

## Wildlife Act Review

### Submission Comment 59:

Contributor: Individual

#### Primary interests:

- Other: member of the public extremely concerned with the future of our wildlife and their habitats

#### Comment

With daily evidence of human induced climate change and other damage that we have chosen to inflict on our world, we need to change urgently.

Currently: We give permits to kill wildlife because they are “pests”. Who was here first?

We promote the killing of kangaroos for money

We accept roadkill as normal We poison/trap/net targeted “pest” animals that also kills native wildlife (pretend this doesn't happen) We kill Dingoes, our apex predator.

We allow duck shooting We have a response system that does not allow for the rescue of wildlife in emergencies

We allow farmers to kill wildlife based on scientifically unproven opinions such as reduced profits, with no accountability.

We allow forestry/farmers to destroy habitat and kill off any wildlife in the way

We do not use accurate or scientific data to estimate wildlife numbers Our regulators often have a conflict of interest.

On the other hand, we are supposedly protecting wildlife and biodiversity, preventing extinction and listening to the community. A few examples of our management here:

We give money to, and praise, rescue organisations to save wildlife just so they can get shot or run over.

We invest in conservation programs in some areas (but kill the same species elsewhere)

We have token punishment for people harming wildlife in different situations than above.

We promote our wonderful wildlife all over the world.

Does this not seem illogical?

I would like to see:

No killing of any wildlife, there are humane choices for overpopulation

No sustainable “use” of wildlife

All wildlife species be recognised as sentient and ensured of their 5 freedoms in all laws

All species to be protected Stop habitat destruction

Agriculture must work with wildlife, our native animals were here first. I find it incomprehensible that you write “the impact of wildlife on agriculture”. It's the Wildlife Act not the Agricultural Act.

Resource nature tourism Mandatory consideration of wildlife in planning

Provided May 30/2021