

POST/EMAIL SUBMISSION DETAILS		
Date Received	02/01/2017	
Name	██████████	
Organisation		
Email	████████████████████	
Postcode	3301	
Privacy Options	I am making this submission as an individual. I request my submission be published anonymously including only my postcode	
Privacy Statement Correct?	Yes	
Privacy Collection Notice Read?	Yes	
Submission Type	Individual	
Previous engagement in review?	Info session 2015	Yes
	Workshop 2015/16	
	Targetted consultation	
	SRG	
	Written submission to CP?	Yes
	Other? Describe	Lodged complaint with DELWP and Council BioDiversity Officer about illegal clearing of indigenous flora 2014/15.
Will changes improve function of regs?		
Reasons	Perhaps, if it pro-actively pursues and prosecutes wrongdoers, publicising cases, cautions, fines, etc. and protecting Officers from retribution by corrupt Public Officers (Councillors).	
Implementation issue with proposed changes?	Yes	
Reasons	There seems to be an endemic culture of Governmental corruption(bad apples) where fear of adverse career outcomes cripples the good intent of legislation and principled Officers rendering authority an opaque mess and virtual toothless tiger.	
Guidelines – guidance or clarification needed?	Yes	
Details	Acronyms should always be qualified upon first employment and subsequently in printed format or with the advent of digital technology by providing mouse-over tool-tips.	
Terms to include in guidelines glossary?		
Details		
Subscribe to e-newsletter?	Yes. Please send information updates to my email address	
Other comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There's an alarming emphasis upon monetary value of the uniquely invaluable rather than decisively instilling empathic concern for it in all communities.</li> <li>• Non human traffic-able corridors rather than “pathways” linking islands which are otherwise doomed (a la Easter Island).</li> <li>• Offsets without elimination of corruption is leverage for the corrupt (re:”3”).</li> <li>• Priority be given to defending pro-active conservation over vindictive exploitation and 'development' by applying severe penalties to breaches, including confiscation of vandalised land and respectful consultation with directly affected neighbours i.e. providing copies of all Permit applications.</li> <li>• Dispel the shallow lip service of the past by retrospectively applying(enforcing) these Laws to current issues.</li> </ul>	



NVR

to: nativevegetation.review

24/01/2017 11:00 AM

Further to my initial submission:-

- If a private property borders Crown Land whether that is National Park, State Park/Reserve, Council Scenic or Floral Park/Reserve then any adverse encroachment, destruction or theft should be severely disciplined.
- If a private property is registered in the Land For Wildlife initiative or as a Humane Society sanctuary or similar then their private neighbours should be held accountable for wilful destruction of native habitat that by proximity devalues the actual integrity of their neighbour.
- In the previous point such action should also be considered a devaluing of the monetary value and Law should be invoked to discipline, fine or/and confiscate.
- Such registering in the Land For Wildlife initiative, Humane Society sanctuary or similar along with demonstrable evidence of responsible participation should automatically entitle unencumbered qualification and application for re-zoning from Farming Zone (FZ) to Rural Conservation Zone (RCZ) or Environmental Rural Zone (ERZ); Councils are known to obfuscate for 18 months in this.
- Publicise all cautionary notices and fines.
- Sack Public Officials found guilty of breaching these Laws, confiscate the vandalised land and publicise.

[REDACTED]

