be parked at an angle rather than one spot parallel to the kerb.

Pacific Parade, where I live could potentially increase the capacity by approximately 20% by simply putting a few white lines on the street in a smart way.

Thanks for your time in reading this email

Kind Regards
Hello
I live in Tower Hill area and wanted to provide my perspective on the parking review.
Parking in Manly is an expensive add on. Our residence was much more expensive because it has parking.
None the less we require permits for visitor parking so that, if our visitors can find street parking, they are able to stay longer than two hours.

Currently we pay and have two permits. This especially allows our older relatives and our children with their children some certainty and confidence in accessing our house.

I hope that residents continue to get preferential access to permits. This only seems fair, particularly as Council puts so much pressure on parking availability through its constant events in the area.

There are distinct patterns in our area. When the weather is unpleasant, early weekend early mornings, and in winter there is nearly always parking available. But there do seem to be people who drive into the area to park for work/their commute to the city. I'm not sure how you could control this, as linking permits to number plates does not work for valid guests.

For years the problem of inadequate parking facilities has been raised. Being a major commuter centre as well as tourist area it seems ridiculous that we don't have a good sized park/commuter parking facility. It seemed such a good idea to have a parking station under the oval and to decrease the traffic flows through Manly centre. I hope this is looked at again. A good parking station with low costs for commuters and residents may alleviate some of the problems.

I also think Developers should be required to provide more parking. They seem to be able to build without set backs, remove all trees on sites while increasing the population stress in the area.

Another concern is that with global warming people will be driving more, not less, as temperatures are already way too hot in summer and shaded streets are rare.

These are a few of my concerns.
Yours sincerely

Sent from my iPad
Hi.

The below is just common sense to anyone who has lived overseas -

1. Reduce the number of permits given out - they are on sale constantly throughout the year on Facebook groups. People buy them and then sell them for massive profits. No one needs 3 parking permits.

2. Stop businesses from having multiple permits and giving them to staff - half the checkout staff at Coles are given permits. The Skiff Club in Manly gives their permits to bar staff - most of the cars outside there are Skiff Club permits.

3. Introduce resident only parking sections as they do all over London. I lived there for 10 years and visitors just know they can't park so they get the train. They do this in Newtown too. Manly is the only place on earth where the council cares more about visitors than residents.

4. Limit how long cars can be parked - people are storing their second cars on the street and they never move. There are cars on my street that have been there since I moved in. In New York and London cars have to be moved regularly.

5. Stop allowing construction of apartments without ample guest parking. There is one going up next to Havana Beach. When I lived down there in a block of 50, there were 2 (only 2) guest parking spots for guests. So every time you approve a new build you are making the problem worse.

6. Stop allowing spaces to be taken up by building works, again on Victoria Parade and for the last month on Ashburner 5 spots have been out of action. Why?

7. There are loads of places where additional spots could be put in eg corner of Darley and Ashburner there is a gap big enough for another car OR the shops on Darley no one wants 5 minute parking - there is room for a couple of other spots. Likewise there is room for more on East Esplanade and Cove.

On Stuart Street there is a bus that comes every hour only, if the bus stop was reduced on both sides of the road that would be a couple more stops. It is currently oversized.

Outside there is loading JUST for the Skiff Club - that could be 3 more car spots. At the minute everything is prioritised ABOVE resident parking.

8. You have a major problem with misuse of disabled permits. Everyday I watch able bodied people slip in and out of disabled spots often with a surfboard - people I guess are using their parents permits. But its a joke.

Thanks. I won't hold my breathe.
Hi

Wondering if Edwin Street Fairlight is being considered for parking permits. We are now the first street from Sydney Road and Manly with all day parking and naturally everyone comes to park in our street to get the bus making it extremely difficult for us residents to park in our own street. Griffith Street has timed parking and there is a long stretch of Griffith Street which could be used for parking as many parts of it are not outside residents houses. I would be happy to discuss the issue with you. My number is [redacted]

kind regards
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Transport Mailbox</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Edwin Street Fairlight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>Edwin Street Fairlight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>Friday, 7 June 2019 7:44:13 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hi,

It looks like Edwin Street is included in the proposed parking permits. I am interested in the amount of parking permits available for each household as I am not sure there is enough room in the street if all residents get 2 or three parking permits and we know how many cars each resident has. Will the parking permits be allocated by registration number for residents? I have heard of other areas getting permits for builders who then keep the permit for the year. Residents should be given priority for parking. I am not able to attend a drop in as I work, however would appreciate a call to discuss the issue.

Kind regards,
Attn: Michelle Carter

Dear Ms. Carter,

I am unable to attend any of the “drop in and chat” sessions that Council is holding in relation to the Manly Parking Permit Scheme and so I would ask that you take my comments into account.

There is a view that residents who do not own off street parking are entitled to unlimited parking on public roads and that those who do have off street parking are not. I feel that everyone should be treated equally as far as public property, in this case roads, is concerned. Those residents who do have off street parking have paid for it in the form paving part of their property or in the original purchase price.

Quite frequently such resident off street parking is insufficient for needs. We, for instance have parking permits for just such occasions and we pay for these although use them infrequently.

We live at Addison Rd and our block is usually fully occupied by cars on both sides of the road. Under the rules of the old Manly Council, anyone who had a Manly Council Parking sticker could park in any 2 hour resident parking area for 4 hours. I don’t know if that applies now but stopping that might solve some of the problems.

Yours sincerely,

[Redacted]
Hi,

As a manly resident and Manly Parking Permit Scheme permit holder, I would like to provide feedback in to the review process. I live at Birkley Road, Manly, in the Ivanhoe Park area.

Currently, one of the largest issues of contention in our neighbourhood is the excess number of cars on the road, and the limited parking. Most of our neighbours (us included) have more than one car, however two of our neighbours have in excess of four (five in one case and six in another) cars at their address. This makes it very difficult to get a park on the street at all and consistently leads to friction, as many houses in this area do not have as much street frontage as they personally own in length of cars.

Although we own multiple cars, we would be more than happy to reduce the number of cars we own if it meant that we could find a park close to our house consistently. I am not sure what the intended future state would be, however I would recommend that, beyond a small number of on street parks per house (1? 2 at most?) that people cannot purchase additional ones, for ANY amount of money. Unfortunately, people see the purchase of these permits as just a “tax” on owning additional cars, and essentially a way for the Council to accept that they can own and park more than a specific number.

Also, if it were possible to provide for the digital registration of visitor passes, it would address another issue that people in the area have. When people come to visit, it would be good to have an “allotment” per calendar year of digital passes, registered via the web to a specific car registration. Alternatively, but less conveniently, would be a pass-based system as operated previously in Manly.

Looking forward to what comes from the review. We are one household that would look forward to and welcome significant reductions in the parking permits in the area.

Regards,
Hi Michelle

Please advise how I make a submission either online or by email
I received a letter dated June 2019 – your ref: 2019/280306
The letter suggested I could “have my say online” by going to northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au and searching “Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit”
But having done that there is some information but no apparent way to “have my say”
Please advise by return email asap how I can lodge a submission online, or that you have registered the below submission on my behalf – with thanks

I’m a property owner and landlord of a property in the Tower Hill parking precinct.

It’s crucial that my property has access to two Tower Hill precinct street parking permits or the equivalent under any new changes.

Whilst the system may not work perfectly for everyone as it is, I feel it does a good job of balancing the competing needs and limited resources.

Of fundamental concern in relation to the changes is any change that prevents us accessing two permits for the property as they are essential to the amenity of the tenants and alongside waste management I see competent management of the parking situation as the two most fundamentally important services the council provides.

I read (In the Manly Daily I believe?) that one proposed change was that property’s with on-site parking would not have access to on-street parking permits. I am strongly opposed to this change on several grounds:

- It punishes those property owners and developers who build and maintain properties that do the most to resolve the problem of limited supply and rewards those property developers and owners who do the least to resolve it and the most to exacerbate it
- It fails to recognise that many of those property owners with garage space may choose to utilise that garage space for storage – which if they have insufficient space in their apartment is an entirely legitimate choice. Any change that leaves a tenant of mine who chooses to use the garage space for storage without a street parking permit, whilst I continue to pay the same levies as someone who has no ‘garage’ space on their property and does get a parking permit, is an unjust step away from the current fairer ‘user pays’ model.

I would support a reasonable charge ~ $60 for the first and second permits. Perhaps charges can continue to escalate further for subsequent permits, as they do now. And especially if a property is accessing lots of permits for a small dwelling. Like 4 permits for a 1 bedroom apartment.

Finally, if residents accessing additional parking permits and selling them is a significant drain on the available resources – I advocate that the council and the police be directed to scrutinise this kind of activity, and identify and punish the perpetrators with very heavy financial penalties. To my mind this problem of people selling permits for profit should be viewed as a trigger for direct enforcement which will stamp out this specific problem and not an excuse for unfavourable changes to people who use access parking permits through the scheme legitimately.
Hello,

I received a letter from you inviting me to chat about the Manly Parking Permit Scheme. Unfortunately, I’m busy during the times you offered in your letter but I’d still like to have my say. I live in Kangaroo Street in Manly. I have no garage and no designated car spot so I rely 100% on getting a spot on the street close to the flat I’m renting. I find it more and more difficult to find a parking spot. I’ve live in Kangaroo Street in the same flat since [redacted]. I know that people in the community put their permits in a colour photocopier so they have several permits and also give away these to friends. I really would like a system where your permit is linked to your car registration and that car must be registered in the area the parking permit is valid for. A system that prevents cheating.

Thank you for looking in to this and considering my input.

Kind regards,
Hi Phil,

I live in Manly and currently have two parking passes because we need two parking spaces. I would like to support part of the current system which provides rate payers with one free pass. We have gone to the expense of creating an on-site car space for visitors and we should not lose our right to a pass.

I understand that there has been some alleged fraud regarding the on selling of passes. The primary pass could be issued with the registration number of the primary household vehicle. So when visitors come we could park off-site. Those families requiring additional passes should be required to build on-site spaces.

Regards,

[Redacted]
Hi

I think the review is a good idea and permits are an appropriate way to control parking in a popular like Manly/Fairlight.

I think parking should be time phased from closer to manly (say 1 hour free) to up to 3 hours free as you get further away.

I think residents should have 2 permits and a 3rd/visitor permit should be a lower cost and 4 and above to be a standard cost.

I think all areas should be treated the same. I currently live in Arthur Street Fairlight and there are no parking restrictions. This means people from other streets and visitors to Manly come and park in this street all day.

Cheers,
I have tried looking online to make a comment like the letter said but the only place I could see to leave a comment was this email address. I am writing on behalf of my elderly parents who are unable to get to any of the drop in and chats. I don’t know what the plans are but going forward we are in strong support of each Manly rate paying resident having the availability to one of the precinct parking permits that are currently available. My brother and sister and myself currently use this quite often when dropping in to care for our parents on a daily basis and even use it for the cleaner (once a fortnight) and care workers to assist with them showering (3 times a week). Manly has an elderly community so there would be many others in the same position. From what I can see the main problem is on the weekends with tourists and beach goers who don’t want to pay money in a car park. We realise it’s a difficult situation but hope you take our comments into consideration when making a decision.

Regards

*************** IMPORTANT MESSAGE ***************
This e-mail message is intended only for the addressee(s) and contains information which may be confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient please advise the sender by return email, do not use or disclose the contents, and delete the message and any attachments from your system. Unless specifically indicated, this email does not constitute formal advice or commitment by the sender or the Commonwealth Bank of Australia or its subsidiaries.
We can be contacted through our web site: commbank.com.au.
If you no longer wish to receive commercial electronic messages from us, please reply to this e-mail by typing Unsubscribe in the subject line.
Hi Michelle Carter,

We spoke briefly at the Manly Community Forum gathering on Monday 20 May when you & Phil Devon presented the range of rate payer & resident concerns setting the scene for your Manly Parking Review & Audit.

In-line with your request to forward our ideas for your consideration, I wish to submit this contribution supporting our arguments for improved parking across Manly as both rate payers & residents - all in the knowledge that there are many players in the decision making process - NBC Transport/RMS/Police/Councillors/Rate Payers, etc. But surely the most important beneficiaries are your rate payers & residents.

What we do not want:-

- Rate Payers that have incorporated parking in their residence to be penalised for this by being excluded from any vouchers/permits/coupons
- NBC approving new developments where inadequate off-street/on-site parking is included to accommodate resident on-site parking needs
- NBC issuing vouchers/permits/coupons without regard for the number of actual parking spaces available (avoid current excess of 2,500 permits beyond that available)
- Any reduction in Council-owned carparking space
- The removal of free parking

What we want:-

- More free parking space made available in the CBD & Greater Manly area
- Provision of large satellite parking site(s) for visitor parking (permanent & temporary for big events like ToM) with regular shuttle services into the Manly CBD (not unlike the current highly successful HS&J service)
- Removal/Reduction in parking allocations for caravans & boats which take up an inordinate amount of space and are subject to abuse
- A NBC authentication model to identify rate payers and the cars' registration numbers they own, likely to require street-parking, with drive-by rego detection and validation
- Continuance of 3 hour free resident parking card in Council-owned carpark space
- Coupons packs issued to all resident (authenticated owners & long term renters) for their completion to allow for temporary daily parking for their visitors (one day per coupon complete)
- Stamp out any means for on-selling of any parking vouchers/permits/coupons
- Greater free bicycle parking available in and around the Manly Ferry hub
- Current free 2 hour beach parking for non-residents
- "Parking Availability" visual displays on major entry points, like Sydney Road & Shelly Beach
- Further innovative ideas to remove cars from around our CBD area especially, like our Hop, Skip & Jump bus service) eg, accessible fast ferry services to North Head (The Blue Highway, etc).

Kindest regards
Dear Sir/Madam

As a long term Manly resident I agree that changes are required. In particular it should not be possible for permits to be traded on the open market.
However any changes must recognise that having a blanket proposal to link garages/off road parking availability in a home to permit allocation will not work.
Our own situation at Ocean Rd is such that we have what appear to be two garages. Both garages are frequently blocked by people parking in the street despite there being clear white markings to show that access is required. Whenever there are crowd events such as Manly Oval events, Food & Wine festival, Jazz weekends, Surf carnivals, etc we find that even if people do not park across our rear garage, they park so close to the entrances that it is impossible for us to enter or leave our narrow rear lane garage. We have two cars shared amongst a household of four, three of whom drive, and as a result normally park one off road but have to locate the other on the street, and at weekends frequently need to leave both on the street to ensure we are not blocked in.
We pay high rates in this area and believe as such we are entitled to have two permits for two cars in view of our having such an inadequate off road parking situation.
There is also the issue of provision for visitor parking, but to many of us the issue of our own situation is far more important.

Regards

[Redacted]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Transport Mailbox</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Transport Mailbox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>Manly Parking Review - Off Street Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>Monday, 17 June 2019 1:26:06 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hi, I refer to this morning’s meeting at Manly Council Chambers.

One of the subjects that came up for discussion was how Council should deal with off-street parking that the resident was unable to use due to size, etc.

One suggestion would be to allow the resident on-street parking if they replaced the kerb at the driveway.

This would take the drive way out of use and provide additional on-street parking. Couldn’t that expensive a proposition.

Regards
I am out of town all this week so am unable to participate in the sessions discussing the Manly Parking Permit Scheme. In your Review and Audit, your mandate is to “establish a parking permit scheme that ensures fair and equitable access to parking to those that most need it”.

We live in Tower Hill at Lauderdale Avenue, Fairlight. We have a one-car garage, as does [redacted], no driveway or other off-street parking. We have two major concerns regarding the present parking permit situation:

**Recent (2015/16) elimination of street parking spaces:**
Several parking spaces were removed/painted out on local streets, under the pretext of ‘safe distances’ to corners and certain driveways. The whole process was done inconsistently with scant regard to actual safety issues; more in line with creating an apparent need for construction of a Manly Oval Underground Carpark.

As well as the spaces that were removed unnecessarily, there could be more spaces made available. For example, No. [redacted] owner-parking driveway is unnecessarily the width of two lanes/spaces; they also have an entry drive which has curbing that is cut back past their pedestrian corridor again taking up two lanes/ spaces.

**Disproportionate competition for street parking**
My street block between Wood Pde and Margaret Street has a total of TEN street-parking spaces. It is in the main parking strip for Fairlight Beach. Also competing for these ten spots are four houses and two unit blocks that house SEVENTY-TWO units between them. No. [redacted] Lauderdale has had ongoing, constant remedial work for the last 6-7 years and, as per the photo below, there are often associated vehicles taking up valuable street parking. The building has off-street owner and visitor parking.

Dealing with all this competition for street parking, we have no driveway and only ONE garage space per duplex. On the street in front of our garage, in line with the cars parallel parked either side, is a two car-length space that we have been ticketed for using. Rangers have told us parking across a garage is illegal, but can be an ill-defined situation, and ticketing depends on the particular Ranger - not much solace to us.

To ease the immense parking pressure on our street block, as well as others in Manly/Fairlight, and in line with your mandate above, we would like Council to:

- institute a type of permit (or other arrangement) where in safe situations, an owner/visitor is able to park across their own garage;
- take a serious look at the street parking possibilities and inconsistencies in relation to widths of car access to properties and no-parking distances from
corners and certain driveways;
  • reinstate parking spaces that did not cause safety concerns;
  • I would also like to see delineations marking the 6 spaces on the south side
    of Lauderdale, as cars often park haphazardly, taking up 2 precious spaces.

I would appreciate a reply advising how I can conclusively advance each of my
concerns.

Kind regards,

[Redacted]

Typical shot: from my garage, 3 vehicles working at Lauderdale, taken June 6.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Jennifer Richards
0414 244 772
From: Transport Mallace
To: Transport Mallace
Cc: Michael McGorman
Subject: Manly parking permit review - comment.
Date: Thursday, 20 June 2019 11:00:10 AM

Phil Devou,
Manager, Transport Network
Northern Beaches Council.

Phil,

You have requested feedback to provide a fairer parking system that serves the people in your Council area.

I'm a resident in Lauderdale Avenue, Fairlight and have ONE major issue with the present parking in the area.

The 2 hour parking through to 10pm does not make sense and causes residents unnecessary stress and issues with having the family and/or friends to dinner or evening visits as they have to go and move cars just to have a normal dinner or extended stay.

I would suggest this be changed to 8pm - so if parked any time after 6 pm they can enjoy dinner of a night with family without having to have the car relocated or additional parking passes or restrictions.

What is gained by having 10pm? and who is Council targeting with this? How many days a year are the target of the 10pm timing ... and is it justified for the complete year? It just makes our families liable to forgetting to move and being fined for visiting parents or friends - or leaving early because of this unwarranted restriction.

We ask that you please give this your consideration and change this timing to 8pm.

Thanks you and regards,
Thank you for the opportunity this week with Meetings at Manly Town Hall to put forward residents views on the updating of Parking Permits. I would like to re-iterate the points which I made this morning in person. As I am an resident, there are several issues which become obvious with the situation as it is at present. I live in Cove Avenue Manly and as precious as all parking spaces must be in the whole of Manly, this street is particularly bad because there are all the cars visiting the 1007 Skiff Club, Manly Yacht Club and also Manly Waters Hospital which drive straight into our street nearly every day, particularly at Weekends. With this impossible parking situation I have had to sell (give away) my car as I have no garage and it has proven impossible to rent one. Although I have accepted this problem, the biggest effect is that - it is “Socially Impacting” my life-style because my friends can’t visit me either without parking availability. More importantly, it is difficult to hire tradespersons or cleaners to come here. My biggest concern is that as I age, nor can Medical or Clinical Practitioners find parking in the area if I require in-home care. One suggestion I have to alleviate this problem of too many cars from public venues intruding on residential streets - is to make Cove Avenue which is already a one-way street, one-way the opposite direction - that is from south to north. At least some cars would not make the effort of travelling around Addison Road and down Oyama Avenue. Those of us who live here have to do that circle (opposite way) in any case. With regards to allocating of Parking Permits, I suggest that they be issued with car registration for 24hr parking, and separate permits issued for visitors perhaps for 4hrs. Obviously if visitors are staying in the area - a temporary permit could be issued for a period of time. I believe that Mosman Council do this.

I look forward to more consultation on the matter in the future.

Sincerely
I have just attended the parking information night in Manly chambers.
I have just thought of a issue which was not raised at the meeting.
A tenant is allowed a permit and presumably so is the owner of the property. Does that potentially mean there could be 6 permits issued for 1 property?
Thank you

Sent from my iPhone
Hi TEAM

Can the below email please be added to submissions for the Manly Resident Parking permit.

Many thanks & regards, Candy

______________________________
Candy Bingham
Councillor, Manly Ward
Northern Beaches Council
Phone 0418 430 544.

[+] Please keep my contact details up-to-date

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: [redacted]
Date: Wed, 5 Jun 2019 at 20:03
Subject: Parking permits
To: candy@bingham.com.au <candy@bingham.com.au>
Cc: [redacted]

Candy

Firstly - congratulations on your newsletter- please add me to your list.

I know the parking permit discussion has raised a lot of concern and I am another one! Unfortunately I won't be able to make any of the meetings, hence my email.

I live on West Esplanade, work at [redacted] and care for my elderly father evenings and weekends. We have a number of carers who work here along with visiting physios, podiatrists, cleaners etc and 2 weekends a month my sisters who live in the [redacted]. Add in tradies etc.... and you get the picture.

Removing the current parking permit program would destroy our ability to keep our father in his home as we currently do. What are your plans around "special" circumstances for residents who are caring for elderly relatives you refer to in some of your online responses please?

Many thanks

Sent from my iPhone
Hello Michelle,

I understand you are seeking feedback in relation to the Manly Parking scheme.

In designing a new scheme I wanted to bring to your attention one of the issues with the current scheme – in the hope that any changes to the system may be able to rectify it.

The issue relates to properties that sit on the cusp of two parking zone areas. My property is at Aikin Place [Redacted], which is in the Ocean Beach zone however it actually sits on the cusp of the Ocean Beach and Island Park zone. When I lived at the property the Manly Council very kindly permitted me to "swap" zones and pay my Ocean Beach permit for an Island Park permit instead. This is logical as there are many more vehicle spots available in close proximity to the property, as compared to Ocean Beach spots.

From 2014-2016 I was not allowed to switch zones which was the source of considerable ongoing frustration as we host a small holiday in an apartment and the Ocean beach spots were not only much further away, they were far less spots and there was in greater demand from locals and visitors frequenting the beach, shops and restaurants in central Manly.

The attached map shows the position of the property in question:

Red indicates area of the parking,

Blue indicates the closest Ocean Beach parking spots.

I would be fantastic if properties on the cusp of two zones were given the option to swap from one zone to another.

kind regards

[Signature]
From: [Redacted]
To: Council Mailbox
Subject: Manly Parking Permit Scheme - review and Audit
Date: Sunday, 9 June 2019 1:16:51 PM

Attn: Michelle Carter

In regard to the Parking Permit Scheme, I understand that changes have been imposed because of changes to Roads and Maritime Services Guidelines, however I would like the following suggestions to be included in the audit. As I will be overseas until 18 August and I will not be able to attend the review meetings.

- It is unfair to penalise a household that has incurred financial costs to install off street parking. The regulation should be one on-street car parking permit per household.
- Parking spaces could be increased if parking were permitted on driveways, with the proviso that there are no safety issues and no blockage of pedestrian access.
- Certain roads such as Birkley are wide enough to allow angle parking, thus providing more parking spaces.
- Parking ticket fraud would be alleviated if permits were linked to registration plates.

Thank you for including these in your review.

Regards

[Redacted]
Community Engagement Report Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit
July 2019
ITEM NO. 13.1 - 27 AUGUST 2019

9 June 2019
The Chief Executive Officer
Northern Beaches Council
PO Box 82
Manly NSW 1655

Dear Mr Brownlee

Manly Parking Permit Scheme – Review and Audit

We refer to Council’s letter of 1 June 2019 to us regarding the review and audit of the Manly Parking Permit Scheme.

The letter advised that we could have our say about the review online. However, going to Council’s web page and the link provided in the letter we could find no ability to provide a submission online to Council about the review. Accordingly, we now make this submission by letter and ask that it be taken into account when Council makes any decision following its review.

We are residents (owners and occupiers) of Unit ______ Fairlight Street Manly. There is no unrestricted on-street parking outside our residential building or anywhere near us. All on-street parking is restricted to 2 hours between the hours of 8:00am and 10:00pm. We have a single off-street garage that is very difficult to access. Sometimes when access to our garage is blocked by other resident’s vehicles (contrary to the by-laws applicable to our strata title residential building) we are forced to park on-street. There is also no visitor parking space available at our building.

This creates many difficulties if we have tradespeople needing to access the residential building units and common property for maintenance, repair or improvement works. It also creates a real difficulty for us and other residents of the building if we have visitors or carers that will be on-site for more than the 2 hour restricted parking outside our building (assuming of course that there would be an on-street parking space available at the time required, which there rarely is). To partially overcome these parking restrictions we have a single residential parking permit under the current Manly Residential Parking Permit Scheme (Tower Hill area).

From a recent article in the Manly Daily and from the report to Council at its meeting on 16 April 2019 we understand that Council has issued 30% more residential parking permits under the Manly Parking Permit Scheme that there are available for on-street parking.
spaces. The report to Council states that under the current scheme more than 7,500 permits are issued for just 5,250 spots. We don’t know whether this also applies to the Tower Hill area, but we assume it does. It is also clear to us that Council’s current Manly Parking Permit Scheme does not comply with the RMS Parking Permit Guidelines or the Road Transport (General) Regulation 2013. For example, our current permit does not record the registration number of any vehicle for which it was issued, in breach of clause 95(4)(b) of the Regulation and contrary to the RMS Guidelines.

In order to provide a fair and equitable residential parking permit scheme for residents that complies with the RMS mandatory guidelines and clause 95 of the Road Transport (General) Regulation 2013, we make the following submission. We use the word ‘household’ as having the same meaning in the RMS Guidelines.

- The extent of the 2 hour parking restrictions in the Tower Hill area be wound back so that there is some limited unrestricted time parking in streets or parts of streets that are one block removed from the Sydney Harbour foreshore.
- Council review its justification for time restricting parking in Fairlight Street uphill from Lawrence Street.
- Only 2 residential parking permits be available for households that do not have any off-street residential parking.
- Only 1 residential parking permit be available for households that only have a single off-street parking space available.
- No parking permits be available for households that have more than 1 off-street parking space.
- No permits be available for any registered trailer that is a camping, caravan or boat trailer.
- In respect of the second dot point, the first parking permit be free of charge but there be a charge for an additional permit.
- Provision be made for the issue of a Resident’s Visitor Parking Permit where the household qualifies under RMS Guidelines for such a permit and there is only one off-street parking space available to that household.
- All residential parking permits (except a resident’s visitor parking permit) be issued for a registered vehicle that qualifies under the scheme and that the registration number of the vehicle be clearly displayed on the printed parking permit to be displayed in the vehicle.
- A parking permit be issued for one year only and must be renewed on an annual basis.
- A residential parking permit will remain in force only for so long as the resident of a household who applied for the permit continues to reside at that household.
- The revised scheme to be implemented by Council be reviewed 12 months after the commencement of the scheme to see if it achieves the objective of the RMS Guidelines of not having parking permits in force for more than the number of on-street parking spots available.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
Hi Michelle,

I am one of the residents of Arthur Street in the Ivanhoe Park Precinct. I request that this audit and review process also correct an anomaly in the resident Parking Scheme that is a legacy of Manly Council.

When the Resident Parking Permit Scheme was initially introduced many years ago Arthur street was for some reason omitted from the scheme whilst other streets that surround it were included. This has had a negative impact on the availability of parking spaces in Arthur Street as residents and visitors to other streets in the area who don’t possess Ivanhoe Park parking permits will park in Arthur Street to avoid the risk of being hit with a parking fine.

By way of example please let me indicate my position. My wife, son & I all have cars. We all depart each day in different directions for work, so carpooling and public transport are not viable options for us.

Some years back we did the right thing and put in a garage and driveway, and whilst the garage is now full with other stuff we can still use the driveway to park a car. That leaves 3 we have to leave on the street, so we shuffle them around in Arthur Street where we can and park in Birkley Road where we can, mindful of the parking restrictions & times. We regularly have to double park one across our driveway, which makes it more difficult for the buses to negotiate this narrow street.

Despite this I have had times arriving home from work late at night, its cold and raining, and I cannot get a parking spot within 3 or 4 streets of my home.

This situation is mirrored in many of the households in Arthur Street. Please address this for us.

Regards,
Manly Resident Parking Scheme – Community Consultation 18 June 2019

Submission by:
[Redacted]
Manly
Resident Parking area: Ocean Beach

Good afternoon,

I am writing in response to the call for public consultation regarding the Manly resident parking scheme.

Currently I have two parking permits. One permit is affixed to my car, and the other is kept for visitors. Within 12 months, I will require a third permit, as we plan to acquire a second car.

I have a double garage at the rear of my property. The entrance is from [Redacted]. This is a narrow one-way street extension to [Redacted]. Generally, there are cars parked in this lane.

Whilst I have a double garage, there is only room for one car to be parked due to the angle required to park and the lack of road width. If there is a change to the parking scheme and I lose eligibility for a permit due to off-street parking availability, I am alerting you now to the fact that I effectively have off-street parking for one car, not two.

My neighbours at [Redacted] are also affected by the narrow access and will have similar concerns about losing resident parking permits.

For further information, I can be reached on [Redacted].

Thanks
Today I participated in the "Have your say" in the Manly council chambers regarding parking permits. I want to thank the staff for their efforts in hearing us and taking the heat from some residents. It was an informative session and to my knowledge not offered to us in Manly before.

I understand it is all new to us all, and council members have quite a job on their hands, but I was disappointed to hear that the feedback from a similar meeting last week was deemed inaccurate by someone who had been there. I hope this is corrected in the future.

I would formally like to say that I agree with attendees who commented that the current scheme is a good one BUT the loop holes that allow on-selling need to be addressed. I feel we are to be disadvantaged if the option of a property having a parking space (or 2) would not be given a parking permit. We are fortunate to live in an isolated example for Manly with a back street for garages, however our "garage" is not used for the 2 cars we own, and we paid for this option when buying our home.

I have spoken to several neighbours before attending the session this morning and their overall concerns regarding parking permits were aligned with my own in that we have read about the on-selling and want it stopped. A parking permit should be available to EVERY resident who may, like ourselves have an elderly regular family member visit and need to be able to leave their car in our street within easy walking for the regular 1/2 day visit we have. Linking a permit with a number plate prevents this.

I was also interested to hear of the huge number of permits given to various businesses, and council employees. Our local public school has a terrific attitude totally focusing on healthy walking or bike riding to school because of traffic congestion and I know a few years ago the then principal, an old colleague of mine, WALKED to and from Manly Village school daily from Seaforth!

So, please catch the people who do the wrong thing and give heavy, very heavy, fines and in doing so tidy up the system that many of us are happy with.
From: [Redacted]  
To: [Redacted]  
Subject: Manly Parking Permit Scheme Review and Audit - 27 August 2019  
Date: Wednesday, 26 June 2019 12:10:35 PM

To: Phil Devon  
Manager, Transport Network  
Michelle Carter  
Enquiries

Thank you for your invitation to make requests pertaining to parking in the Manly area.

I have lived in a house in Fairlight bordered by [Redacted] During that time I have witnessed untold ‘near misses’ at both intersections, as traffic has increased exponentially. As the fashion for ‘Four Wheel Drives’ has grown, so have the near misses.

Charles and Cohen Streets provide vehicular access to about one hundred residences. Cohen Street is the first from Manly running off Sydney Road which does not have parking restrictions. Charles Street also has no parking restrictions.

These streets proximity to Sydney Road makes them ideal for commuters who can readily access buses to and from Manly Wharf and consequently the two streets do become very crowded and, during peak times, particularly congested. With parking permitted on both sides of each, vehicles cannot pass. Passage along either street is impaired by approaching vehicles.

Charles Street connects Cohen and William Streets. The relatively wide William Street currently has limited parking and no access to or from Sydney Road. This lack of access has resulted in Cohen Street being used more than it used be. Apparently, the prospect of passage being interrupted in Cohen and Charles Streets causes drivers to traverse at inappropriately high speeds when access is not impeded. This appears dangerous.

This danger could be reduced if motorists observed parking regulations in respect of distances from corners and between vehicles. The prevailing use of tall, long and wide passenger vehicles frequently impedes adequate visibility. Typically, for example, a motorist travelling Charles Street in the Balgowlah direction may have to “drive blind” into Cohen Street.

I remark only that I believe the parking in this area is difficult for residents but have no notion of what concessions need to be made for commuters etc. However, as Cohen Street abuts Griffith Street to the north and as resident parking permits extend to that point, it seems that extending these permits to residents in Cohen Street would go a long way to alleviating the problems Cohen Street experiences. A few years ago at a Manly Council Community Forum I raised the problems expressed above. The then General Manager Henry Wong’s response was that ‘unpaid parking had to start somewhere’. I look to you for consideration of the situation and, hopefully, a solution or a trial thereof and certainly a more thoughtful response than Mr Wong’s.
Dear Project Team,

I would like to provide some comments and input to the Review of the Manly Parking Permit Scheme and in addition the failure and impact of the One Permit System on Manly.

**Manly Parking Permit Scheme -**

- Registration Number should be linked to the residential address
- 1 Car Registration Number per Precinct Permit.
- 2 Permits per household
- Expiry Date - 12 months (for owner occupier)
- Expiry Date - Expiry of Lease (Renters) - Lease to be shown when applying for Permit with car registration papers.
- A 3rd Car Permit or Trailer Permit (not both) can be applied for (conditions apply)

Conditions could include:
0 If the property has off street parking available - 2 permits are the maximum the property can apply for; ie no 3rd permit
0 If the property is 1 bedroom or less - 2 permits are the maximum the property can apply for. ie no 3rd permit
0 Trailers - trailers are to be parked in trailer parking zones only ie; not on residential streets - Trailers registration papers to be shown and trailer sticker to include registration #.
0 Trailer parking zones could be added to areas that don’t directly impact residential parking ie; Kenneth Road, Balgowlah Road, Pittwater Road, Campbell Pde, Sloane Street, Addiscombe Road (in front of golf courses, playing fields)

Example of North Sydney permit: Stickers to be colour coded for each Manly Area Precinct (easy to identify for Parking Wardens)

**One Permit System - Northern Beaches (A review is necessary)**

There has been a huge increase in traffic and parking issues in Manly CBD since the Administrator implemented the one permit system for all 40 Beach parking reserves. This may work well in other areas of the Northern Beaches however in Manly it has changed the area into a traffic nightmare.

It is not by coincidence you are unable to find a beachfront parking spot after 9:30am (to go to the beach or a cafe) due to the One Permit Sticker ‘encouraging’ Manly CBD workers to now drive to Manly. Workers are using the ‘4 hours’ coming back at lunch time to move their cars to another spot. A simple way to solve this issue (if the One Permit System is to stay) is input your car registration at the Pay & Display and put ticket on dashboard (we have the Parking Meter infrastructure already in place on the beach front and Shelly Beach). The Meter should have the capability to reject any car owner trying to re-register their number plate after the 4 hours in any given day along the beach front. This is a fairer system and allows beach goers and people wanting to pop down for a walk and a coffee at their local beach to be able to find
a parking spot.

Manly has a fantastic public transport infrastructure for non-residents to access the Manly CBD and the Ferries to the Sydney CBD. As a council and a community as a whole we should be encouraging a 'greener' system - not the heavy reliance on cars which unfortunately the One Permit System seems to done to the Manly area.

It is also not a coincidence Bower Street parking is now near impossible, Shelly Beach carpark is constantly full and cars continue to circulate through the narrow entry u-turn area waiting for a spot. Shelly Beach should not be 10hrs. There is no need for anyone to access the beach for a 10hr period. and if they do want to secure a parking spot for that long they should pay. It is evident, people are parking early at Shelly Beach and walking the quick 20min walk into Manly centre to work or spend the day. Also school (P platers) are using the carpark to park and go to St. Paul's through the week due to the 10 hour limit.

0 Suburb colour coding could be implemented on beach parking stickers for residential catchment areas i.e; the old system! This would make it fairer for residents to access their nearest beach and if you are out of area paying an hourly rate at the 'existing' parking meter infrastructure would make it fair for everyone in every local area.

0 This would also make it easier to identify workers using the beach parking and overflow residential streets for work purposes.

0 On-selling of the Northern Beaches stickers would not be such a huge problem / black market if stickers were colour coded - problem is one sticker for the whole of the Northern Beaches.

Kind regards
Manly Rowing and Sailing Club Inc.

Founded 1875
East Esplanade,
Manly, N.S.W. 2095

Mr Phillip Devon
Manager Transport Network
Northern Beaches Council
P.O. Box 1336 Dee Why 2099

Manly Parking Permit Scheme - Little Manly precinct: Ref 2019/280306

I refer to our conversation held on the 19/6/19 at the 'Drop in and Chat forum' held at the old Manly Council chambers and as requested forward this submission on behalf of the Manly Rowing and Sailing Club (MR&SC).

Background: The clubhouse located in East Esplanade (adjacent to and south of the Manly Yacht Club) is heritage listed and is classified by the Department of Fair Trading as a T2 Association with a ‘sporting’ activity. The Club has an ongoing lease with NSW Roads and Maritime Services for an area of 320m² comprising skid, slipway platform and boathed, the majority of which is over the Manly Cove waterway.

The Club and its facilities has been in existence since 1875 pre dating the establishment of Manly Council and all of the residential development present on Eastern Hill today.

MR&SC currently has 80 members; very few live within close proximity to the club but apart from two members all reside within the Northern Beaches area. The nature of club facilities and activities mean that boat maintenance and dinghy / small watercraft storage are the primary activities. Our boat maintenance facilities are also extended on occasion to slipping craft belonging to such as North Harbour Yacht Club, Skiff Club and Manly Yacht Club.

Comments on the RMS Parking Guidelines as it affects MR&SC:

Reading the RMS guidelines the MR&SC Club has a dilemma in that it is not defined within the 6 classes of permits prescribed under Clause 95 of the Road Transport Regulations, or defined in the RMS ‘Permit Parking Guidelines’. We are however a long term integral member of the community that generates parking needs within close proximity to the club.

General RMS Parking Guidelines:
P13 of the guidelines requires that Council not issue parking permits in excess of available on-street parking spaces. This provision assumes that permits and consequent parking is going to be 100% utilised, which from an operational perspective would never be the case. This provision would appear to be ‘overkill’ and best illustrated by a parking survey any evening, say after 8pm. This would reveal plenty of on street parking anywhere within the Little Manly (and perhaps other) areas demonstrating real time parking availability.
Conclusion
In our view the real issue regarding parking availability stems from previous indiscriminate issue of permits with a consequence of commuters and 'day trippers' saturating the Little Manly area between 6am and 7pm every day. Such drivers anecdotaclude have benefited from obtaining parking permits via 'resale' sites or sourcing them from sympathetic Manly ratepayers who have held permits in excess of their needs. Manly parking permits have proved to be a valuable commodity for local workers or ferry patrons seeking access to long term free parking.
Applying more stringent requirements when issuing parking permits would in our view remove this market, and the parking supply / demand would return to balance.
MR&SC has in the past had the benefit of parking permits to enable our members to use the club facilities. These permits are kept within our clubhouse and utilised only when a member is using the boatshed and concomitant short term water based activities. We request recognition within any formulated resident parking scheme and a continuing access for our members to street parking.

Yours Faithfully

[Signature]
Hon Secretary
Hello,

I’ve already submitted feedback on my local scheme, however, is it possible to also please change the parking in the council car parks at night?

For example, if you live in Manly and need some urgent supplies, or want to do a shop after 6pm, you need to pay to park to go to the supermarket which seems pretty insane. It’s cheaper to drive to Balgowlah to shop, which doesn’t align with the council’s Green objectives.

I’m sure it hurts businesses for takeaway also.

Could you please have at least one hour free parking at night in the council car parks? I’m sure that would also make it easier for people in Ocean Beach parking area to get a park when they get home from work.

Kind regards
Mr P. Devon,
Manager, Transport Network
Northern Beaches Council.

Dear Sir

You have requested feedback to provide a fairer parking system that serves the people in your Council area.

I'm a resident in Lauderdale Avenue, Fairlight and have an issue with the present parking in the area.

The 2 hour parking through to 10pm does not make sense and causes residents unnecessary stress and issues with having the family and/or friends to dinner or evening visits as they have to go and move cars just to have a normal dinner or extended stay.

I would suggest this be changed to 8pm - so if parked any time after 6 pm they can enjoy dinner of a night with family without having to have the car relocated or additional parking passes or restrictions.

We ask that you please give this your consideration and change this timing to 8pm.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, 27 June 2019 2:01 PM
To: transport@northernbeaches.nsw.gov
Subject: Resident Parking Scheme

Our neighbour Mr. [Redacted] has sent a submission regarding the parking restrictions in our street as follows:

"You have requested feedback to provide a fairer parking system that serves the people in your Council area.

I'm a resident in Lauderdale Avenue, Fairlight and have ONE major issue with the present parking in the area.

The 2 hour parking through to 10pm does not make sense and causes residents unnecessary stress and issues with having the family and/or friends to dinner or evening visits as they have to go and move cars just to have a normal dinner or extended stay.

I would suggest this be changed to 8pm - so if parked any time after 6pm they can enjoy dinner of a night with family without having to have the car relocated or additional parking passes or restrictions.

What is gained by having 10pm? and who is Council targeting with this? How many days a year are the target of the 10pm timing … and is it justified for the complete year? It just makes our families liable to forgetting to move and being fined for visiting parents or friends - or leaving early because of this unwarranted restriction.

We ask that you please give this your consideration and change this timing to 8pm."

We completely agree that this is a GREAT idea and would be most helpful if it can be implemented.

[Redacted]
To Whom it May Concern

I would like to support the suggestion by [name redacted] to have parking restrictions changed from 10 pm to 8 pm for the reasons he put forward. It would certainly make it easier when family and friends are visiting in the evening.

Thank you,

Regards,

[Name redacted]

Fairlight

----------------------------------------

Phil Devon,
Manager, Transport Network
Northern Beaches Council.

Phil,

You have requested feedback to provide a fairer parking system that serves the people in your Council area.

I'm a resident in Lauderdale Avenue, Fairlight and have ONE major issue with the present parking in the area.

The 2 hour parking through to 10pm does not make sense and causes residents unnecessary stress and issues with having the family and/or friends to dinner or evening visits as they have to go and move cars just to have a normal dinner or extended stay.

I would suggest this be changed to 8pm - so if parked any time after 6 pm they can enjoy dinner of a night with family without having to have the car relocated or additional parking passes or restrictions.

What is gained by having 10pm? and who is Council targeting with this? How many days a year are the target of the 10pm timing ... and is it justified for the complete year? It just makes our families liable to forgetting to move and being fined for visiting parents or friends - or leaving early because of this unwarranted restriction.

We ask that you please give this your consideration and change this timing to 8pm.
Thank you and regards,

[Redacted]
The Manager
Parking cars for the Manly area
Belgrave St
Manly

Dear Sir Madam,

In the last ten years the size of new cars have increased in size by a third, and the problem is in Fairlight, present where there was parking for three cars, now there is only parking for two. This does mean many cars are locked out of parking, as well as plumbers, electricians and service people.

In previous years Manly Burringah and Pittwater Road a grower of vegetables and some fruit. For example, there were thousands of boxes to tomatoes and green beans grown from Narrabeen to Mona Vale and even where Burringah Mall is located was a large Market garden. If thing it would be a good idea for...
the Government to free up Crown land, to give occupation of growing food, for people to work closer to where they live and be able to walk, ride a bike or bus to work.

There is insufficient industry and most people have to have a car to leave the district each day to go to work.

I live in a Cul de Sac and work where I live and it is very seldom do I see a car. When I leave Dungog I catch the train, Eight trains a day up and Eight trains down, including three X.P.T. to central.

I could help the parking problem a little in Fairlight Crescent by making my carport available to let.

Yours faithfully,

[Redacted]
Hi Michelle,

We live on Damrey Street in Fairlight, which is the first street outside the Ivanhoe Park permit parking scheme, and we experience high volumes of commuter parking.

We were informed by Manly Council in January 2016 that our street was going to be included in a second extension to the Ivanhoe Park permit scheme, with council acknowledging in writing that ‘parking is unrestricted and generally in high demand’.

When I visited council chambers last year, the person who assisted me showed me on her computer screen a map of Fairlight, with the Ivanhoe Park second parking extension area clearly shaded on the streets of Damrey, Edwin and Stranworth, but this has not been implemented yet.

There is a clear need, already acknowledged by council and consistent with RMS guidelines, to assist residents in Damrey and surrounding streets to be able to park.

Please include the implementation of 2-P restricted parking on Damrey Street as part of the parking permit review.

Regards,
Can this issue be added to submissions for the Residents Parking Scheme?

Thank you
Candy

--------- Forwarded message ---------
From: [Redacted]
Date: Tue, 18 Jun 2019 at 1:16 pm
Subject: Fwd: Why purchase street parking permits when there is no available street parking?
To: <Candy.Bingham@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au>

Hello Candy,

I am an avid follower of your Good For Manly FB page and am hopeful that your credo will help me out here. I am really disheartened living under the auspices of a Council that more often than not, seems to be working for its own gains that are so often in conflict with residents’ best interests. This feeling pervades my state of mind as well as conversations with friends and associates. A council’s ethics should not result in residents feeling despondent and hopeless.

I have sent the email below to Council Mailbox and Transport NB but am not hopeful of much success as my only other complaint in 13 years at my address got swept under the carpet after months of correspondence, and I am still burdened with the consequences. I would be most appreciative if you could advise me how to make definitive progress with my concerns this time.

Warm regards,

PS I engaged in your Oval car park protests and refer to Council’s campaign below.

From: [Redacted]
Subject: Why purchase street parking permits when there is no available street parking?
Date: 18 June 2019 at 12:56:45 pm AEST
To: Council Mailbox <council@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au>, transport@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

I am out of town all this week so am unable to participate in the sessions discussing the Manly Parking Permit Scheme.
In your Review and Audit, your mandate is to “establish a parking permit scheme that ensures fair and equitable access to parking to those that most need it".
We live in Tower Hill at Lauderdale Avenue, Fairlight. We have a one-car garage, as does no driveway or other off-street parking. We have two major concerns regarding the present street parking situation:

**Recent (2015/16) elimination of street parking spaces:**
Several parking spaces were removed/painted out on local streets, under the pretext of ‘safe distances’ to corners and certain driveways. The whole process was done inconsistently with scant regard to actual safety issues; more in line with creating an apparent need for construction of a Manly Oval Underground Carpark.

As well as the spaces that were removed unnecessarily, there could be more spaces made available. For example, No owner-parking driveway is unnecessarily the width of two lanes/spaces; they also have an entry drive which has curbing that is cut back past their pedestrian corridor again taking up two lanes/ spaces.

**Disproportionate competition for street parking**
My street block between Wood Pde and Margaret Street has a total of TEN street-parking spaces. It is in the main parking strip for Fairlight Beach. Also competing for these ten spots are four houses and two unit blocks that house SEVENTY-TWO units between them. No. Lauderdale has had ongoing, constant remedial work for the last 6-7 years and, as per the photo below, there are often associated vehicles taking up valuable street parking. The building has off-street owner and visitor parking.

Dealing with all this competition for street parking, we have no driveway and only ONE garage space per duplex. On the street in front of our garage, in line with the cars parallel parked either side, is a two car-length space that we have been ticketed for using. Rangers have told us parking across a garage is illegal, but can be an ill-defined situation, and ticketing depends on the particular Ranger - not much solace to us.

To ease the immense parking pressure on our street block, as well as others in Manly/Fairlight, and in line with your mandate above, we would like Council to:

- institute a type of permit (or other arrangement) where in safe situations, an owner/visitor is able to park across their own garage;
- take a serious look at the street parking possibilities and inconsistencies in relation to widths of car access to properties and no-parking distances from corners and certain driveways;
- reinstate parking spaces that did not cause safety concerns;
- I would also like to see delineations marking the 6 spaces on the south side of Lauderdale, as cars often park haphazardly, taking up 2 precious spaces.

I would appreciate a reply advising how I can conclusively advance each of my concerns.

Kind regards,
Hi
I was out of Sydney so unable to attend the various drop in sessions.
I have been living at Pittwater Road which has no garage or potential to build
When we moved here 13 years ago we were able to park quite easily with in a reasonable walking distance.
That walking distance increased gradually from any 100 metres up to 300 if there was any space at all
We now rent a space $50 a week in a local high rise.
I wake early 6:00am and there can be up to 10 spaces within 100 metres by 7:00am they are all gone
Surprisingly many of the cars taking these spots are there all day until about 5:30/6:00pm
The only conclusion I can make is that they are commuters who have questionable access to passes
Also they could be employees of the business’s in Manly who pay too many passes
On the weekends we can’t invite family and friends to our place because of this parking impasse
Linked passes to car registration and residential address as well as restricting access to passes by high rise
buildings tenants who have there own parking

Sent from my iPad
The Northern Beaches Council CEO/General Manager,
The Mayor and Deputy Mayor and Northern Beaches Councillors,
The Hon Mr James Griffin.

Ladies and gentlemen

I was able to attend one of the drop-in sessions at the Council Chambers (on 18 June, 2019) to discuss the development of a new parking permit system for the Manly area. I would like to thank the Council officers who attended this meeting and answered many questions from the floor.

Several attendees queried the fact that no notes and no minutes were kept of this meeting (and subsequent meetings, as I understand it). This letter will serve, amongst other things, as a partial record of the meeting I attended. There were many other questions asked and ideas floated, however. It causes me significant concern as to how much attention the Council representatives are intending to give to the residents’ concerns, given that no record of these concerns, expressed at the meetings, is being created, added to and maintained for future reference during the review period.

It was good to hear that the RMS mandatory guidelines (and oxymoron of a title – how can “guidelines” be mandatory?) are, in fact, not inflexible. It was less than reassuring to hear that the RMS is driving this project rather than Council itself. Nevertheless, the Council officers stated and restated that they would be making decisions in the interests of the residents rather than bowing to a top-down instruction from the RMS. We were also reassured that the process will not be rushed or compromised by poor information gathering. (See comments below regarding the parking space audit).

I understand Council is spending considerable time, money and effort in “auditing” the car spaces in the Manly area. The number of car spaces and the current restrictions which apply would already be well known to Council. The number of streets in the area has not materially changed and the size of a car space is a defined dimension.

However, I can clearly see that an audit of current car space usage on weekdays and weekends does make sense. To do this properly, however, Council should conduct the audits for a statistically sound number of weeks across the four seasons – only this approach will give Council the full parking snapshot it requires and, at the same time, justify the amount of money being spent on the order to. (Overtime payments to ordered staff were mentioned at the meeting.) Summer and winter, weekend and weekday car park usage varies greatly and these variations must be taken into account.

At least two Council officers spoke of the fact that the issuing of parking permits in the Manly area became problematic in the 1990s and that subsequent councils have been unsuccessful in their efforts to correct these errors. In short, it was clearly stated that far too many permits were issued outside of the existing guidelines and that such errors and excesses have continued for many years.

I made the following points and suggestions:

1) Council already has a set of guidelines for issuing permits
2) Permits are, as I understand it, issued for 12 months and need to be renewed annually.
3) Council, therefore, has a golden and straightforward opportunity to review every current permit over the next 12 months or so. To do this Council can and should rightfully resurrect and apply its lapsed/ignored/overlooked guidelines as they are meant to be applied. Council will have the opportunity to rescind incorrectly issued permits and the “largesse” of the 90s and thereafter can be reined in.

In other words, Council already has a set of guidelines for issuing permits and all permits need to be reviewed/reissued annually. Why not apply the current rules as they are meant to be applied and see if this improves the situation over the next 12 months? It is more than sensible that the review process
not be rushed and, as mentioned previously, a proper audit of car space usage will take a full 12 months anyway. I would contend that Council has nothing to lose by instituting a two-pronged twelve-month review of both permits and car space usage, and it may save Council the trouble and expense of re-inventing the wheel, as it were.

As far as any pressure from the RMS is concerned, I believe that the Manly Community Forum was informed, at its most recent meeting, that the current Council parking scheme and its guidelines essentially satisfy the RMS requirements. There is no urgency, therefore, to conduct a hasty review which could well produce an inferior and poorly informed scheme. Council officers present at the meeting I attended promised that the review would not be rushed. Council has the time and opportunity to determine whether or not the current scheme is truly broken or if it is simply being poorly managed and enforced (the latter is a “given” based on statements by Council officers, but a proper review will reveal the extent of this issue).

Going forward, particularly if a full and proper audit identifies problems that are not rectified by proper enforcement of the current rules, some further suggestions follow:

1) ensure that permits are given to the residents only, rather than to the residents and owners (in the case of rented/leased properties).

2) create a permit system which is car registration identified. This system, for example, is successfully used by the National Parks and Wildlife Service, and it would also eliminate the problem of good quality photocopies of non-identified permits being created—a problem which was mentioned at the meeting.

3) fully scrutinise the permits given to businesses and organisations: the gentleman from the Skiff Club, for example, mentioned that the club has 30 permits which are issued to the volunteers and officials who run the sailing school and race events. These activities, it was stated, take place chiefly on weekends. Such people, therefore, could be issued with “weekend only” permits which could be printed in a colour which is clearly different to standard permits – this would facilitate the work of Council parking officers. Conversely, bank staff and other Monday to Friday businesses could be issued with permits which are clearly identifiable as Monday to Friday permits.

4) many people at the meeting I attended mentioned problems associated with parking for family, carers and tradesman. If permits are registration identified, as I think they should be, residents (whether they are rate payers or not) could purchase books of parking vouchers that would enable visitors to stay for, say, 4 hours, 8 hours or 24 hours. If the number of current permits is reduced, as they almost certainly would be as a result of a proper audit, the Council will be looking at a reduction in revenue. The sale of parking vouchers to residents would provide an additional income stream for Council and a proper evaluation of the cost implications could ensure that Council’s bottom line is not adversely affected.

5) others at the meeting mentioned the issue of boarding houses and the number of permits allocated to such dwellings. Council officers stated that one parking permit per room in a boarding house was able to be issued – it was noted by at least one person at the meeting that this clearly discriminates against a family with a 4 or 5 bedroom dwelling who may be able to obtain only 1 or 2 permits if they have an off-street parking space. Registration identified permits would help avoid the problem of boarding house residents without cars selling their permits to others who would otherwise not be entitled to one.

6) finally, for the moment at least, the issue of a ferry commuters needs to be considered. It is good to note that commuters wish to use the Manly ferry services to travel to the city and to work. Many commuters from outside the Manly area will drive to Manly to park and access the ferries. This creates an additional parking demand in the area which should be brought to the attention of the New South Wales Government. The government has been very proactive in developing commuter car park space for train stations on the CityRail and new Metro networks. The government should be asked to investigate what it might do to improve access to the ferry terminals for commuters:

   Improved public transport?
improved and expanded bicycle parking facilities?
and even a multistorey car park within 10-50 minutes’ walk of the very terminal, and/or a multistorey
car park further from the terminals but with a very frequent shuttle service to the terminals?
This is a major proposal and would not, I realise, be funded by Council, but Council and the State
Government should surely work together in planning and providing larger scale infrastructure which
will keep cars off the road, improve appropriate parking and ease the pressure on Council
infrastructure.

This is a long letter – thank you for wading through it.

My primary proposals and suggestions refer to the conducting of a proper twelve-month car space
usage audit and a twelve-month period of scrutiny of existing permits before they are re-issued.
These points generated significant interest and support from people at the meeting whom I had not
even met before, hence my putting pen to paper.
I believe these points present a logical and clear way forward which will give Council the full and
proper information it requires to assess the parking situation. Anything less than this approach will
appear to residents as being rushed, piecemeal and incomplete.

Council officers stressed that they wish to see a “fairer and better” system for residents and rate-
payers. The approach outlined above may provide an opportunity for Council to move forward without
having to dismantle one system and replace it with another. Unjustified RMS pressure to rush the
process will inevitably result in an imperfect audit and would lead to an unfair and worse parking
scenario for the very people which the Northern Beaches Council represents and serves.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
Dear Project Officer

I have been overseas and have just found out about the parking scheme review. I realise that comments closed on Sunday but hope tat you may still be ale to consider my comments.

We bought a unit in The Crescent Manly in October 2017. Unfortunately, this apartment building does not have any visitor parking. I understand that one of Council’s concerns is that there are more permits issued than spaces available. However, we only use our apartment as a weekender about once every two months but we need to buy the three permits offered for the rare occasion that we invite three couples to dinner and need them to be able to park in the streets nearby for more than two hours. We have used the third voucher on two occasions in the last two years. This is very expensive (and infrequent) parking.

Would Council consider another option for this third voucher: a pay-per-use option? For example, a card with say 3-5 tear-off permits that could be used once each for say $5 each?

We would really like Council to keep the free voucher and not raise the price of others as we pay full rates but do not use Manly’s services often. I am sure there are many others in a similar situation.

Thanks you for your consideration.

Regards
Hi, your process for obtaining community feedback was flawed. While you highlighted "drop-in and chat" the ability to convey positions online was obscured and hidden in the depths of the NBC web page. People who were not able to drop in therefore have been marginalized.
Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Manly Resident Parking Permit Scheme

As an owner/resident of a unit on Rose Street I was unable to attend one of the audit and review drop-ins. However, I wish to comment as follows:

I have for some time been concerned that residents are allowed multiple permits in the Inner Hill area, parking for visitors and tradespeople has become very difficult over the past two years.

Trading of permits is also obvious as you see vehicles arrive and leave morning and evening, with occupants walking down to or up from the Manly Ferry landing.

Whilst I do have an on-site car space at my address, I do need 1 parking permit for family visits and occasional tradesman for repairs.

On the occasions of these planned visits I find it essential to park my car in the permit areas and free up any on-site car space. as there are no allocated visitor parking sites within our development.

I therefore feel it is essential that I retain one (1) parking permit.

Yours faithfully,

29 JUN 2019

[Signature]
From: Cr Sarah Grattan <Sarah.Grattan@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2019 10:46 am
To: Ray Brownlee
Cc: Cr Stuart Sprott; Cr Candy Bingham; Cr Michael Regan; ‘Sue’; Council Mailbox; Cr David Walton; Cr Natalie Warren; Cr Roslyn Harrison; manly@parliament.nsw.gov.au; Cr Penny Philpott; Cr Rory Amon; Cr Vincent DeLuca; Cr Kylie Ferguson; Cr Alex McTaggart; Cr Ian White; secretary@manlycommunityforum.com
Subject: Re: COMPLAINT - Manly Resident Parking Permit Scheme Drop-In Sessions

Dear [Name]

Thanks for your email.

We will take note of your concerns regarding the consultation process and get back to you.

Your email will be included in the formal feedback report regarding the parking consultation. It’s a fraught issue which is why it is so important to have these conversations with the community. Solutions to the problem of parking in Manly aren’t easy - what we do know is that it isn’t working well at the moment. We are collecting the facts and will then review and assess...
potential options.

Please note, that no decisions have yet been made by Council. Tonight we will be voting to maintain the 2018/19 fees and charges - until and unless changes are made once the community consultation is complete and a proposed parking scheme is presented to Council.

Regards
Sarah

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Ray Brownlee
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2019 10:21 am
To: Ray Brownlee
Cc: Cr Stuart Sprott; Cr Sarah Grattan; Cr Candy Bingham; Cr Michael Regan; 'Sue'; Council Mailbox; Cr David Walton; Cr Natalie Warren; Cr Roslyn Harrison; manly@parliament.nsw.gov.au; Cr Penny Philpott; Cr Rory Amon; Cr Vincent DeLuca; Cr Kylie Ferguson; Cr Alex McTaggart; Cr Ian White; secretaty@manlycommunityforum.com
Subject: COMPLAINT - Manly Resident Parking Permit Scheme Drop-in Sessions

To NBC General Manager, Mr Ray Brownlee.

I attended the Drop-In Session for the Manly Resident Parking Permit Scheme on Tuesday 18 June and Thursday 20 June 2019.

I wish to make the following formal complaints:

1. My understanding was that these Sessions were to provide “feedback” to NBC prior to the implementation of changes, however
   - According to NBC Staff, Council had already decided the cost to ratepayers of future permits. The rationale provided to attendees for the costs was stated at both sessions to be the cost of issuing a permit, which as was pointed out to staff was not consistent with the varying price of multiple permits.
   - According to NBC Staff, Council had already decided that permits were not to be provided to residents with off-street parking.
   - Council Representatives claimed that Council was simply following NSW State Government Guidelines. The recent Manly Community Forum Meeting was advised that the current scheme meets the Guidelines and there is no need for change.
   - NBC Staff at the Tuesday Session advised the public that different Resident Parking Schemes would be implemented in different areas of the Peninsular.
   - According to NBC Staff, Council has already decided that boats, trailers, caravans and campervans will not be permitted where a Resident Parking Permit Scheme is operating.

It is very apparent that Council Staff have already decided on important aspects of the Resident Parking Schemes without consultation with the public.

2. In my opinion, the staff attending these Sessions mislead the public in attendance. At both Sessions the question was asked – “How many Permits are given to each business?” The answer given by a NBC Staff Member on both occasions was “3 per property”. Other
staff present did not correct this statement.

- I was later advised by a NBC employee that Go Get (a business) had been given 50 permits.

- A member of the Manly Skiff Club stated that the Skiff Club was given 30 permits for Members.

- Apparently other businesses/organisations have been given multiple permits and permanent parking spots eg a Car Rental Company, Manly Waters Hospital (which has considerable off-street parking), Stella Maris (which recently purchased land that could have been used for parking), Manly Yacht Club, etc

I consider it a serious matter if staff are misleading the public.

3. In my opinion, the staff attending the Thursday Session also mislead the public in attendance on another matter. At this Session a NBC Staff Member gave feedback on the 3 earlier sessions yet failed to mention the 2 key outcomes (A and B below) of the Tuesday Session (where the public queried several times the fact that no notes were being taken by NBC Staff or a recording made).

A. That NBC not approve any DA’s without adequate parking in areas where the Resident Parking Scheme operates eg Victoria St, Manly

B. That the current scheme be maintained with NBC stopping the misuse of Permits (on-line sales of Permits, out-of-area residents parking in Manly, Skiff Club Members using permits on weekdays, Bank Staff using permits on weekends, etc) and restricting businesses/organisations to 3 Permits.

I consider it a serious matter if staff are misleading the public, yourself and Councillors.

Further comments:

- There will be an exorbitant cost to NBC of recording off-street parking for every property in the area. Are staff to sight and measure every off-street car space?

- Equality for all residents i.e. off-street parking should not be a consideration (garages are being used for other purposes, garages may be too small, etc). Is NBC really intending to advise owners as to how they must use areas of their property?

- NBC will be responsible for a loss in property values if residents cannot obtain sufficient Permits for the occupants of a property eg a 3 bedroom property (with a double garage) could not be sold to a family of 3 adults (a couple with an adult child) with 3 cars if this residence does not qualify for a Permit.

- What are current residents to do if they have more cars than can be accommodated off-street? One couple at the Tuesday Session had 4 adult children and 6 cars with only a double garage. Does NBC really expect them to have to move?

- The introduction of a new scheme should not be rushed.

- There would be a considerable cost to NBC in approving Permits for Carers eg family members. How is NBC to determine who is a valid carer?

- Parking for visitors (daily and overnight) and trades people is an issue. My visitor to the area on Sunday afternoon 23 June 2019 (cold, winter day) with a young child could not find parking within one kilometre of my residence due to rental cars being parked in my street and not being used.

- Extending the 2 Hour Parking to a more realistic 3 Hour Parking was requested.

- The building of more Parking Stations was requested.
- Shuttle services to Manly Wharf were requested.
- A member of the public commented that NBC Staff who do not live in the affected areas should not be making decisions affecting these areas.
- Is NBC monitoring the number of rental cars in the area? Has Go Get purchased Permits on-line in addition to those purchased from NBC? If so, what action is NBC taking? If Go Get did not win the tender for car rental in the area, why were they given Permits?
- NBC Staff at the Thursday Session blamed the previous Manly Council for the current parking problems. In my opinion, NBC needs to take responsibility for allowing excessive car rental parking in the area, not taking action on the mis-use of Permits, the on-line sale of Permits and the issuing of more than 3 permits to businesses/organisations.
- Out of area persons misusing Permits should have their Permits confiscated and be heavily fined by Rangers.
- Local residents found to be selling Permits should be fined and unable to purchase future Permits.
- A resident gave the example of a rental car not having moved in 12 months.
- Accurate attendance was not taken at both sessions I attended. The room was crowded and the attendance form was not circulated and only briefly referred to at the commencement of the session when most of the public arrived later. (It was a Drop-in Session.)
- Did NBC Staff use public transport or ride a bicycle to the Drop-In Sessions as they were proposing for our visitors?

Please investigate the above 3 Complaints and advise me of the action that NBC has taken.

In my correspondence to NBC Staff on this matter and my attendance at the Drop-in Sessions, I personally felt that NBC Staff failed to comprehend that they are supposed to be looking after the interests of ratepayers and devising a Scheme that is workable for them.

Disappointingly, I was not aware of any Councillor or yourself being present at the 2 sessions I attended. There was a good attendance from the public at both Sessions.

Regards
The Northern Beaches Council CEO
The Mayor, Northern Beaches Council
Councillor Candy Bingham
Councillor Pat Daley
Councillor Sarah Grattan
The Hon James Griffin

Ladies and Gentlemen,

**Subject: On Street Parking Permits, Manly**

We are writing to you for your support to ensure that any changes, if necessary, to on street parking in Manly is done in a sensible way that cuts out the rorts in the current system but does not penalise residents or make parking impracticable for us.

We attended the information session NBC ran last week to discuss proposed changes to On Street Parking Permits.

We understand and support measures that Council may introduce to stop the current rorting of the system e.g. the selling of permits to non-residents.

Our general concern is however that proposed changes to parking permits may have adverse consequences on resident rate payers like us, who are doing the right thing.
We are retirees. Our cars therefore are not parked at a place of work during the day. We have an off-street garage. Our block of 5 units does not have any visitor parking. If our cars are not in use they are in our garage. There are occasions however when they may have to be on the street.

Generally, we do not use our on-street parking permit more than a couple of times a month, but we do need the facility periodically for ourselves, tradespeople, family and friends.

Earlier this year our garage was out of action for 2 weeks when work was being carried out. We had to park on the street. Without a permit or some other arrangement, it is impracticable to have a car on the street all day in the Little Manly area where there is a 2-hour parking limit. Without a permit of some description the car would have to be moved every 2 hours. That is obviously impracticable for retirees like us. Moving our car every 2 hours would not free up on street parking as the car would still be on the street but would now be shuffled from 1 parking spot to another every 2 hours. This would achieve nothing but inconvenience and bad will.

At the information session at Council, data was presented that showed that there was a significant excess of permits issued in the Little Manly area over street parking available. I don’t know how accurate this data is and Council officials indicated that work needed to be done to verify the data. Getting reliable data would seem to be a logical starting point before meaningful policy can be formulated. During the time our garage was out of action however, we never once had any difficulty finding a parking spot on the street further than a few minutes’ walk back to our unit. Whilst anecdotal, our experience didn’t seem to us to be indicative of a serious problem where we live.

Recently we had painting done in out unit. The painter was here for a week and had to use our permit to park his vehicle. How is he going
to do his job efficiently if he can’t use our permit and has to move his vehicle every 2 hours? Apart from being disruptive to his work, this would increase costs to us, the resident ratepayers. Tradesmen charge on a time basis. We would end up paying for the time taken by the tradesman to go to his vehicle, drive around to find another parking spot and return to recommence his work. If there is not a facility for tradesmen to be able to park how can that be seen as serving the ratepayers?

We recently received a quote for a job at our unit. One of the conditions of the quote was that we would be liable for any parking fines incurred whilst the work was being carried out. We can dispute that of course, however it is essential that accommodation be made for bona fide tradesmen doing their job.

The Council officers last week suggested that there may be provision to apply for a temporary trademan’s permit for the duration of a job. That is all well and good for jobs that are anticipated ahead of time and those jobs that may take a considerable time to complete. However, many jobs do not fall into that category. A tradesman may be called for an emergency, or what is anticipated to be a short job of an hour or two that runs into complications which result in the job taking longer than expected. Again, we need a facility whereby a permit is readily available to allow the tradesman to do their job without the need to go back and forth to their vehicle with the inherent inconvenience and cost in time and effort to the resident.

Of course, we also need the facility for parking on the street for more than 2 hours for visiting friends and family.

It seems that what started off as an initiative to free up on street parking by cutting out the rorts, has morphed into something quite different, and feels to us almost like an attack on residents to take away their rights and increase cost at the same time.
At the information sessions we were advised e.g. that new fees and charges are being considered for any on street parking permits. Currently the first permit is free. It was also suggested by Council officers that any alternative to the permits e.g. a docket system whereby residents or tradesmen may be able to obtain a booklet of temporary parking permits, may be subject to a fee. When the possibility of new fees was questioned, we were advised that this was not a money-making exercise but that the additional fees would go towards the administration of the new parking scheme. This seems disingenuous. NBC administer the current scheme on the fees that are currently charged. If this is not a money-making exercise, by suggesting that higher fees may be introduced, Council officers were therefore implying that a new scheme would be more expensive to run. A criteria for any new scheme should be that it costs no more to administer and therefore no more expensive for residents than the current scheme.

We understand that Council is following the RMS Mandatory Guidelines on parking. We are not sure what a “Mandatory Guideline” is. It is either “Mandatory” or a “Guideline”, but never the twain shall meet. In the absence of clarification, we will assume that the RMS intends their policy to be a guideline i.e. it is flexible, open to interpretation and implementation, not a legal or statutory regulation. If that is the case then by all means use it as a guide, but a guide is all it is. The idea in the guidelines that if you have off street parking means that you never have to use on street parking is simplistic and a disservice to residents for many of the reasons we have cited above.

We would urge NBC to therefore to exercise their collective common sense if they vote to make changes to on street parking – cut out the rorts but don’t make a revised scheme a backward or impracticable step for residents.
We are not against changes to the on-street parking regulations in Manly per se. We support measures that cut out the parking rorts but if on street regulations for residents are to change then there must be facility to accommodate on street parking for all residents, whether they have off street parking or not, for all the reason we have outlined above.

Yours sincerely,
Hi Michelle,
As I travel for work, I was unable to attend the drop-in and chat sessions in relation to the parking review and audit.

With regards to the Isthmus parking area, my suggestions are as follows:

1. As per the existing scheme, the resident can apply for and acquire annual permits from the council.
   This is preferable over providing the permits to the rate payer as the permits should be accessible to the person living in the area.
2. A maximum of two parking permits are issued per dwelling:
   • The first permit to be charged at $110
   • The second permit to be charged at $220

The pricing is designed to be that which a resident would accept (even if somewhat begrudgingly) to have a permit, whilst at the same time being enough to deter on-selling of permits or acquisition of permits to lend to people for short-term use.

Regards,

[Redacted]
Dear Mr Brownlow

Just a few points RE the review of parking permits. We live in Tower Hill.

No matter how many permits you do or don’t give residents, there may or may not be parking. Residents are not in competition with each other, so much, but with visitors, commuters and workers.

The available parking depends on the time of year, day of the week, hour of the day, the weather, and events. Council only has control of events, so limiting events is one way you could free up parking for residents. On rainy days there is plenty of parking available, but you have no control over weather!

As a tourist area, parking is keenly sought after by visitors going to the beaches, harbour, restaurants, pubs etc. The two hour limit works for these purposes, and also frees up more opportunities for residents to park, as there is frequent movement of cars.

Then we have so many ferry commuters who have no where to park all day, so they get permits somehow ...there are distinct patterns of arrival and departures. I’m not sure how you could deal with this without disadvantaging residents by introducing draconian and bureaucratic measures, which would be tedious. As as a rate paying resident it would be nice if we were not considered the enemy.

Also the RMS guidelines are just that - guidelines - and this area is not a normal suburban area. Reducing the number of permits will not necessary solve any of the problems.

So what would help?
1. A good sized parking station, with cheaper rates for commuters, local workers and residents
2. Requiring larger developments to provide public parking as well as occupant parking
3. Fewer Council events - really Manly is so popular already we could better spend some of that money on improving residential roads, pavements, increasing tree plantings, etc.
4. Ensuring residents are not blocked in or out of their off street parking by having good parking lines marked on the road and signs accentuating spots that are suitable for small cars only
5. More publicity re transport options to the ferry
6. Limiting permits to two and only then requiring more information if more permits are needed.

Just one other thing Upper Gilbert St is a popular parking spot for visitors and residents, however the pavements are shocking. It would be safer and better to have these pavements fixed.

Good luck with finding some solutions.

Yours sincerely
Hello Manly Resident Parking Audit,

I acknowledge that my comments are being sent after the defined 2 weeks of community feedback at drop in centres has closed.

Sorry, but this period was too short for me to meet, but as this topic is quite important, I hope my comments will be considered.

I have read the RMS guidelines and viewed the short presentation on the process.

As a resident of Manly and current user of the Ocean Beach area of the present scheme I can see why the review and audit is needed.

But I suggest there may be some aspects that we who have lived with a version of the Residential Parking Permit scheme might have experienced and which might warrant consideration in the next iteration.

I would like to make these points:

1. Sale of the residential parking permits needs to be stopped and the only effective solution appears to be linkages to licence plates as stipulated in the RMS guidelines. Local buy, swap & sell sites trade them daily;
2. The current duration to 10.00pm creates unfortunate risks for residents who have family visiting, dinner guests, weekend visitors - and although not frequent, we have seen rangers out in the evenings and whilst ever the time is formalised it may be enforced and weekend are a nightmare of risk and worry when we have friends and visitors over;
3. I am not sure how we can deal with visitors unless we buy an extra Permit;
4. The suburb is criss-crossed by laneway access at the rear of many properties, as a result of bygone services needs, and many properties have garage or other off street facilities accessible from these laneways. Unfortunately the narrowness of lanes and the need to parking along them creates severe challenges for utilising off street parking and many wider, even “double” garages can only effectively accommodate one vehicle. The risks of damage to on site vehicles or adjacent parked vehicles is too high. There are some existing single garages, in close proximity to our home, which may even be deemed inaccessible. So assessment of the real number of off street parking spots per household, as suggested in the notes/guidelines needs a very practical and pragmatic view, certainly not a “street view” drive by;
5. The RMS guidelines do not appear to have kept abreast of the SEPP provisions for supposedly low income, essential services “boarding houses” where Council has approved multiple dwellings (#11) which even include special “accessible units” with zero on site parking (or drop off areas). See No120 Pittwater Road Manly. The RMS guidelines appear to suggest one permit per living unit.
6. The cost of hire garages, off street parking places have sky rocketed in Manly with few available due to the current demand. People are even hiring out driveways during work hours for off street parking.

There are problems with commuters arriving every day.
I know that we will have to press for 3 permits.

Good luck with the review and audit.
Mr Phillip Devon  
Manager Transport Network Northern Beaches Council  
PO Box 1336 Dee Why NSW 2099  
Dear Phillip,  
Ref. Manly Parking Permit Scheme & Vehicle Access.

VEHICLE ACCESS.

In confirmation of our brief discussion on the issue of Vehicle Access to the Manly Cove Launch Club and the ongoing issue of Resident Parking Permits we wish to provide supporting documentation for the continued access for the essential vehicles to the Launch Club facility and further to that support for the continued issue of essential parking permits for Launch Club Members vehicles.

This community facility has over 100 members, with many other Northern Beaches residents enjoying improved amenity through the access provided to the community when accompanied by members.

The Manly Cove Launch Club has operated with Council and State Government sanction at this location for over 70 years. We have boat maintenance, boat slipping, and dinghy storage in a secure and environmentally sound structure. We operate with a strict observance to regulatory requirements, and a clear direction to all members and associates that vehicle access is strictly for essential movement of heavy parts and replacement equipment and the occasional transport of disabled members and associates.

We have never had a complaint that we know of about on any vehicle entering to bring equipment, building materials or a disabled member and to date we have had the strong support of the previous Manly Council and now the Northern Beaches Council. We are very conscious of the potential damage that can occur to grassed areas and infrastructure should vehicles travel off the established pathway. In fact our members have reported concerns to Council with contracted vehicles working within the reserve area and constructing at the Manly Wharf area.

Members all realise and are constantly reminded of the need to not bring vehicles along the pathway, especially in busy pedestrian periods and we can confidently say that we rarely utilise the pathway. However, vehicle access is essential and we need your ongoing support. Removing vehicle access altogether would destroy this community facility.

Your confirmation of our continued usage would be appreciated.
(2)

RESIDENT PARKING SCHEME PERMITS

We are very much aware of the current review of the Resident Parking Scheme and conscious of the potential impact on our members, should the scheme be amended to completely comply with the RMS Guidelines, which we now understand are regarded as "mandatory guidelines".

We do not appear to fit into any of the stated RMS criteria, but have a real need for parking within the area of the club, especially given that few of our members live immediately local, but more than 90% of members are residents of the Northern Beaches.

The permits issued to our members and associates are strictly controlled with any long period of absence from the club facilities or the area requiring the permit to be passed on to another more worthy user.

We have six members with severely restricted mobility and another six that require a regular permit to provide the technical support at the slipway and with the club equipment. The other permits are used on a regular basis by Northern Beaches residents who live out of Manly, to carry equipment and supplies to their vessels. If some reduction is required we could operate with twenty permits.

The stated oversupply of permits/related to spots available is well known to all members/associates. We see evidence of misuse regularly and out of the area car owners parking in the AM and then leaving at the close of business. It is clear to all Manly Cove Launch Club users that a tighter issue criteria and clear reissue requirements relating to evidence of entitlement or special needs requirements, such as ours, would bring the permit issue concern back into balance.

Please consider these points in your deliberations and maintain an ongoing dialogue before final decisions are made. We assume that there will be no new issue criteria until the currently issued permits have completed their expiry period and residents of the Northern Beaches have had an opportunity to consult on the final proposals.

Regards,

[Redacted]

President Manly Cove Launch Club
ATTENTION: PARKING REVIEW OFFICER

Dear Sir/Madam,

I received a letter from you regarding Manly Parking Permit Scheme- Review and Audit. Your reference : 2019/280306.

Unfortunately I was unable to attend any of the Drop-in and chat sessions.

I wanted to bring to your attention my situation as an owner/occupier in the tower Hill area in Manly.

I am an owner/occupier in the Tower Hill area. I am currently eligible for 1 free parking permit and must pay for any additional permits. I currently have 1 free parking permit for this area i.e 2019/20.

I have a garage with space for 1 car only. I have 1 private vehicle which is kept in this garage and is rarely driven. I also have a work vehicle which I park in the the street in the Tower Hill area for which I use a current free parking permit. I also have a partner who owns their own vehicle and parks in the Tower Hill area.

In the near future I will be renovating my residence and will need to store my furniture and belongings in my garage for an extended period. I will have to also park my private vehicle in the street in the Tower Hill area.

As you can see it is vital that I am eligible for at least at least 1 parking permit in the Tower Hill area.

I am concerned about my eligibility for free and additional parking permits in the Tower Hill area in the future after being notified of the Manly Parking Permit Scheme- Review and Audit.

Thank you kind regards.

Yours sincerely

[Name Redacted]

mobile: [Number Redacted]
The Northern Beaches Council CEO/General Manager,  
The Mayor and Deputy Mayor and Northern Beaches Councillors,  
The Hon Mr James Griffin.

Ladies and gentlemen

Thank you to those Councillors who read and responded to my long letter of 23 June, 2019.

Your responses and my discussions with other concerned Manly residents have led me to write again, with the emphasis being on what I and others believe Council could and should do now regarding the Manly Parking Scheme.

Given that the current Manly Parking Scheme essentially meets the RMS guidelines, and reiterating comments made by NBC representatives at the drop-in meetings, there is no need to rush this important project, and for reasons mentioned below, it should not be rushed.

The critical exercise at the moment is the audit of car park spaces and their usage patterns. If this is not done well it will be a case of "garbage in, garbage out". Any decisions and plans based on a poor audit will be poor in themselves.

A proper audit has to be conducted with statistical strength and it should reflect a full 12 month picture. It is obvious to all of us that usage patterns in Manly have two variables:

1) weekends versus weekdays  
2) the seasons.

Only a 12 month audit will produce the correct picture of what is actually going on. Anything less than a 12 month audit will produce the very garbage of which I am fearful.

The 12 month audit process gives Council breathing space to look at the other major issue: the poor supervision of the granting of parking permits.

Council officers acknowledge that this is and has been a systemic problem since the 1990s. The broader issues of the nature of future permits are addressed in my original letter, but what Council can do now and over the next 12 months is:

1) properly scrutinise all current permits (as they come up for renewal)  
2) properly apply the current rules to any new applications for parking permits.
All permit renewals and applications for new permits should be fully screened based on the current rules. At the conclusion of this process Council will know exactly how many permits are out there, what categories they belong to, and whether or not there really is a parking space problem (as opposed to a perceived problem created by the issuing of too many permits for too many years).

Once this information is on the table, Council can give further and informed consideration to all of the other issues which have been raised at the drop-in meetings: permits for visitors, permits for carers, permits for tradesmen, weekend permits for sporting groups, weekday permits for workers, appropriate numbers of permits for dwellings such as boarding houses, et cetera.

I do hope I have made the major points clear: the audit needs to be done properly and Council needs to scrutinise all new and renewal permit applications, enforcing a strict application of its current rules.

Thank you again for considering these issues.

Yours faithfully,

[Redacted]

Manly 2095
Good afternoon Michelle

I apologise for this email coming after the closing date for comments but I have been away since the beginning of June and just returned.

One comment I would like to put forward is a possible addition to parking availability.

I realise that parking across a driveway (not on the nature strip or blocking the footpath but parallel to the "gutter" is not permitted. If all councils were to issue a large official permit to single dwelling houses (or duplexes but not commercial properties or apartments) that could be displayed on the dash of those visitors to those properties while they are visiting it would accommodate more vehicles in the area therefore offering the opportunity for those who are not "visiting" owners to find an additional parking spot available. These dashboard signs would be issued by the council to the home owner and they would then have to personally give them to their visitor and retrieve at the completion of the visit. This guarantees that the person who has parked across the driveway has the permission of the owner of that property.

There are many dwellings in the council area that this would pertain to and therefore allow more people who are visiting the region to be able to find a parking spot.

Thank you for the opportunity to put this idea forward and again I apologise for the late arrival of the email but hope it will be considered.

Kind regards

[Redacted]
The plan emphasis feedback is positive. A new parking option that moves through the green area.
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The plan emphasis feedback is positive. A new parking option that moves through the green area.
Good afternoon,
I agree with [redacted]
Reduce the 2 hr restriction to 8pm

Regards,
Hello,

I realise comments have closed on the parking scheme review, however as I only just found out about this I felt compelled to send my thoughts anyway, and hope they can be included.

I live in a large share house in the Fairy Bower precinct, with 5 people who live there. We have one off street car space and 3 parking permits are all essential for those who live here to be able to park their cars. Fortunately I don’t own a car and just have a motorbike, which can also fit in the off street park, however if the rules changed and only 2 on street parks were available we would need to only be able to have tenants stay who didn’t own cars.

I wonder if the permit scheme can consider the number of adults who live at a given property. I realise it’s a complex problem to solve, however thought I’d raise this given there are a number of share houses in Manly that will face a similar problem.

Thanks for your consideration.

Regards,
### RMS Parking Parking Permit Fees and Charges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Draft fees and charges</th>
<th>Residential Parking Scheme Permit Type</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Pensioner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st permit</td>
<td>$47.00</td>
<td>$23.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd permit</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Existing 3rd permit holders eligible only) 3rd permit</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>$105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement permit - eg new vehicle, windscreen</td>
<td>$47.00</td>
<td>$23.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replacement permit - lost</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tradesperson Parking Permit</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visitor Parking Permits - pack of five</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visitor Parking Permits - pack of ten</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Support Worker Permit</td>
<td>$47.00</td>
<td>$23.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carers Permit</td>
<td>$47.00</td>
<td>$23.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car share permit - per vehicle</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business Parking Permit</td>
<td>$220.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postage for mailing of permits</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>