

ONLINE SUBMISSION DETAILS		
Date Received	06/03/2017	
Name	██████████	
Organisation		
Email	████████████████████	
Postcode	3401	
Privacy Options	I am making this submission as an individual. I request my submission be published anonymously including only my postcode	
Privacy Statement Correct?	Yes	
Privacy Collection Notice Read?	Yes	
Submission Type	Farming	
Previous engagement in review?	Info session 2015	
	Workshop 2015/16	
	Targetted consultation	
	SRG	
	Written submission to CP?	Yes
	Other? Describe	VPP Native Vegetation Reform Committee
Will changes improve function of regs?		
Reasons	These proposals are worse for farming. Farmers have demonstrated a long term commitment to revegetation. Farming is the primary use for which the land is zoned and their is no new use or structure so you can't just "avoid" by using a different siting. Some vegetation is impacting on productivity and for safety and needs to be removed. Removal with sensible and fair offsets which are good for the farm and the environment is essential if "gain" is to be achieved. Avoidance alone ensures long term "decline".	
Implementation issue with proposed changes?	Yes	
Reasons	These controls seem to stem from managing large scale land use change. THIS DOES NOT APPLY! The incorporated document overrides the scheme so that its proper operation and weighing of issues cannot operate. A separate control and SIMPLE guidelines are required for situations where the unmapped provision is the only permit trigger.	
Guidelines – guidance or clarification needed?	Yes	
Details	A specific control and SIMPLE guidelines are required for farming land. The current system is way too COMPLEX and too COSTLY to engage with. This is HURTING regional economies and the future of the ENVIROMENT.	
Terms to include in guidelines glossary?	Unsure	
Details		
Subscribe to e-newsletter?	Yes. Please send information updates to my email address	
Other comments	In the Summary of proposed amendments to the Victoria Planning Provisions Document, point 1.3 rationale for the proposed amendments, its states, ensuring that the regulations SENSIBLY protect native vegetation. At present, in the current system and with the proposed changes it will only exacerbate the decline of the ageing "scattered" tree population and NO NEW TREES will be planted for the future generations of Australians, THIS IS NOT SENSIBLE OR RATIONAL. Have a SEPERATE amendment for BROADACRE AGRICULTURE, WHICH GIVES FARMERS THE OPPORTUNITY TO PLANT NEW TREES AND PROTECT OLDER HEALTHY TIMBER IN THE APPROPRIATE OFFSETT AREAS WHILST REMOVING DEAD,	

	<p>AGEING AND DECLINING TREES, which in turn helps the future of the environment and the productivity of agriculture in this great state of Victoria.</p> <p>The Victorian Farmers Federation and the Native Vegetation Reform Committee will continue to fight for REAL, FAIR AND EQUITABLE vegetation reform for the broad acre farming community.</p>
Written submission provided?	