

NOTE: The Mayor, Councillor Regan thanked the outgoing Deputy Mayor, Councillor Heins for her contributions over the last 12 months and welcomed the new Deputy Mayor, Councillor Menano-Pires.

8.3 DEE WHY TOWN CENTRE PLANNING PROPOSAL

NOTE: With the permission of the mover and seconder the addition of Point D to the motion was accepted

Cr Regan / Cr Menano-Pires

That Council:

- A. Forward the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment seeking gateway Determination
- B. Upon Gateway Determination publicly exhibit the Planning Proposal and the draft amendments to the Warringah Development Control Plan concurrently
- C. Adopt the findings from the Dee Why Town Centre Traffic Model Update (March 2014) by GHD Australia.
- D. Note the submission made by Mr Robert Player.

AMENDMENT

Cr De Luca / Cr Giltinan

That Council:

- A. Forward the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment seeking a gateway Determination with the exception of any increase in height particularly in view of the rejection of the Cobalt Development at 701 Pittwater Road Dee Why by Warringah Council's WDAP and the JRPP for: "The principal reason is the variation of permissible height from 24m to 51m is excessive".
- B. Upon Gateway Determination publicly exhibit the Planning Proposal and the draft amendments to the Warringah Development Control Plan concurrently
- C. Adopt the findings from the Dee Why Town Centre Traffic Model Update (March 2014) by GHD Australia.

Councillor Harrison left the chamber at 6:27pm

Councillor Harrison returned to the chamber at 6:30pm

VOTING

For the amendment: Crs De Luca and Giltinan

Against the amendment: Crs Gobert, Harrison, Heins, Menano-Pires, Moskal and Regan

LOST

577/14 RESOLVED

Cr Regan / Cr Menano-Pires

That Council:

- A. Forward the Planning Proposal to the Department of Planning and Environment seeking gateway Determination
- B. Upon Gateway Determination publicly exhibit the Planning Proposal and the draft amendments to the Warringah Development Control Plan concurrently
- C. Adopt the findings from the Dee Why Town Centre Traffic Model Update (March 2014) by GHD Australia.
- D. Note the submission made by Mr Robert Player.

VOTING

For the resolution: Crs Gobert, Harrison, Heins, Menano-Pires, Moskal and Regan

Against the resolution: Crs De Luca and Giltinan

CARRIED

8.6 FEASIBILITY OF STREET TREES ALONG CONDAMINE STREET, MANLY VALE

NOTE: With the permission of the mover and seconder the addition of Point C to the motion was accepted

578/14 RESOLVED

Cr Heins / Cr Regan

That Council:

- A. Write to NSW Roads and Maritime Services noting their response and requesting that further consideration be given to suitable landscaping alternatives that are considered viable for this area.
- B. Write to the Hon. Brad Hazzard, Member for Wakehurst and the Hon. Mike Baird, Member for Manly seeking their support for improved landscaping along Condamine Street, Manly Vale.
- C. Retain the allocation of \$30,000 for this project.

VOTING

For the resolution: Crs De Luca, Giltinan, Gobert, Harrison, Heins, Menano-Pires, Moskal and Regan

Against the resolution: Nil

CARRIED

THAT COUNCIL ALSO NOTES the amendments proposed by Mr. Player of DFP Planning Consultants and requests that these be taken into account in the drafting of the amendments to the Warringah LEP and DCP for the Dee Why Town Centre Planning Proposal.

The objectives of these proposed further amendments are:

- A. to clarify the intended operation across the Dee Why Town Centre of certain provisions of the Draft amendments Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011, and
- B. to ensure that the draft amendments reflect the existing development proposals for Key Sites A, B and F.

The proposed further amendments are:

- 1. that the 3 metre maximum height allowance for roof top plant including lift overruns and roof access as referred to in clause 7.10 is in addition to the building heights shown on the Building Height Map;
- 2. that car parking is deemed to be below ground if it is lower than the existing ground level at the highest point of the site;
- 3. (a) that Key Site F is assigned a 4.4:1 maximum FSR on the Floor Space Ratio Map;

(b) that floor space to be dedicated to Council for public and/or community purposes be excluded from the calculation of FSR; and

(c) that the limitation that any variation in FSR for Key Site F be "minor" is deleted from clause 7.16; and
- 4. that the requirement for tower elements to be set back from the podium edge does not apply to Key Sites A, B and F.