Dear Mr McCullough,

I write in response to the memo of Mr Peter Kelly, dated 13th March 2019, tabled documents number 77. Further to looking at this memo, it appears that the alternative option 2 is the best option to all parties concerned. This alternative option 2 should have project savings, as no properties will be required to be acquired, and it appears this it will be less complicated and have less construction costs involved.

I have a few points for you and your panel to consider in your recommendation to the minister:

- No impact to neighbouring properties for roadway or pedestrian pathways
- Another lane should be provided in Woodlands Drive in this area, as the existing parking provision will be further restricted in this area due to the T intersection and off ramp location at Woodlands Drive, provision should be made for these trucks and trailers to eliminate congestion with flow through traffic
- Protective barriers for the protection of pedestrians and property occupants
- Appropriate placement of Woodlands Industrial Estate mural, to give the entrance to the estate identity
- Carful placement of roadway and turning lane to enable clear and unencumbered access for existing driveways which provide access to the properties at 21-27 Woodlands Drive
- Intersection to be provided with considerable/adequate lighting to avoid dark spots

In closing, I would like to thank you and your committee and acknowledge the fact that MRPV have listened to my requests and other submissions with regards to the Woodlands Drive area of the project. I look forward to the next stage and welcome and support this project. I also acknowledge and respect that not everyone will share these views of min, but I feel that this alternative option should give this unique industrial estate the access it requires. It is now up to you and your panel members to give your recommendations to the minister based on all the information you have, a difficult job I would not like to be tasked with, however, I trust that the knowledge and expertise that your panel has will make to appropriate decision.

Regards,

Peter Wain