

| Contribution ID | Has the additional information provided by Australian Paper given you more or less confidence in understanding the impacts from the project? | | Do you have any comments you would like to make on the HIA? |
|-----------------|--|------|--|
| | More | Less | |
| 49222 | 1 | | |
| 49299 | | 1 | It is recognised by EPA and the Health Impact Assessment (HIA) that the integrity of the feedstock is an unknown. The HIA is modelled on uncontaminated waste being fed into the boiler. Waste will be monitored by eye and camera. On considering the potential for waste to be contaminated, it would seem likely that there is potential for unintended waste streams to be burnt in the boiler at times. It would seem necessary for the EPA to be monitoring emissions from the flue 24/7 and releasing data. |
| 49311 | 1 | | Energy to waste is utilised world wide , after my own further investigations I believe it's safe and should go ahead . I'd like to add though that green waste from gardens is in unlimited supply and is picked up regularly from our local councils , I'd like very much to see that used as well as other waste . But I'm outright opposed to using native forests as a source of fuel , that's not on, ever ! |
| 49327 | | 1 | At no stage have you indicated what the existing discharges into the environment (air, water, soil,etc) are. That is do you know what the current plant in total is discharging into the environment? If the project goes through and the incinerator is built and if pollution is higher from the New Plant compared with the existing Old Plant then it should not be built. Incineration is not a Renewable Energy source. It discourages recycling and composting. |
| 49487 | 1 | | The additional information confirms our initial thoughts that this proposal to convert waste to energy will have no additional health risks to our local community. In fact the projects overall benefit is actually positive to the local and regional Gippsland community and Victoria as a whole by significantly reducing landfill, reducing gas consumption and generating additional electricity. This is proven and established technology that needs to be embraced. |
| 49540 | 1 | | |
| 50074 | 1 | | Waste to energy technology is proven and reliable. This technology does and can confidentially meet all AQM SEPP requirements, without the need for buffers. These facilities are and can be located in industrial areas. Transport routes and access is important. There is a long track record of performance in the US, Canada and EU. All the risks have proven to be manageable and outweighed by the social, environmental and economic benefits. Approval and development should be fast tracked. |

| | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|
| 51089 | | 1 | <p>I have not had time to read the HIA assessment and the project office was closed when it was meant to be open on two occasions. The time given to read the HIA was/is insufficient when i work full time and have other commitments.</p> <p>On the ABC tv they had investigations about efw plants in Europe which were not favourably assessed. Regardless of not reading the HIA, I can not see how burning any rubbish can have no negative affects on health of all living thing. APM does not have the right to do so</p> |
| 51114 | | 1 | Yes, see attached. |
| 51123 | | 1 | The attached file is from Voices of the Valley |
| 51196 | | 1 | <p>Asking AP to provide its own HIA is ludicrous. Even though it engages an 'independent' body to write the assessment it is totally reliant on APs provided information.</p> <p>"Environmental Risk Sciences has made no independent verification of this information beyond the agreed scope of works and assumes no responsibility for any inaccuracies or omissions."</p> <p>It downplays most negative impacts as 'negligible'. This report should not be accepted as credible.</p> |
| 51198 | 1 | | Please see attached letter from Jane Anderson, Latrobe Health Advocate |
| 51238 | 1 | | <p>Waste to Energy plants are very common within, or very close to major European cities. Since European emission standards are applicable, no negative impact is to be expected. Waste to energy is a huge improvement compared to landfills with their odour, potential leachate problems and methane emissions.</p> <p>Modern Flue gas cleaning systems safely guarantee that emission levels are kept below the EU's emission limits as defined in the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED-2010/75/EC).</p> |