DELWP.

ATT Ms Ratcliffe,

I realise that this submission is supposed to be confined to the <u>Delivery Shares</u> review only, however the document on your website and the public meeting have included pricing and its relationship to <u>Delivery Share</u> which opens the door wider than **Delivery Share** alone.

The entire pricing relationship to **Delivery Share** is flawed.

I submit for consideration some of the many letters written by my late husband to every man and his dog regarding the inequities of **Delivery Share** and GMW pricing. Peter has even suggested some ways that may improve things.

I have attached a selection of his letters that have been basically been ignored over many years, when he consulted for various other reviews. (more to follow in separate email)

I find the <u>Delivery Share</u> survey on your website so convoluted that I would need a Degree in Economics to complete it. The options you provide are slanted to a particular outcome, therefore the date will be flawed. There is a lack of free text options. The whole survey is in "Public Service Speak" which makes it difficult for the general public including farmers who irrigate their farms.

Yours faithfully,

Barb Tomlinson.

Please note: The previous correspondence is not attached due to privacy reasons.