

IAC Requests for Information

#	IAC Request	Date of Request	Date Provided to IAC
1.	Information on truck kilometres travelled on the freeways, arterial and local roads with no-project and project cases, to assess the outcomes of the project against the transport objectives.	Day 1 - 14/8	Day 13 - 30/8. Project Note 62.
2.	Further information about the health and safety aspects of the veloway, in terms of temperature, outlook, design width for anticipated volume and speed of cyclists, personal safety and security particularly after dark, and emergency management and access.	Day 1 - 14/8	Day 10 - 25/8. Project Note 58.
3.	Advice on the cumulative impacts of environmental effects of the project. For instance, where neighbouring properties are affected by multiple stressors such as noise, air quality, amenity loss, the overall approach to assessing cumulative effects.	Day 1 - 14/8	Addressed in the evidence of Jackie Wright
4.	A plan to an equivalent scale to that in the Ecology Report (Appendix X) Figures 48 - 53, that shows where the trees are proposed to be planted along the project.	Day 2 - 15/8	
5.	Advice on impacts of shading on vegetation as well as amenity, with particular reference to shading from proposed noise walls.	Day 2 - 15/8	Day 21 - 11/9. Hearing document
6.	A plan showing the location of container depots in the vicinity of the project area.	Day 2 - 15/8	Day 8 - 23/8. Hearing document 80.
7.	Information on the mechanisms built into the project relating to tolling, where they are located, and management governance. Also information on management of the ongoing price structure.	Day 2 - 15/8	Addressed by Stuart Morris QC in submissions on 25/8.
8.	Whether plans to be prepared, such as the communications and community engagement plans (CCEP), noise vibration construction management plan, air quality monitoring plan, landscape plan, would be approved by the Minister for Planning.	Day 2 - 15/8	Days 13 and 20 - 30/8 and 8/9. Hearing documents 139 and 194.
9.	Clarification on the E-Gate area, how the EES designers assessed this, and copies of any designs for the area if the EES designers had these.	Day 2 - 15/8	Day 14 - 31/8.
10.	A fly-through of the 3D model from the perspective of a cyclist from west to east, through the veloway and Yarraville Gardens, with an explanation as to the grades.	Day 3 - 16/8	Day 12 - 29/8. Hearing document 130.
11.	Images showing the design for the bridge over the Moonee Ponds Creek.	Day 3 - 16/8	Day 21 - 11/9.
12.	Urban design and landscape treatments for the east bank of the Maribyrnong River where Mackenzie Road would be elevated.	Day 3 - 16/8	Day 10 - 25/8.

IAC Requests for Information

#	IAC Request	Date of Request	Date Provided to IAC
13.	Provide sample shadow plans for the south interface of the West Gate Freeway.	Day 3 - 16/8	Day 13 - 30/8. Project Note 63.
14.	Information on noise walls in similar projects, of a similar height and have had vegetation planted to the south of them, so that the IAC can visit these sites (if they choose to do so).	Day 3 - 16/8	Day 10 - 25/8.
15.	Ms Forsyth sought clarification as to the amount of trees to be removed and the amount of replacements as stated in the social assessment. Mr Lim to confirm numbers	Day 3 - 16/8	
16.	Are the proposed noise barriers nearer and higher at the end of Chambers Street. (reference to PN 34, sheet 3 of 8) and whether the maps are scalable, or indicative to make a point.	Day 3 - 16/8	Day 13 - 30/8. Project Note 64.
17.	Any investigations undertaken on the potential reuse of spoil in accordance with the waste hierarchy principles, within or external to the project area in connection with other projects	Day 4 - 17/8	Day 10 - 25/8. Project Note 59
18.	The purpose, location, design and specification of acoustic sheds proposed to be constructed around the tunnel portal activities at the northern portal.	Day 4 - 17/8	Day 9 - 24/8. Project Note 55
19.	The planned approach to TBM retrieval and/or leaving the TBM in the ground on completion of the tunnels.	Day 4 - 17/8	Day 8 - 23/8. Project Note 54
20.	Information on any impediments or issues associated with obtaining trade waste agreements with respect to water extracted and drained off from contaminated materials into sewer and whether this was feasible	Day 5 - 18/8	
21.	Approvals required for the management and treatment of contaminated soil and associated transport and storage.	Day 5 - 18/8	
22.	Further technical notes to be provided on matters arising from the traffic expert witness conclaves (as referenced in hearing documents 50 and 51):	Day 5 - 18/8	(a) Day 6 - 21/8. Project Note 48
	(a) item 2.4 of Expert conclave report with S Hunt		(b) Day 6 - 21/8. Project Note 49
	(b) item 2.3 of Expert conclave report with R Symons (Port connections)		(c) Day 10 - 25/8 Project Note 60
	(c) item 2.1 of Expert conclave report with S Hunt		(d) Day 19 - 7/9. Hearing document 191.
	(d) item 2.3 of Expert conclave report with R Symons (Dock Link Road)		

IAC Requests for Information

#	IAC Request	Date of Request	Date Provided to IAC
23.	WDA to review the EPRs in light of the Melbourne Metro EPRs including those relevant to business impacts.	Day 5 - 18/8	Day 10 - 25/8. Hearing document 106.
24.	Provide details on the percentage of heavy vehicles at certain locations (Millers Road, Blackshaws Road and Hyde St) in 2031 with the West Gate Tunnel project	Day 6 - 21/8	Day 10 - 25/ 8. Project Note 57
25.	Information on the assumed partial build-out for E-Gate referred to in Mr Veitch's witness statement (page 19) including numbers of residents, floor space, etc.	Day 6 - 21/8	Day 10 - 25/8
26.	The level of access assumed for E-Gate, where the access was, what level of development was assumed for E-Gate, and what volume of traffic was assumed arising from the development of E-Gate.	Day 6 - 21/8	Day 10 - 25/8
27.	The written response to the peer review of the Veitch modelling.	Day 6 - 21/8	Day 12 - 29/8. See submissions of WDA in response to IAC directions.
28.	The difference between heavy and light commercial vehicles and whether Mr Veitch considered both to be caught by truck bans.	Day 6 - 21/8	Day 21 - 11/9. See hearing document
29.	WDA to consider whether any of the cabinet-in-confidence documents relating to the peer review can be provided.	Day 6 - 21/8	Day 12 - 29/8. See submissions of WDA in response to IAC directions.
30.	Provide the locations where the capacity adjustment has been applied to the modelled demands	Day 6 - 21/8	Day 10 - 25/8. Project Note 56
31.	Mr Kiriakidis provide the values that make up the +5,000 vehicles figure for Hawke Street on page 12 of his presentation.	Day 7 - 22/8	
32.	An explanation for the difference between the business case figures which show heavy vehicle demand on Francis St as 5,000 to 6,000 trucks in the 2031 no-project case, and the figures in the EES showing volumes of 3,000 to 3,700 in the 2031 no-project case	Day 8 - 23/8	Day 16 - 4/9. Oral submissions of WDA
33.	What level of truck activity on Blackshaws Road would be considered unacceptable on the basis of current volumes.	Day 8 - 23/8	Day 15 - 1/9. Project Note 66
34.	Where safety and crashes are addressed in the EES (other than table 146 in Technical Report A of the EES).	Day 8 - 23/8	Day 15 - 1/9. Project Note 65

Dated: 11 September 2017

IAC Requests for Information

#	IAC Request	Date of Request	Date Provided to IAC
35.	In relation to the discrepancy between Project Notes 25 and 38, if the figures for 2015 for Project Note 38 were taken when the market was still operational.	Day 8 - 23/8	Day 16 - 4/9. Project Note 67
36.	Further explanation on how Project Note 47 showed spare, or any capacity in North Melbourne	Day 8 - 23/8	Day 21 - 11/9. Oral submissions of WDA
37.	If an assessment of truck kilometres travelled was a useful measure for determining whether the objective of increasing freight movements on freeway networks, rather than arterial networks, had been met. Mr Kiriakidis to consider what could be used to assess this objective.	Day 8 - 23/8	
38.	Information on exceedances of 63dB(A) for residences on Millers Road and what impacts the increase in traffic would have on noise.	Day 9 - 24/8	
39.	A response from Mr Fler to Ms Denison's issues, as previously discussed, within a week.	Day 9 - 24/8	Day 15 - 1/9
40.	Clarification on where in the Health Impact Assessment regard is had to the impacts of noise from new roads and ramps on areas like E-Gate, Docklands and urban renewal areas.	Day 9 - 24/8	Day 21 - 11/9. Oral submissions of WDA.
41.	Contour information provided by Mr Stead to Mr Tardio to be provided to Mr Munro	Day 13 - 30/8	
42.	What aspects of MMR NVP 21 should be included in the EPRs	Day 13 - 30/8	Day 21 - 11/9. Hearing document 205.
43.	Mr Stead's detailed list of recommendations (to the EPRs)	Days 8 & 13 - 23 & 30/8	Day 21 - 11/9. Hearing document 205.
44.	Juliet Forsyth queried the noise volumes identified in table 79 at p140 of Technical Appendix H and how 2022 at 3.4 is higher than 2031 at 2.1. She then queried what the implications would be for a single toll point as proposed by PN1.	Day 18 - 6/9	
45.	Copy of the schematic plan for Dock Link Road connection.	Day 20 - 8/9	
46.	WDA's revised NVP3 and clarification in relation to the definition of freeway and local roads in NVP1A (by Monday 11/9)	Day 20 - 8/9	Day 21 - 11/9. Hearing document 205.
47.	An explanation as to why the project design of the McKenzie Road ramp is located further north when	Day 20 - 8/9	

IAC Requests for Information

#	IAC Request	Date of Request	Date Provided to IAC
	compared with the reference design.		
48.	Timing for a formal response to Project Note 1.	Day 20 - 8/9	
49.	Explanation of the trade-off between the height and scale of the tunnel ventilation structure and its visual impacts.	Day 20 - 8/9	
50.	A copy of the Creating Community Open Spaces Fact Sheet April 2017 referred to in paragraph 51 of the Hobsons Bay CC written submissions.	Day 20 - 8/9	Day 21 - 11/9. Hearing document