

Engage Vic
submissions

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
225762	May 21, 2020, 02:42 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	It is an a significant health concern for the keys borough community, mentally and physically.	This sort of facility should not be built so close to residential area, no matter what. No! No! No!	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
225772	May 21, 2020, 03:09 PM	3173	No	Do not support	<p>1. Too close to the Residential zone and schools. Mt Hira College only 1.5km from the proposed plant.</p> <p>2. Produces ultra-fine particulates(diameter less than 0.1 µm)in high amounts, which cause a high chance of heart or lung disease.</p> <p>3. The proposal fails to meet the basic principles of renewable energy (Electricity) Act 2000- would burn plastic</p> <p>4. Studies confirm Health effects of waste to energy incineration - according to "Howard C.V. The health impacts of incineration. Proof of Evidence submitted to East Sussex and Brighton and Hove Local Plan Public Inquiry, 2003"</p> <p>5. Explosion incidents happened in USA, Europe.</p>	Please consider our community as your own.	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
225773	May 21, 2020, 03:11 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	<p>Waste, I am do not support for this project to happens in the dandenong south area.</p> <p>Reasons are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The area is too close to residential area. This kind of proposal, has to be proposed in the area which has or far away from residential area. 2. The residential area surrounding the dandenong south proposed for waste, I can say 95% are young families with new born, infant, toddler, school age children. 3. Waste, it doesn't matter what kind of waste, it is still and always another type of polution. This polutions are smells and may cause radiation. These will effect the health issues in the young childrens future healths. Health is always the number 1 issue until today and please don't make it worse. Australia government always implements to young families to live healthy. But if the environment doesn't cooperate, how can we have a healthy living? This health issue has to be cautious start from baby born or even they are still in womb. Healthy means not only from what you eat and indoor excercise, This also means from what you breath and outdoor excercise. The new school built in keysborough south. And I can only laugh if this waste plan still go on. 4. Right now and happens since I move here 5 years ago, sometimes my self and the whole neighbourhood can smell something disgusting before this new waste implements and proposed. 5. The result of implements this, will lower the price of the property surrounding the suburbs which are a lot of million dollars houses built. And this will makes more people moving from the area which may impact the business around such as shopping center will not have a good profit. I do believe a lot more people object this proposal just fro the same reason as what I write above. 	<p>Waste, I am do not support for this project to happens in the dandenong south area.</p> <p>Reasons are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The area is too close to residential area. This kind of proposal, has to be proposed in the area which has or far away from residential area. 2. The residential area surrounding the dandenong south proposed for waste, I can say 95% are young families with new born, infant, toddler, school age children. 3. Waste, it doesn't matter what kind of waste, it is still and always another type of polution. This polutions are smells and may cause radiation. These will effect the health issues in the young childrens future healths. Health is always the number 1 issue until today and please don't make it worse. Australia government always implements to young families to live healthy. But if the environment doesn't cooperate, how can we have a healthy living? This health issue has to be cautious start from baby born or even they are still in womb. Healthy means not only from what you eat and indoor excercise, This also means from what you breath and outdoor excercise. The new school built in keysborough south. And I can only laugh if this waste plan still go on. 4. Right now and happens since I move here 5 years ago, sometimes my self and the whole neighbourhood can smell something disgusting before this new waste implements and proposed. 5. The result of implements this, will lower the price of the property surrounding the suburbs which are a lot of million dollars houses built. And this will makes more people moving from the area which may impact the business around such as shopping center will not have a good profit. I do believe a lot more people object this proposal just fro the same reason as what I write above. 	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
225954	May 22, 2020, 09:49 AM	3173	No	Support	I support this proposed project. Being engaged for over 40 years construction of refractory end users in waste incineration, power plants, petro chemical plants and many other industries I am fully aware of the advanced technologies in the operation of such plants as described for this project. It will be safe, clean and provide a much needed energy source.	Having read the s22 I believe the SMEC response to EPA WAA requested details have been provided.	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
226337	May 24, 2020, 10:54 AM	3173	No	Do not support	<p>Not support the project because the location is too close to the residential area and the hazard associated with it. Being so close to the residential area, the risks and hazard has been amplified, especially when the plant and equipment getting older and the profit over takes the safety and health of the workers and community in general. The risks and hazard are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Air emissions from the combustion constitutes more of a serious health hazard to human health - Increased noises and road traffic of garbage trucks to transport municipal waste to the plant are risks to the communities around the site. - The stigma and perception from the public of the community being less liveable due to the Waste to Energy plant in the area. Bad image of our city - Produces a hazardous waste stream (fly ash and gas cleaning residue). - The hazardous solid residues - The noise from the plant - The constant requires to control the NOx and the toxic organics which highly potential hazard as plant aging - Potential risk of the gasification plant explosion and fire endanger the communities 	<p>Anyone who has been paying attention will know that gasification projects are infamous for over-promising and under-delivering.</p>	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
226379	May 24, 2020, 07:44 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	<p>Based on the information provided from GSWT, I do not believe they have fully understand the impact of the buliding of waste-to-energy facility not only means the regenerated energy from the waste but the environmental problems and heavily shock to the health and real estate will be much more sereious.</p> <p>The modelling of the air emissions assessment, only takes previous model from other reference and data, but not much real data from Melbourne based environmental monitoring sections. That will greatly introduce the deviation of future results from the predictions.</p> <p>In a word, i do not support this proposal as it will generate more unsolvable problems by only solving waste-to-energy issue.</p>	<p>The GSWT has provided related reponse to the queries but not sufficient and effective. They should provide more methods to solve the problems. Also, what they have responded are all based on the point of waste-to-energy, as for other negetive sides will generate from the proposal they did not mentioned much.</p>	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
227585	May 28, 2020, 04:59 PM	3175	No	Do not support	<p>Living in close proximity to the proposed site I have to question the safety aspects but am more concerned with the quality of emissions, the quantity and the odors that will certainly be produced by this type of activity. I live in an over 50's village in Frankston/Dandenong Rd. Bangholme and many in the village are already impacted by the smells and air quality from the industrial areas around us. Whilst the intention of the incinerator is admirable and a necessary evil, there is not a place for such an intrusive and debatable facility when you have people so close by. There are schools and a housing estate nearby, try considering us all as I am sure this would be a 24 hour proposition.</p>		-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229014	Jun 03, 2020, 10:20 PM	3173	No	Do not support	<p>1, Some sessions hasn't been answered clearly</p> <p>2, There are some incomplete responses, (including mentioned on Summary report)</p> <p>3, Technical plan and data cannot eliminate any risk, and such risk must not share with local residences, students, workers nearby, and wider communities</p> <p>4, The way to manage waste cannot guarantee the burning temperature of the materials, it creates risk to create more Dioxin emission</p> <p>5, Liquid sink into land and damage on land and food chain is a proven high risk for long term</p> <p>6, The location selected has breach the local policy.</p>	<p>It is good to provide all the responses and application to the public. However, the whole process has not been widely informed to the community, i.e. majority of the local community and the surrounding community don't know about this project and the progress of this application.</p> <p>Also, lots of the reports are not being able to be understood by general public. As to be responsible to the general public, it is always good to use laymen terms as well as listing pros & cons for the opinion of locals. It should gain a community permit to join in as a community member.</p> <p>Technical problems can always suggest a technical solutions, but splitting community harmony, risk of health and safety, and anxiety are never being able to resolve by technical solutions!</p>	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229019	Jun 03, 2020, 10:24 PM	3173	No	Do not support	<p>Report still have lots of incomplete responses. Air emission is still a possible risk even providing data.</p> <p>Written emergency management is also a risk.</p> <p>There is no strict monitoring policy for such facility. It must be installed near residences and school and religion centres.</p>		-
229336	Jun 04, 2020, 01:07 PM	2042	No	Do not support	Concerns on air/water pollution to residents/school surrounded.	Is the current technology advanced enough to protect environment?	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229352	Jun 04, 2020, 01:50 PM	3173	No	Do not support	The location of this plant is too close to residential areas. The gas that poured out by this waste factory would be harmful to many people such as local residents, kids and students. So, the government should be responsible for protecting these people's health and community. As a result, I firmly oppose this plan.	I do not support this application!	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229355	Jun 04, 2020, 02:00 PM	3195	No	Do not support	<p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high.</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions.</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate.</p>		-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229362	Jun 04, 2020, 02:15 PM	3173	No	Do not support	I have read reports on toxic emissions from incinerators and I dont want to live in a polluted environment. The proposed facility is only 1.5 kilometres from our residential area, schools and places of worship. It will put us in danger.	I have read reports on toxic emissions from incinerators and I don't want to live in a polluted environment. The proposed facility is only 1.5 kilometres from our residential area, schools and places of worship. It will put us in danger.	-
229366	Jun 04, 2020, 02:20 PM	3173	No	Do not support	Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks.		-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229373	Jun 04, 2020, 02:26 PM	3173	No	Do not support	<p>The proposed facility is only 1.5 kilometres from our residential area, schools and places of worship. It will put us in danger.</p> <p>An incinerator risks the escape of dangerous pollution. I am concerned about airborne pollution including greenhouse gases, CO2, sulphure dioxides, dioxins, and many other chemicals. These pollutants are harmful to the environment and human health.</p> <p>I am worried about my grandchildren who play outside for hours every day. They will naturally take in more air, and more pollutants.</p>	Please stop this project.	-
229381	Jun 04, 2020, 02:38 PM	3173	No	Do not support	<p>I am worried about my son and other kids who play outside for hours every day. They will naturally take in more air, and more pollutants.</p>		-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229382	Jun 04, 2020, 02:39 PM	3173	No	Do not support	it is too close to residential areas e.g. Keysborough and a school (Mt Hira College), and shopping centres.	No one can guaranty that this plant will make no pollution at all. History has told us such plants built in other area lead to a enviroment pollution. Once it built, our local people become victims. Such plant should be built far distance from residential area, school and shopping centres.	-
229383	Jun 04, 2020, 02:40 PM	VIC3173	No	Do not support	Technical data and processing cannot solve the problems of community health safety and mental health impact of residents.		-
229387	Jun 04, 2020, 02:43 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	Too close to school and residential area, not good for environment .	Not good for environment.	-
229391	Jun 04, 2020, 02:51 PM	3197	No	Do not support	I do not support due to environmental pollution and too close to residential properties		-
229392	Jun 04, 2020, 02:52 PM	3173	No	Do not support	Too close to the residential area!! Please care about the resident and students around!		-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229411	Jun 04, 2020, 03:22 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	harm to our health, especially our children. Danger to our living. Damage to the weather! Totally disagree with this proposal. Too close tonresidential area!		-
229421	Jun 04, 2020, 03:40 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	Technical data and processing schemes does not mean total elimination of fatal risks	Some questions are not answered with details	-
229424	Jun 04, 2020, 03:45 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	it is formal objection from me and my family.we community strongly suggest to reach allocate the incinerator at 70 ordish road. it is too close to the dense populated residential area. the technology is uncertain. the whole process is not transparent enough to the public.	if EPA can't locate and sort out current air pollution and bad smell in Dandenong South and keysborough area. please don't rush to add another burden.	Screenshot _20200604_145252_com.android.browser.jpg Available in 'Supporting documents' on Engage Vic.

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229426	Jun 04, 2020, 03:46 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	First it is illegal as it is within 1.5km to the school. Second it is far too close to the residential area. We are all lives. We can not be tested.		-
229429	Jun 04, 2020, 03:49 PM	3173	No	Do not support	All technical data dose not mean risks are being eliminated! Too close to residence. Community should not bare the risk for commercial businesses!	I completed response need to be complete before any decision!	-
229432	Jun 04, 2020, 03:55 PM	3173	No	Do not support	No waste-to-energy facility		-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229434	Jun 04, 2020, 03:56 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	Thousands and thousands peoples health would be affected by the pollution. And this effect is irreversible. I guess no one can afford to risk health. Why cant it be away from residential area. We are a new built community, mainly young families, and there are schools around. Thousands and thousands children would be affected for their entire life. Our family strongly oppose this project.		-
229442	Jun 04, 2020, 04:11 PM	3173	No	Do not support	Very high risk to human beings health.	Very close to residents land.	-
229445	Jun 04, 2020, 04:13 PM	3173	No	Do not support	Harmful to people	Very close to my home.	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229447	Jun 04, 2020, 04:16 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	<p>The response dodged questions from community concerns, especially on risk assessment and management, There is no solid QA and continuous monitoring and governance from a reliable thrid party either.</p> <p>This further impaired the already damaged trust and we do not believe the applicant will make efforts to minimise risks to residents at all given what they have done. Yet there is no one, NO ONE, can technically constrain them from doing what they should not be doing, as EPA relies on community reporting to initiate investigation, while we do not have access to equipments that are required to measure pollutions, and some negative impact is long term damage which will have a serious consequences and hard for non-environmental professionals to establish the links.</p> <p>This is insincere and I would be deeply disappointed if EPA ever issued a works approval without being able to supervise what the applicant will do. If you approve it you should take responsibilities. If you have doubts, get them to build it somewhere far from schools and residential areas. There lots of proved cases from history and we don't want to become one. If you choose to do nothing until something happens, you are helping them to hurt people.</p>	<p>They just ignored us, dodging the questions for which they will either have to provide an answer that does not support their own claim , or lie. e.g. on risk assessment and management.</p> <p>Again, there is nothing done to establish a solid QA process and continuous monitoring and governance from a reliable thrid party, after we raised the concern. Also this feels like you complete you plan then you don't have to stick to it because no one can catch you.</p>	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229450	Jun 04, 2020, 04:22 PM	3175	Yes	Do not support	Environmental protection		-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229468	Jun 04, 2020, 04:54 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	<p>Some tech questions are not answered well</p> <p>The report summary shows a large number of unanswered questions</p> <p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate</p> <p>Technical data and technical processing cannot solve problems such as community health and safety and residents' mental health effects</p>	<p>Some tech questions are not answered well</p> <p>The report summary shows a large number of unanswered questions</p> <p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate</p> <p>Technical data and technical processing cannot solve problems such as community health and safety and residents' mental health effects</p>	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229469	Jun 04, 2020, 04:55 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	<p>Some tech questions are not answered well</p> <p>The report summary shows a large number of unanswered questions</p> <p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate</p> <p>Technical data and technical processing cannot solve problems such as community health and safety and residents' mental health effects</p>	<p>Some tech questions are not answered well</p> <p>The report summary shows a large number of unanswered questions</p> <p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate</p> <p>Technical data and technical processing cannot solve problems such as community health and safety and residents' mental health effects</p>	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229470	Jun 04, 2020, 04:55 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	<p>Some tech questions are not answered well</p> <p>The report summary shows a large number of unanswered questions</p> <p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate</p> <p>Technical data and technical processing cannot solve problems such as community health and safety and residents' mental health effects</p>	<p>Some tech questions are not answered well</p> <p>The report summary shows a large number of unanswered questions</p> <p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate</p> <p>Technical data and technical processing cannot solve problems such as community health and safety and residents' mental health effects</p>	-

Contribution ID	Date Submitted	Postcode	Have you made a submission on this application before?	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal?	Explanation	Please provide your comments on the s22 notice response and/or works approval application in the box below.	Additional documents
229472	Jun 04, 2020, 04:56 PM	3173	Yes	Do not support	<p>Some tech questions are not answered well</p> <p>The report summary shows a large number of unanswered questions</p> <p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate</p> <p>Technical data and technical processing cannot solve problems such as community health and safety and residents' mental health effects</p>	<p>Some tech questions are not answered well</p> <p>The report summary shows a large number of unanswered questions</p> <p>Technical data and processing schemes cannot represent the removal of fatal risks</p> <p>Residents, schools, and communities should not bear any risks with the applicant</p> <p>The pre-treatment of waste incineration cannot effectively manage the heating value management, and the risk of toxic gas emissions is high</p> <p>The impact of penetration on land and bio-chains failed to propose solutions</p> <p>Both fly ash and bottom ash contain large amounts of dioxins, and the current treatment plan is not appropriate</p> <p>Technical data and technical processing cannot solve problems such as community health and safety and residents' mental health effects</p>	-