

POST/EMAIL SUBMISSION DETAILS		
Date Received	01/02/2017	
Name	[REDACTED]	
Organisation		
Email	[REDACTED]	
Postcode	3660	
Privacy Options	I am making this submission as an individual. I request my submission be published anonymously including only my postcode	
Privacy Statement Correct?	Yes	
Privacy Collection Notice Read?	Yes	
Submission Type	Individual	
Previous engagement in review?	Info session 2015	
	Workshop 2015/16	
	Targetted consultation	
	SRG	
	Written submission to CP?	Yes
	Other? Describe	
Will changes improve function of regs?		
Reasons	They are an improvement	
Implementation issue with proposed changes?	Yes	
Reasons	There may be blowback from the people who see the provisions as impediments to any proposed development. They may seem too wordy and difficult to understand. Local Councils may lack the resources to adequately enforce and monitor the regulations and offsets. The community may not understand the value of biodiversity.	
Guidelines – guidance or clarification needed?		
Details	Need a plain English version for the public, setting out reasons for the guidelines, and benefits to the community	
Terms to include in guidelines glossary?	Unsure	
Details		
Subscribe to e-newsletter?	Yes. Please send information updates to my email address	
Other comments	I support the changes. I support the reverting to the No Net Loss terminology. I see a need for increased resourcing to implement and monitor the process and the offsets. But I am cautious about offsets. They should be in the same area as the loss to be incurred by veg removal, not at another location. Therefore I am doubtful about offsets in other areas by third parties no matter how estimable they may be. As Victoria is so fragmented and most of these losses are in agricultural land where already most native vegetation is lost or greatly diminished, to offset miles away cannot ever be a net gain. It is a loss to the area affected, completely. Education: There needs to be greater effort on ongoing education of the benefits of native vegetation to the community, as otherwise they will not value the regulations or demand their retention should a hostile government seek to remove them.	
Written submission provided?	No	