

Submission 2

1. What is your level of knowledge of mine rehabilitation in general?

Have some understanding

2. What is important to you when you think about the rehabilitation of the Latrobe Valley coal mines?

That the land be rehabilitated to its natural state. Reforested for habitat for native fauna and flora.

I don't believe that we should take any environmental water out of our rivers/streams to fill up a coal mine that will be locked up to the general public for the next 50 years. Smooth the batters out and let the water rise up from under Neath the mine. Revegetate it and open it up for farming and recreational use.

3. What do you feel are the most important things Government can do as part of the planning, rehabilitation, and post-rehabilitation stages of the Latrobe Valley coal mines?

Take their heads out of the sand, stop playing party politics with environmentalists and listen to environmentalists!

4. What do you feel are the most important things the coal mine operators can do as part of the planning, rehabilitation, and post-rehabilitation stages of the Latrobe Valley coal mines?

Invest. Then go away.

5. What do you feel are the most important things community and stakeholders can contribute as part of the planning, rehabilitation, and post-rehabilitation stages of the Latrobe Valley coal mines?

Hopefully we can contribute our ideas and have them acted on.

6. How would you like to be engaged in the rehabilitation process for the Latrobe Valley Brown Coal mines?

anyway is fine. but in person or over the phone is great as not everyone has or is willing to go online to fill in forms etc.

7. Overall, do the principles outlined in Section 4 of the LVRRS Overview meet your expectations (considering the important issues you identified above)?

Disagree

8. Are there any changes you would suggest to the proposed principles outlined in Section 4 of the LVRRS Overview? If so, please provide a short explanation as to why you have suggested these changes.

Stop consulting with indigenous Australians just for the sake of it, If they are experts of land/water science then by all means include them but other wise you are just trying to be inclusive.

9. Are there any specific elements of the LVRRS Overview that were not clear, or need further information to be provided in the LVRRS?

There is no option provided for non water filling, why cant a proposal be made that allows groundwater to level out by itself and revegetation etc.

10. Do you have any further comments on the LVRRS Overview?

Perhaps you should include in your planning, floral resources for bee keeping to help improve our food security.

Stakeholder group

Business owner

Postcode

3844

Age Group

35-39

Gender

Male