

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

Minister for Planning Expert Witness Recommendations							
No.	Subject Matter	Exhibited control/clause	Review Panel Consolidated Day 1 Version Planning Controls	Recommendation	Expert	DELWP officer recommendation	Minister's Position
1	Commercial floor area	Melbourne Local Policy Port Phillip Local Policy	LPP-3 p1 - LPP-3 p8	Improve the potential effectiveness of the current policy provision requiring minimum commercial floor area (Clause 22.15.3) by improving the current policy wording and revising the considerations given to the assessment of the minimum commercial floor area provision (see Appendix A - markup of local policy) (Recommendation 1, pages 21 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree. Addressed as part of Part A Submission.	Under consideration
2	Commercial floor area	N/A	N/A	Monitor the provision of commercial floor area and, if required, convert the current policy to a development control (Recommendation 2, pages 21 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree in principle. The delivery of commercial floor space in the area will be monitored and the planning scheme controls reviewed as necessary. It is neither possible nor appropriate to commit to a particular outcome of such a review before it occurs.	Under consideration
3	Commercial floor area	N/A	N/A	Update the Urban Design Strategy to remove commercial floor area as a potential FAU and adopt the proposed approach in the Amendment which allows additional commercial floor area above the base FAR if it is not used for a dwelling (Recommendation 3, pages 21 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree	Under consideration
4	Core Areas	Port Phillip CCZ	CCZ Map1	Expand the boundary of the core area in Montague (Recommendation 4, pages 22 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration	Under consideration
5	FAR	Melbourne CCZ Port Phillip CCZ	CCZ 4.0p2	Revise the FAR settings to increase maximum FARs in the Montague core and non-core areas and decrease maximum FARs in the Sandridge core (Recommendation 7, pages 35 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration
6	Dwelling Density	Melbourne Local Policy Port Phillip Local Policy	LPP-3 p9	Remove dwelling density targets from the local policy (Recommendation 8, pages 35 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree	Under consideration
7	Dwelling Mix	Melbourne Local Policy Port Phillip Local Policy	LPP-3 p18	Policy target for minimum 3 bedroom mix however apply threshold target at 100 dwelling developments, not 300, as per the Urban Design Strategy recommendation (Recommendation 9, pages 35 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree	Under consideration

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

8	Building height	Port Phillip DDO	DDO Map 2	Increase building heights on 123 Montague Street from 12 storeys to 18 storeys to ensure overall alignment with the FAR and requirements for delivery of new public open space and new street (Recommendation 10, pages 37 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree	Under consideration
9	Building height	Port Phillip DDO	DDO Map 2	Increase the 4 storey discretionary height control within Wirraway precinct to a 6 storey discretionary height control (Recommendation 11, pages 38 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration	Under consideration
10	Building height	Port Phillip DDO	DDO Map 2	Increase the extent of mandatory 4 storey control in the block bounded by Gladstone Lane, Boundary Street and Gladstone Street to the eastern property boundary of 190 Gladstone Street (Recommendation 12, pages 38 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree	Under consideration
11	Building height	Port Phillip DDO	DDO Map 2	Nominate a dimension for the extent of 4 storey mandatory height limit in the Amendment to provide certainty on the area that this applies to (no change to the extent is required other than outlined in Recommendation 12) (Recommendation 13, pages 38 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree that this can be shown more clearly	Under consideration
12	Street wall height	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 2.0p31	Revise current maximum street wall heights on laneways and streets 12m or less from a mandatory maximum of 15.4 metres to a preferred maximum of 15.4 metres and a mandatory maximum of 23 storeys (Recommendation 14, pages 42 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

13	Overshadowing	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 2.0p86	Revise the current overshadowing controls for Montague Neighbourhood Parks from 'no additional overshadowing' to 'no additional overshadowing above the street wall' (Recommendation 16, pages 44 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree	Under consideration
14	Overshadowing	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	N/A	Update the Urban Design Strategy Figure 31 to align with the Amendment to provide clarity on the locations where a no additional overshadowing control above the street wall height applies (Recommendation 17, pages 44 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree	Under consideration
15	Building height	Port Phillip DDO	DDO Map 2	Revise the height limit on 11 Montague Street from 24 storeys to 12 storeys (Recommendation 18, pages 44 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration. - Before making this change the extent of the additional overshadowing should be mapped and considered.	Under consideration
16	Building height	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO Map 2	Introduce a preferred building height of 15.4 to 23 metres in locations where a development site immediately fronts a new or existing park (Recommendation 20, pages 45 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration
17	FAR and Building Height	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO Melbourne CCZ Port Phillip CCZ	N/A	Provide clear dimensions within the Amendment plans to demarcate the boundary of two height limits or two FARs within a single site (Recommendation 22, pages 47 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration
18	Street wall height	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 2.0p35	Stipulate in the DDOs within the City of Melbourne and the City of Port Phillip that where two different street wall heights intersect on corner sites the higher street wall height applies and that this should not extend more than 30 metres along the narrower street/laneway frontage (Recommendation 24, pages 51 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree. This has been partially changed in the Part A submission	Under consideration
19	Laneways	N/A	N/A	Update the Urban Design Strategy to include the principles for establishing new laneway locations and remove proposed laneway locations (Recommendation 25, pages 51 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration
20	Laneways	Melbourne Local Policy Port Phillip Local Policy	LPP-3 p92	Update the local policy to change the current guidance on the location of laneways within core areas from 50 metres to approximately 50-70 metres apart in one direction (Recommendation 26, pages 51 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

21	FAU	Melbourne CCZ Port Phillip CCZ	Currently in Fact Sheet.	Provide greater clarity on the method of applying the FAU for the provision of additional open space (above those designated in the draft Framework) and community infrastructure (Recommendation 27, pages 53 and 54)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration
22	Drafting	Melbourne Local Policy Port Phillip Local Policy		Appendix C - Proposed Track changes to Local Policy (pages 76 to 105)	Leanne Hodyl	See responses to individual recommended changes below	Under consideration
			LPP-3p3	Changes to Providing for employment floor area (pages 77 and 78)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree in principle to strengthening the policy. Under consideration.	Under consideration
			LPP-3 p9 - LPP-3 p14	Delete all Dwelling Density Policy (page 78)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree in principle.	Under consideration
			LPP-3 p15 - LPP-3 p25	Changes to community and diversity (pages 79 and 80)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree with inclusion of first bullet point. Do not agree with proposed second bullet point as duplicate with CCZ.	Under consideration
			LPP.3 p90 - LPP-3 p103	Changes to new streets, laneways and pedestrian connections (page 83)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree with all proposed changes except only a single metric should be used not an average (50-70)	Under consideration
			LPP 4 p3	Change to Family friendly definition (page 85)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree to proposed word change.	Under consideration
23	Drafting	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 1.0p2 - DDO 1.0p4	Changes to Design objectives (pages 86)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree to the wording changes.	Under consideration
			DDO2.0p23	Changes to Building height (pages 87)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree to proposed changes	Under consideration
			DDO 2.0p31 - DDO2.0p38	Changes to Street wall height (pages 87)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration
			DDO 2.0p44 - DDO 2.0p54	Changes to Setbacks above the street wall (pages 87)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree to most changes except 'must' to 'should'. Further drafting changes are required to improve clarity of the requirement.	Under consideration
			DDO 2.0p57 - DDO2.0p62	Changes to Side and rear setbacks (page 87)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree except for the insertion of the word 'should'.	Under consideration
			DDO 2.0p64 - DDOp.84	Changes to Building separation (Pages 90 to 93)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree to all changes.	Under consideration
			Table 1	Changes to Table 1: Public open space hierarchy and overshadowing requirements (page 94)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree with all proposed changes.	Under consideration
			DDO 2.0p100	Changes to site coverage (page 95)	Leanne Hodyl	Under consideration.	Under consideration

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

			DDO 2.0p105 - DDO 2.0p119	Changes to Active street frontage (pages 95 to 97)	Leanne Hodyl	Agree to most changes except last three bullet points. Further consideration required for "1-1.5m high differences..." and frontage width of 4 metres.	Under consideration
24	FAU	Melbourne CCZ Port Phillip CCZ	N/A	Incorporate the How to Calculate Floor Area Uplifts and Public Benefits in Fisherman's Bend 2018 document into the planning schemes (paragraph 132, page 31)	John Glossop	Under consideration.	Under consideration
25	Development Plan Overlay	Port Phillip DPO	N/A	Remove Development Plan Overlays (paragraphs 85 to 93, pages 23 to 25).	John Glossop	Under consideration.	Under consideration
26	Drafting	Melbourne CCZ Port Phillip CCZ	CCZ Objs p5	Amend the last purpose of the CCZ to read '[t]o require a public benefit to be delivered in return for additional floorspace'. (paragraph 47, page 14)	John Glossop	Agree to wording change.	Under consideration
27	Drafting	Melbourne CCZ Port Phillip CCZ	CCZ 4.0p1	Clarify what is meant by 'provision' of roads, laneways and public open space in CCZ (paragraph 51, page 15)	John Glossop	Subject to further advice	Subject to further advice
28(a)	Drafting	Melbourne CCZ Port Phillip CCZ	CCZ Maps	Include the precinct plans from draft Framework in the relevant CCZ schedule either in place of or in addition to Maps 1, 2 and 3 or be in addition to them (paragraphs 55 and 56, page 16)	John Glossop	Under consideration.	Under consideration
28(b)	Drafting	Melbourne CCZ Port Phillip CCZ	CCZ Maps	Add a further decision guideline to clauses 2.0 (Land use), 3.0 (Subdivision) and 4.0 (Buildings and works) of the CCZ requiring that a permit must be generally in accordance with the precinct plans. (paragraph 56, page 16)	John Glossop	Under consideration.	Under consideration
29	Drafting	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	N/A	Ensure all mandatory controls are sufficiently strategically justified. (paragraph 62 at first dot point, page 17)	John Glossop	Agree. The framework is silent on these matters being mandatory however the framework does not state that they should be discretionary. This is a drafting error in the draft framework.	Under consideration

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

30	Street wall height	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 2.0p27 - DDO 2.0p39	Address inconsistencies between mandatory street wall heights as stated in the DDO and as stated in the draft Framework (paragraph 62 at second dot point, page 17)	John Glossop	Agree. The draft framework is incorrect.	Under consideration
31	Setbacks above the street wall	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 2.0p47	Clarify which requirements in the built form controls are discretionary and which are mandatory. (paragraph 62 at third dot point, page 18)	John Glossop	Disagree. The wording is clear about what is mandatory versus discretionary.	Under consideration
32	Setbacks	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 2.0p56 - DDO 2.0p62	Clarify side and rear setback requirements, particularly for buildings that are between 23-30 metres in height in particular. (paragraph 62 at fourth dot point, page 18)	John Glossop	Disagree. The Part A revised wording clarifies the setback is 9 metres (if the building does not have a podium).	Under consideration
33	Building separation	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	N/A	Include building separation diagrams in DDO to graphically demonstrate how building separation and setbacks are to be achieved. (paragraph 62 at fifth dot point, page 18)	John Glossop	Agree. Currently being prepared.	Under consideration
34	Overshadowing	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 2.0p86	The overshadowing requirements should be clarified to provide guidance on what constitutes minor works or minor changes and what a 'defined space' is. (paragraph 62 at sixth dot point, page 18)	John Glossop	Agree. This wording can be deleted.	Under consideration
35	Site coverage	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO 2.0p100	Site coverage requirements' should be expanded to identify that the built form requirements in this section also relate to the provision of communal open space. (paragraph 62 at seventh dot point, page 18)	John Glossop	Agree	Under consideration
36	Drafting	Melbourne DDO Port Phillip DDO	DDO Maps	Clarify the extent of the mandatory 15.4 metres height limit on lots west of JL Murphy Reserve that front Williamstown Road. This can be resolved by using a dimension clarifying the extent of the height control. (paragraph 62 at eighth dot point, page 18)	John Glossop	Agree.	Under consideration
37	Drafting	N/A	N/A	Only the Vision and Framework should be included as reference documents (paragrph 127, page 31)	John Glossop	Agree.	Under consideration

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

38	Car parking passing area	Melbourne PO Port Phillip PO	N/A		The Parking Overlay states at dot point 6 under requirements for accessways: "Provide a passing area at the entrance at least 6.1 metres wide and 7 metres long if the accessway serves ten or more car parking spaces and is either more than 50 metres long or connects to a road in a Road Zone." A 6.1m passing area is consistent with the relevant Australian Standard for a two-way width accessway. The draft control should be updated to retain consistency with the balance of the City of Melbourne Planning Scheme and be amended from 6 metres to 6.1 metres (section 10.2.1, page 94)	John Kiriakidis	Agree	Under consideration
39	Reference doc	N/A	N/A		Amended clause 9.0 of the Parking Overlay to refer to the <i>Fishermans Bend Integrated Transport Plans</i> simplifier. (section 10.2.2, page 94)	John Kiriakidis	Under consideration.	Under consideration
40	Parking	MSS	N/A		Amend clause 21.03-2 to state "Require all new use and development to be self-sufficient in on-site car parking <u>unless otherwise permitted in any car parking overlay</u> " (section 10.3.1, page 95) (amendment underlined).	John Kiriakidis	Agree	Under consideration
41	Adaptable building - car parks	MSS	N/A		Amend Clause 21.04-7, Objective and Strategies, "Ensure that within the Fisherman's Bend Urban Renewal Area car parking spaces are retained in a single ownership to enable future adaptation" to allow flexibility where warranted. (section 10.3.2, page 95)	John Kiriakidis	Under consideration	Under consideration
42	Public Open space	N/A	N/A		Adjust the distribution and quantum of open space to facilitate achievement of the objective of providing open space within safe and easy walking distance of all residents and workers for 2050 (section B2.2.4, page 11)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration.	
43	Public Open space	N/A	N/A		Ensure that future planning provides for a future Capital City open space that can potentially hold major events, along with a District (sporting) in the Employment Precinct (section B2.2.5, page 12)	Joanna Thompson	Agree	The upgrade of JL Murphy and North Port Oval as District open spaces will provide future event space. Also, a new District open space at the Prohasky Parklands, in addition to future open spaces within the Employment Precinct can also fill this role.
44	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A		Consider incorporating water quality treatment systems including wetlands that will also improve biodiversity and assist to mitigate urban heat island effect into the encumbered open space under the transmission lines . (section B2.2.7, page 13)	Joanna Thompson	Agree.	Water in the landscape will be further investigated through the Precinct Planning process.
45	Public Open space	N/A	N/A		Prioritise upgrades to the two existing District open spaces to cater to the new population in the short term in all precincts, particularly for the Montague Precinct (section 3.1, page 17)	Joanna Thompson	Agree.	The upgrade of JL Murphy and North Port Oval as District open spaces will provide additional access and use for the community.

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

46	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Prioritise investigation of the potential to open up North Port Oval to community use at times when the Port Melbourne Football Club and Cricket Club is not utilising the grounds and facilities. (section 3.1, page 18)	Joanna Thompson	Agree. The upgrade and redesign of North Port Oval as District open space will provide additional access and uses for the community.
47	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Consider the provision of additional Pocket open spaces in the form of civic and urban plazas as part of the detailed precinct planning phase. (section 3.1, page 18)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
48	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	Proposed linear open space should be managed and integrated as part of the streetscape and public realm, rather than being treated as open space. (section 3.1, page 19)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
49	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	Update the draft Fishermans Bend Framework to include a target of providing open space within safe and easy 200m walking distance of all homes <u>and workplaces</u> (section B3.3, page 27)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
50	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Update the draft Fisherman's Bend Framework to include a reference to safe and easy walking distance/catchments (section 3.3, page 27)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
51	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	Seek opportunities to increase the size and minimise the overshadowing to all the open space reserves in Montague Precinct wherever possible during the implementation phase of the project, particularly for the new open spaces added to the network in sub-precincts M3 and M5 (section B3.4, page 28)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
52	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Seek opportunities to open up the Lorimer Central open space to the north adjacent including direct access to the Yarra River and provision of long vistas and views (section B3.4, page 28)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
53	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Seek opportunities to increase the size of Johnston St Park in subprecinct M2 through negotiations with the adjoining land holders as sites redevelop (section B 4.1.1, page 30)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
54	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Propose an additional Neighbourhood open space to the north east area in sub-precinct M3 to ensure future residents and workers have access to open space within safe and easy walking distance. Future implementation of this open space should consider options to maximise sunlight access (section B 4.1.1, page 31)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
55	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	In the short to medium term, in sub-precinct M4, provide safe and clear pedestrian access to Montague Park given the future Neighbourhood open space is dependent on the relocation of the tram depot (section B 4.1.1, page 31)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
56	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Provide an additional Neighbourhood open space in the south west area of sub-precinct M5 to ensure that future residents and workers are within safe 200 metre walking distance to open space (section B 4.1.1, page 31)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
57	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Recommend that the proposed Neighbourhood open space on Gladstone Street in sub-precinct M6 is replaced with a new Neighbourhood open space on Thistlethwaite Street, opposite the proposed Neighbourhood open space to the north (section B 4.1.1, page 32)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
58	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct M7, include a new laneway access between the proposed Neighbourhood open space on Whiteman Street and Cecil Street to provide pedestrian connectivity through to the open space and the Light Rail stop beyond. (section B 4.1.1, page 32)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
59	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct S2, reconfigure proposed linear park into a larger Neighbourhood open space (8,594 sqm) so that facilities such as multi use courts can be provided in it and to allow for a diversity of uses and adaptability in the future (section B 4.2.1, page 34)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
60	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	The proposed linear link, in sub-precinct S2, between Bertie and Ingles Streets should be included into the road reserve and designed as a streetscape with the required pedestrian and vehicle connectivity and large canopy trees(section B 4.2.1, page 34)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
61	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	Remove the Gittus Street road closure and replace it with a Neighbourhood open space on the corner of Fennel and Boundary Streets to increase the diversity of open space in sub-precinct S3 to address the open space gap area in the north west (S3 in section B 4.2.1, page 35)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling

DRAFT AMENDMENT GC81 TO THE
MELBOURNE AND PORT PHILLIP PLANNING SCHEMES

62	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct S3, remove White Street road closure and expand the proposed Neighbourhood open space on the corner of the former Council Depot land (east of Boundary Street) and extend this south towards White Street. (section B 4.2.1, page 35)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
63	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct S4, consolidate the two open spaces north and south of Plummer Street to form a larger Neighbourhood open space that is just over 1 hectare in size (section B 4.2.1, page 35)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
64	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct S5, Relocate one of the three Neighbourhood open spaces north of Fennel Street so that it functions as a local open space instead of a station entry point, to provide diversity of character and purpose (section B 4.2.1, page 36)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
65	Public Open space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct W2, establish a new Neighbourhood open space central to sub-precinct W2 directly adjoining the encumbered open space along the transmission easement to address the open space gap area in the north of west area of Wirraway - both in this sub-precinct and also the western extent of sub-precinct W3. (section B 4.3.1, page 38)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
66	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct W4, enlarge the proposed Neighbourhood open space to incorporate the existing trees and provide space for facilities in the shade of the proposed trees (section B 4.3.1, page 39)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
67	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct W6, relocate and enlarge the proposed Neighbourhood open space on Plummer Street directly opposite JL Murphy Reserve northwards to protect and create a new open space around the single large mature tree (section B 4.3.1, page 40)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
68	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct L1, combine and enlarge the two Neighbourhood open spaces north of Turner Street to increase the opportunity to incorporate a variety of facilities in them to provide a more flexible and adaptable open space (section B 4.4.1, page 42)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
69	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct L2, realign the Neighbourhood open space between Hartley and Boundary Streets so there is access and views to the north including towards the Yarra River (section B 4.4.1, page 42)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
70	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	In sub-precinct L2, seek to retain the long term opportunity to connect the open space north to Yarra River, rather than building out the northern extent of this precinct (section B 4.4.1, page 42)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
71	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Consideration of future directions for the Melbourne International Karting Complex land should be integrated with and considered as part of the broader open space and recreational needs of Fisherman's Bend as a whole (Section C, 10.6, page 45)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration, subject to further modelling
72	Public Open Space	N/A	N/A	Negotiate access to Melbourne Grammar ovals (Section C, 27.2, page 47)	Joanna Thompson	Under consideration,