

Submission No.	Best practice design issues and proposed operations					Environmental management and monitoring					Existing site or Applicant's performance and compliance					Greenhouse gas emissions					Proposal is not compliant with principles of the Environment Protection Act 1970 and/or State Environmental Planning Policy and/or State Waste Management Policy					Proposed rehabilitation and aftercare management					Sustainability					Waste acceptance / storage / treatment					Other issue 1 (Please specify in your own words and then select rating from the box below)					Other issue 1 rating					Other issue 2 (Please specify in your own words and then select rating from the box below)					Other issue 2 rating						
	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high							
1					1										1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1	Odour emissions from the site, during and after operations have been completed					1	Potential for the site to continue operation, or have the life extended and the current footprint extended in the future					1
2				1						1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1	The odour from the landfill has at time been terrible. When driving past with my vehicle windows up I have found the smell to be that bad that makes me feel ill. I have reported this a few times to the EPA, but feel that they do not follow this up as it has been difficult for them to actually find the area on there map. Once I was told the smell was from the Morwell chicken farm some 10 to 20kms away!																

Submission No.	Climate Change and greenhouse gas emissions					Dust and particulate emissions					Landfill gas risks					Groundwater resources					Health risks and/or hazards					Land and soil resources					Noise amenity					Odour and air emissions					Other issue 1 (Please specify in your own words and then select rating from the box below)					Other issue 1 rating					Other issue 2 (Please specify in your own words and then select rating from the box below)					Other issue 2 rating														
	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high															
1			1							1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1
2					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1					1										

Submission No.	Key issue 1	Key Issue 2	Do you support, support subject to conditions or not support the proposal? Please provide an explanation in the text box below.			Explanation	If you would like to submit additional materials (such as documents, photographs, tables or figures) as part of your submission, please attach them here or to your email.
			Support the proposal	Support subject to conditions the proposal	Do not support the proposal		
1	Odour. For the past 5-8 years, the site has been regularly emitting high levels of odour. Despite assurances from the site operator (LCC) the source of the odour has not been identified. The occurrences have reduced over the past 6 months or so, but the operator still has no idea where they are coming from, and are in denial that they exist. They have no idea how to manage or address the issue, but regularly use their on-site staff to investigate complaints (who are immune to the stench as they work on the site). Their proposed operational procedures (as included in the WAA) fail to recognise this, as do the rehabilitation plans which do not even list ongoing odour monitoring as an action. On the basis that the WAA is shows significant lack of understanding of this matter, I wish to formally object to the proposal, and the issue of a WAA.	Ten years ago, council (at VCAT) assured the community that this tip site would never be extended. Yet here they are, now seeking an extension. I request that a formal instrument of restriction (planning covenant, S173 or something similar) be placed on title to ensure that the tip cannot be extended.			1	If the odour and land covenant can be addressed, I will not object to this proposal. However at the present time these issues are inadequately addressed and I wish to lodge a formal objection.	
2	How can the facility be given an extension, when it currently is not run on worlds best practice as we continue to smell the tip when driving past and at times even at our house in Traralgon South.				1		