

EXPLANATORY TABLE OUTLINING CHANGES TO DRAFT PARKING OVERLAY

SUBMITTED BY THE MINISTER ON 14 MAY 2018

| Change number | Paragraph number or identify if a new paragraph | Amendment proposed | Purpose of the change |
|----------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | [PO 1.0p1a] NEW | Insertion of new parking objective | To respond to various expert witnesses which have requested that the revisions be simplified and structured in a similar way to existing Schedule to the Parking Overlay. |
| 2. | [PO 1.0 p1] | Revision to parking objective | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12] and include explicit reference to the Fishermans Bend Framework which sets out targets for modal shifts. |
| 3. | [PO 1.0 p3] | Revision to parking objective | Clarification. |
| 4. | [PO 1.0 p3a] | Insertion of new parking objective | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12] and ensure the provision of alternative parking is achieved where appropriate. |
| 5. | [PO 1.0 p4] | Deletion of parking objective | To reflect changes to the Schedule to the Design and Development which now addresses built form requirements and outcomes associated with car parking areas to this detail. |

| | | | |
|-----|------------------------|--|---|
| 6. | [PO 2.0 p1] | Deletion of permit requirement. | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12] and various expert witnesses. |
| 7. | [PO 2.0 p2] | Deletion of permit requirement. | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12] and various expert witnesses. |
| 8. | [PO 2.0p3and 4] NEW | New permit requirement. | To respond to comments received from various expert witnesses that noted that the permit requirement should be clarified and simplified. |
| 9. | [PO 3.0 p1] | Minor revision to introductory statement. | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 10. | Table 1 | Minor revision to Table 1. | Administrative. |
| 11. | [PO 3.0 p2] | Minor revision to reference to Column B rates in Clause 52.06. | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12] and recommendation of Ms Charmaine Dunstan [refer Tabled Document 165d]. |
| 12. | [PO4.01a] NEW | Insertion of the following application requirement: <i>'An application to provide more than the maximum parking provision specified in Table 1 of this schedule must be accompanied by a statement which demonstrates how any additional parking will be transitioned to other uses over time'.</i> | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 13. | [PO 4.0 p1] | Minor revision to introductory statement in 'Decision Guidelines'. | To ensure consistency with Clause 45.09 and requirements of Clause 52.06. This change is also requested in the submission of City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------|---|---|
| 14. | [PO 4.0p2a] NEW | Insertion of the following decision guideline: <i>'Whether the objectives of this schedule have been meet'.</i> | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 15. | [PO 4.0p2b] NEW | Insertion of the following decision guideline: <i>'The availability of public transport in the locality and the timing of future improvements to the network'.</i> | To respond to recommendations of Ms Charmaine Dunstan [refer Tabled Document 165d], Mr Jason Walsh [refer Tabled Document 194] and submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12] which notes that the availability of public transport and the timing of the delivery of future improvements to the network should be a relevant factor in determining permits to provide more than the maximum parking provision specified in Table 1. |
| 16. | [PO 4.0p2c] NEW | Insertion of the following decision guideline: <i>'The number of dwellings proposed, including the proportion of dwellings which contain 3 or more bedrooms'.</i> | To respond to the recommendations of Ms Charmaine Dunstan [refer Document 165d] and submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12] which notes that the proportion of dwellings should be a relevant factor in determining permits to provide more than the maximum parking provision specified in Table 1, particularly in Wirraway where a higher proportion of three-bedroom dwellings is encouraged. |
| 17. | [PO 4.0 p2] | Deletion of the following decision guideline: <i>'Any effect on the designated principal freight routes within or immediately adjacent to Fishermans Bend'.</i> | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 18. | [PO 4.0p3a] NEW | Insertion of the following decision guideline: <i>'If any parking is proposed to be provided off-site, the recipient site should be located within convenient walking distance (200 metres) of the subject site, and should be as part of a precinct</i> | This has been relocated from "Design standards for car parking". See deleted [PO 7.0p3]. |

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|
| | | <i>parking facility.'</i> | |
| 19. | [PO 4.0 p3] | Minor revision to decision guideline. | Administrative. |
| 20. | [PO 4.0 p4] | Revision to the decision guideline. | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 21. | [PO 4.0p5a] NEW | Insertion of the following decision guideline: <i>'The proportion of car share, motorcycle and bicycle parking proposed'.</i> | To ensure the provision of alternative parking is a relevant consideration as per the parking objectives to be achieved and draft Fishermans Bend Framework. |
| 22. | [PO 4.0 p6] | Deletion of the following decision guideline: <i>'Whether the proposal includes alternative parking requirements required under Clause 6 of this schedule'.</i> | No longer required. |
| 23. | [PO 4.0 p7] | Deletion of the following decision guideline: <i>'The suitability of car parking plan as set out in Clause 6.0 of this schedule which forms part of a car parking plan approved in accordance with Clause 52.06-8'.</i> | No longer required. |
| 24. | [PO 4.0 p8] | Minor revision to decision guideline. | Administrative. |

| | | | |
|-----|--------------------|---|--|
| 25. | [PO 6.0p2a] NEW | Insertion of new requirement to be shown on a car parking plan: <i>'Any spaces allocated to car share parking, motorcycle parking and bicycle parking'</i> . | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 26. | [PO 6.0 p2] | Deletion of car parking space requirement. | The Department notes that the Parking Overlay has limited statutory ability to require 'alternative parking' such as bicycle, motorcycle or car share spaces to be provided or allocated. The Department would welcome the Review Panel's thoughts on the appropriateness of the proposed changes and the suggestion that these requirements be incorporated into the Schedule to the Capital City Zone. |
| 27. | [PO 6.0 p3] | Deletion of motorcycle space requirement. | |
| 28. | [PO 6.0 p4] | Deletion of bicycle space requirement. | |
| 29. | [PO 6.0 p5] | Deletion of bicycle space requirement. | |
| 30. | [PO 6.0 p6] | Deletion of bicycle space requirement. | |
| 31. | [PO 7.0 p2] | Deletion of the following design standard: <i>'Car parking areas should be provided within a building, fronted with active uses and not visible from the street, with a floor to floor height of not less than 3.8 meters'</i> . | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. To reflect changes to the Schedule to the Design and Development which now addresses built form requirements and outcomes associated with car parking areas. |
| 32. | [PO 7.0 p3] | Moved the following design standard to Clause 4.0: <i>'If any parking is proposed to be off-site, the recipient site should be located within convenient walking distance (200 meters) of the subject site, and should be part of a precinct parking facility'</i> | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. This is a relevant consideration for determining permits to provide some of the parking provision offsite, not relevant as a design standard. Moved to "Decision Guidelines" at [PO 4.0p3a]. |
| 33. | [PO 7.0 p4] | Revision of design standard. | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 34. | [PO 7.0 p7] | Revision of design standard. | To respond to recommendations of Mr John Kiriakidis [refer Tabled Document 102] to ensure consistency with identical requirements in the Melbourne Planning Scheme. |

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------|---|--|
| 35. | [PO 7.0 p8] | Revision of design standard. | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 36. | [PO 7.0 p10] | Revision of design standard. | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 37. | [PO 7.0 p12] | Deletion of the following design standard: <i>'Car parking for sites facing a laneway, streets and adjoining sites should be sleeved with active uses'</i> . | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. To reflect changes to the Schedule to the Design and Development which now addresses built form requirements and outcomes associated with car parking areas. |
| 38. | [PO 8.0 p1] | Minor revision to introductory statement. | To ensure consistency with Clause 45.09 and requirements of Clause 52.06. This change is also requested in the submission of City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |
| 39. | [PO 8.0 p4] | Deletion of the following decision guideline: <i>'Any impact car parking areas have on creating high amenity active streets'</i> . | To reflect changes to the Schedule to the Design and Development which now addresses built form requirements and outcomes associated with car parking areas. |
| 40. | [PO 8.0 p5] | Revision of decision guideline. | Minor drafting improvement. |
| 41. | [PO 8.0 p6] | Revision of decision guideline. | To ensure the provision of alternative parking is a relevant consideration as per the parking objectives to be achieved and draft Fishermans Bend Framework. |
| 42. | [PO 9.0 p1a] NEW | Addition of the following reference document: <i>'Fishermans Bend Framework XXXX'</i> . | To respond to submission of the City of Melbourne [refer Tabled Document L11 and L12]. |